1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	TYLER DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DOCKET 6:19-CV-0004
4	VS.
5	9:18 A.M.
6	CHARLES ORANGE TYLER, TEXAS
7	
8	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT W. SCHROEDER, III, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	APPEARANCES:
	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: NATHANIEL CHRISTOPHER KUMMERFELD
12	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE - TYLER
13	110 N. COLLEGE SUITE 700
14	TYLER, TEXAS 75702
15	MARISA J. MILLER UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE -
16	PLANO 101 E. PARK BOULEVARD
17	SUITE 500
18	PLANO, TEXAS 75074
19	FOR PANEL MEMBER: BOBBY D. MIMS BOREN & MIMS, PLLC
20	216 W. ERWIN STREET #300A
21	TYLER, TEXAS 75702
22	COURT REPORTER: KATE MCALPINE, RPR, CSR, CCR
23	FEDERAL OFFICIAL REPORTER 500 N. STATE LINE AVENUE
	TEXARKANA, TEXAS 75501
24	
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED USING MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY; TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED VIA COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION.

```
(OPEN COURT, DEFENDANT PRESENT.)
 1
 2
            THE COURT: Miss Combs, if you would call the case
   for us.
 3
 4
            THE CLERK: Cause Number 6:18-cr-4 [sic], the
   United States of America versus Charles Orange.
 5
                        Announcements for the record.
            THE COURT:
 6
 7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Good morning, Your Honor.
   Nathaniel Kummerfeld for the United States joined by my
 8
   cocounsel Marisa Miller.
            MR. MIMS: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Bobby
10
11
   Mims and we're ready to proceed.
12
            THE COURT: Good morning to you, Mr. Mims.
13
            Good morning to you, Mr. Orange.
14
            THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
15
            THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury
   panel, I want to say good morning to you all and I want to
16
17
   thank you for being here and welcome you to jury service in
18
   the United States District Court for the Eastern District
   of Texas. I am Judge Trey Schroeder. You have met some of
19
20
   our court personal downstairs and I want to introduce the
2.1
   members of my court staff.
22
            My judicial assistant is Ms. Shedera Combs.
23
            My court reporter is Ms. Kate McAlpine.
24
            My law clerks are Susan Stradley, Jonathan Powers
25
   and Jake Vannette.
```

Our court security officers this week will be David Kendall and Rutillio Satala, and Kurt Haddix will be in and out as well. I see him there.

First of all, I want to begin by thanking you for serving your country during these trying times. In every way, COVID-19 adds weight to the significance of your potential jury service. In the new normal we're in now, your life responsibilities are more serious and our intrusion into them may be more jarring, I do understand. And as I wrote to you in the letter that you received along with your summons, we have taken a number of important precautions to enhance your health and safety during jury selection and trial if you're chosen to be a juror, and I'll briefly mention what they are.

Your temperature should have been taken before you came into the courthouse this morning. Jurors were escorted into the courtroom by a member of the court staff or one of the court security officers. Masks have been provided to everyone and we'll require those to be worn during jury selection and trial. We do have gloves available if anyone wishes to wear them. We've got hand sanitizer available throughout the courtroom as well.

We'll have frequent breaks, not only this morning but throughout the course of the trial for those of you who are selected as jurors. We'll provide lunch for all of the

jurors each day of the trial, limiting the need for people to come in and out of the building.

We have also reconfigured the courtroom to accommodate the needs of social distancing. The jury will be seated where you are now in the gallery and we'll use the larger courtroom down the hall for the jury room instead of the regular smaller jury room. The witnesses will be seated in the jury box instead of on the witness stand. And we're also doing voir dire or jury selection in two sections; the morning session as we're here now and then afternoon session after lunch so that we can follow all of the appropriate social distancing protocols set forth by the CDC.

As I said, I am Judge Schroeder and I'm a United States district judge for the Eastern District of Texas. I live in Texarkana, where I was born and grew up. I practiced law for about 15 years. For a few years before that I clerked for a federal appellate judge and I worked in the government in Washington for a couple of years as well.

As I said, I grew up in Texarkana, went to college first in Missouri and then in Arkansas, and graduated from law school in Washington.

I am married. I have two children who are beginning their first day as juniors in college today, not

on their campus but at home online. So we are all doing our very best to adjust to all of that.

My wife is a lawyer, too, but she doesn't practice anymore. I call her a recovering lawyer.

And I'm telling you all these things about myself because in a few minutes I'm going to ask you to tell us some of the same type of information about yourself, and I think you are as entitled to know as much about me as we are about to learn about you.

We are going to -- we're beginning the jury selection process of a criminal trial and I do want to thank you again for being here and for your service.

You're playing a pivotal role in our system of justice. I hope you will consider it an honor to serve your country in this important role because that's what it is.

Asking you to be here, by asking you to potentially serve as a juror in this case, we're asking you to be away from your families, your jobs, your other responsibilities. For those of you who have children at home, I know how hectic your lives are. For those of you who may be caregiver for a family member or a friend, same goes for you.

By asking you to be here, we are creating a significant intrusion into your lives, but the reason we do that is we have important work for you to do. We have

important work that will not get done without a jury. This is the 36th case I have tried as a judge and I believe that your experience as a juror, if you're selected to serve, will depend in large part on what your initial frame of mind is.

I recently read about a judge in Houston who said, well, you can look upon jury service as a form of a tax, just like an income tax that we pay to the federal government or the property or the sales tax you pay to the state or the county or the school district where we live. This is a tax that you pay not with money but with your time and your effort.

And this judge in a courtroom very similar to this courtroom said there may be a better about way to look at it, a better perspective, because the truth is that you're doing something much more important than merely discharging a duty. And I want to share with you what he said. He said, you're performing one of the most sacred duties asked of Americans in peacetime. Of course, those young men and woman who serve in our Armed Forces perform the most sacred duty, but jury service is a pillar of our democratic form of government.

Jury service has been a fundamental aspect of government for thousands of years. Those of you who know the Old Testament know that juries were used to decide

issues of property value and ownership and the Greeks began using the jury system in about 1,500 B.C. and the Romans adopted the jury system from the Greeks and brought jury trials to England in 4th century A.D. so that by the 12th century, jury trials have been part of the judicial system in England for over 800 years.

King John, who was a tyrannical king, attempted to do away with the right to trial by jury. By the 13th century, when the Magna Carta was signed, that guaranteed the right to jury trial for the English people. 28 of our United States have adopted the exact language from the Magna Carta verbatim and placed it in their state constitutions.

The concept of jury trials was ingrained in our founding fathers as British colonists in the settling of America. King George III, another tyrannical king, attempted to deny the right to jury trials to his citizen colonists in America. And Thomas Jefferson, in penning the Declaration of Independence, the complaints against the British crown set forth the denial of the right to jury trials as one of the specific grounds mandating our separation from England.

Much later in our own country, the denial of the right to a jury trial was one of grievances that the colonists had with King George. And the men who met in

Philadelphia in 1776, there were 56 of them, they had no doubt about the importance of the jury trial. They had a whole range of grievances against the Crown, but one of the most important was the denial of a right to a jury trial to the colonists. Those men who signed the Declaration of Independence pledged their lives, fortunes and sacred honor, and ten years later when the Constitution was drafted, the 39 men who signed that document, they, too, knew about the importance of the jury trial. It is the only Constitutional right that is mentioned both in the body of the Constitution and in the Bill of Rights.

No doubt many of your parents have served on juries, probably some of our grandparents did as well, so the concept of jury service in the United States of America stretches back many, many generations from today. All the way back to 1787. All the way back to 1776.

So yes, while it may be an inconvenience to serve as a juror, at some level I hope you will understand that it is also much more than that. It is our chance, perhaps not to pay the debt that we owe to our country, but at least in a way to acknowledge that debt and to recognize it and to honor it.

Now, in this case, the government of the United States has accused the defendant, Charles Orange, of committing the crime of one count of possession of child

pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 2252, AA5B.

Mr. Orange denies the charge and has pled not quilty to the alleged crime.

I anticipate that the presentation of evidence will take about three days so, including today, we should conclude by Thursday, September 17, 2020. The trial will begin in this case tomorrow morning and those of you selected as our jury will need to be available at that time.

If any of you have a prepaid vacation that has been planned that you have already bought nonrefundable tickets for or you have some type of surgery scheduled for later this week or anything else that is serious enough to make it very difficult for you to serve, then I need for you to identify yourself.

If there's anybody on the panel who has those type of reasons that they could not serve -- we'll go into the details a little bit later but if anybody has those type of reasons they could not serve, if you would please raise your hand for me now. As I said, we'll have an opportunity to discuss specifics later.

Anybody in the left section? Anybody at all in the left section? All right.

In the right section? Yes, sir, if you would please tell me your name.

PANEL MEMBER: Charles Crossman. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Okay. You may be seated. We'll get into the details a little bit later. 3 4 Anybody else? Okay. Very well. Now, I want to give you an overview of what's 5 going to be happening over the next few days. Right now we 6 7 are beginning the first stage of the trial called voir 8 dire, examination of the panel. This is where the Court and the parties will be asking you some questions to help you evaluate -- to help us evaluate you as a potential 10 11 juror. This will probably take about two hours. We'll 12 take a break at some point in there. 13 When the lawyers ask you questions and when I ask you questions this morning, I want you to understand that 14 15 we will not be seeking to inquire into your private affairs unduly. They and I are entitled to know the answers to our 16 questions in order to secure a fair and impartial jury. 17 18 It may happen today, it doesn't happen every time, 19 but sometimes a panel member will be asked a question and 20 they don't really want to talk about it in front of the 21 whole panel. So if you have any hesitancy about giving a 22 complete answer to something that you consider private, you 23 need to tell me -- all you need to do is tell me that, 24 raise your hand and tell us that. And then when we have 25 concluded most of the voir dire, we'll have an opportunity

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for you to visit with us in a more private forum. So don't hesitate to speak up if you have something of that nature and we can discuss it outside of the other panel members.

The most important thing this morning is that you give full, complete and truthful answers to all the questions that are asked. There really are no wrong answers as long as your response is complete, full and truthful.

This afternoon we're going to be doing the whole process all over again with a different set of potential jurors. Again, to enable us to socially distance we have split it up. So once that has occurred with the afternoon group of panel members, each side, each party will be allowed to strike a certain number of jurors. And after that, the first 14 panel members will become our 12 jurors and our two alternates.

Now, when you leave this morning, you won't know whether you have been selected to serve as a juror or not. We won't know who is on the jury until the completion of the second phase, the second session this afternoon. So some time later this evening, after 5:00, you will get a telephone call letting you know whether or not you have been selected to serve on the jury. Everybody, not just those selected to serve, will get called.

Tomorrow morning, the attorneys for each side will

```
at the far left and, as I did before, what I would like if
1
2
   for you to do, each of you, is to come up one at a time,
   tell us your name, where you live, if you are employed,
3
   what your occupation is, if you're married, what your
4
   spouse's name is and what his or her occupation is, and
5
   then something about yourself, a favorite thing to do in
6
7
   your spare time.
            I forgot to tell you my favorite thing to do in my
8
   spare time is to fly fish and I have done it exactly once
9
10
   in like the last two years, so I don't get to do it very
11
   often but I think about doing it a lot, which is kind of
12
   nice.
13
           So let's start with the first panel member, if you
14
   would, please come up to the mic and tell us those things.
15
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Jane Epperson. I'm
16
   happily married for 26 years. I'm also a nurse, I work at
17
   UT Heath at East Texas. I'm a case manager with background
   in ICU charting.
18
19
            My husband is also a nurse. We're very versed in
20
   the medical field. We like to hunt. I train and compete
21
   with my dogs. That's pretty much it.
22
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
23
            Ms. Bell.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Susan Bell and I live in
25
   Longview. I work at the AK Fitness Therapy Center in
```

```
Longview. I have children, I'm not married. I do like to
1
2
   cook and I like to spend time with my family.
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Matt Cline. I live in
3
   Lindale, Texas. Been married for a 15 years to my wife Ann
   Cline. She's an area supervisor for Sonic Restaurants in
   East Texas. I have two kids and I like to listen to music.
6
7
            THE COURT: Thank you.
8
            Yes, ma'am. In the white jacket. White sweater,
9
   sorry.
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Crystal Bozard. I'm an
10
11
        I live in Troup. My husband is a store manager for
12
   Walmart. We have four kids. Our daughter just started
13
   University of Arkansas. And I like to read and be outside.
14
            THE COURT: Thank you.
15
            Yes, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is David Fleming. My
16
   wife's name is Gwen Fleming. We have been married for
17
18
   36 years. I'm a teacher and the transportation director
   for Greenville ISD. My wife is also a second grade
19
20
   teacher. The thing I like to do when I have time is go
21
   traveling.
22
            THE COURT: Thank you.
23
            Yes, ma'am.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Melinda Clark. My husband and
25
   I have been married for 42 years. I love hiking all the
```

```
national parks every year. And I'm a retired teacher and
1
2
   the last two years I was -- (inaudible.)
            THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
3
 4
            Okay. Yes, ma'am. In the blue, yes, ma'am.
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Melanie Jackson. I work
5
   at Cardinal Health in Jacksonville. I live in Chapel Hill.
6
7
   We supply the hospital with medical supplies, and I'm a
8
   machine operator.
            THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
            Yes, sir.
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Deadriane Bell. Both a
12
   pipefitter and a production worker. Two kids. I like to
13
   fish in my spare time.
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
14
15
            Yes, ma'am.
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Tori Gregory. I have
16
   been married for 20 years. I am a principal at a private
17
18
   Christian school for ten years. I have three children. I
   enjoy traveling and spending time with family.
19
20
            THE COURT: Thank you.
21
            Yes, sir.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Weldon Gray. I live in
23
   Henderson. I'm married. My wife's name is Claudia Morgan
24
   Gray. I have a grown daughter by a previous marriage. I'm
25
   employed as the CEO of the Statewide Telecommunications
```

```
Trade Association and I enjoy hunting.
1
2
            THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
            Yes, sir in the back.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Ken Dayberry. Been married for
4
5
   20 years to Amanda. I'm a maintenance technician for a
   electronic recycling company and I really don't have
6
7
   nothing other than work around the house.
8
            THE COURT: Thank you.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Jamie Ingle. I'm a widow and I
   have two children. I'm a barber and I also work the
10
11
   weekends at a nursing home as a dietary aid and that's it.
12
            THE COURT: Thank you.
13
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Justine Bell. I've been
14
   married for 26 years and I have two children. I enjoy
15
   spending time with family and friends.
16
            THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
17
            Yes, sir.
18
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Antoine Green, Jr.
   married, been married for three years. My wife's name is
19
   Andrea Green. We both work in the medical field. She
20
   works at Women's Total Care. I'm a heart monitor
2.1
22
   technician and a CNA. I love fishing and hunting and we
23
   stay in Tyler.
24
            THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
25
            Yes, sir.
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: Hi. My name is Larry Dehoff.
1
2
   live in Longview. I'm married to Anne Dehoff. We both
   work at Longview Bingo Center. And we have two children
3
4
   and enjoy spending time with family and fishing and travel.
            THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
5
            Yes, ma'am.
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Lisa Dykes. I live in
8
   Longview. I'm a property manager. I have two sons and
   they're grown, and I enjoy traveling, especially to Disney
   World and Graceland.
10
            THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
11
12
            Yes, sir.
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Larry Frowick from Tyler. My wife
   Lisa and I have been married 33 years and we both work for
14
15
   CHRISTUS Health. And I like to hunt, fish and travel in my
   spare time and I do have a flight next Tuesday.
16
17
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18
            Yes, sir.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Justin Hester and I'm
20
   from Tyler, Texas and I'm a business student and graduated
2.1
   from business -- I have been married for five years. My
22
   wife Barb is a registered nurse and in my spare time I like
23
   to be outside.
            THE COURT: Thank you.
24
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Name is Howard French, I'm married
```

```
to Julie, I live in Frankston, and I like to hunt and fish.
1
2
            THE COURT:
                        Thank you.
            Yes, ma'am.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Sharon Dews. I live here in
 4
           I'm married to Robert. We've been married for 38
5
           I'm retired and I love traveling.
6
7
            THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
8
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Charles Crossman. I own
   a business fixing airplanes. My wife is Lisa and she works
   for the City of Tyler. We have two children.
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: My name's Jason Horn. I am a pool
12
   service technician and I like to play basketball.
13
            THE COURT: Thank you. Where do you live, sir?
            PANEL MEMBER:
14
                          Mabank.
15
            THE COURT: Where?
16
            PANEL MEMBER: Mabank.
17
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Tina Feliciano. I'm
18
   from Longview. I've been married for 19 years to Damian.
   We have six kids between us and I'm usually a full-time
19
20
   student but I took off to be at home with the kids.
2.1
            THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Ron De Champlain.
23
   live in Bullard. I've been married for 29 years to Sylvia.
24
   We have two adult children and one grandson. I'm an avid
25
   reader, enjoy the outdoors, I like to kayak, spend time
```

```
with my wife. Do a lot of volunteer work with
1
2
   organizations here.
3
            THE COURT: I think that's it. Okay. Good.
4
            Did anyone of you on the panel know the defendant,
   Charles Orange? Mr. Orange, if you would, please stand up
5
   for me. Mr. Orange lives in Longview, I think, is that
6
7
   right? Is anyone familiar with Mr. Charles Orange, the
   defendant?
8
            All right. Mr. Orange, you may be seated. Thank
10
   you.
11
            Did any of you know his attorney, Mr. Bobby Mims?
   Mr. Mims, if you would stand up. Mr. Mims is an attorney
12
13
   here in Tyler. Mr. Mims, if you would maybe introduce
   yourself and the other members of your team, say little bit
14
15
   about yourself.
16
            MR. MIMS: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for
17
   coming in. I'm Bobby Mims. I'm a criminal defense lawyer.
18
   All we do is practice criminal defense at my law firm.
19
   Over here is my law partner Ms. Mishae Boren. She's a
20
   Texas A&M law school graduate.
21
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims.
22
            MR. MIMS: Can you hear me?
23
            THE COURT: Click it until it turns green one
24
   time. There you go.
25
           MR. MIMS: Now can you hear me?
```

```
THE COURT: Much better.
1
2
            MR. MIMS: Do I need to start over?
            THE COURT: Why don't you start over.
3
            MR. MIMS: I'm Bobby Mims. I'm a criminal defense
 4
   lawyer. I represent Mr. Charles Orange and I'm proud to do
5
   it. My law partner, Ms. Mishae Boren, she's actually from
6
7
   Jacksonville but she grew up here in Tyler and graduated
   the University of Texas here in Tyler and then went on to
8
   law school up in Ft. Worth at Texas A&M Law School.
10
            With me here also is our investigator, Miss
11
   Melinda Carroll. She's from Athens but she's our
12
   investigator. We've been working together about 23 years
   now. Our law firm is Boren & Mims. We're across the
13
   street. We do federal cases and state cases.
14
15
            And I don't believe I know any of you over here.
   And if any of you know my staff or the rest of us, please
16
17
   let the Judge know.
18
            THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Mims.
            All right. Anybody on the panel know Mr. Mims?
19
20
   Ever been represented by Mr. Mims or know either of his
21
   associates here? Okay. Very well.
22
            Mr. Kummerfeld, if you would, please introduce
23
   yourself and Ms. Miller and the others at your table.
24
            And ladies and gentlemen of the panel I'll ask you
25
   the same thing about Mr. Kummerfeld and the people he
```

introduced. 1 2 MR. KUMMERFELD: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, folks. My name is Nathaniel 3 Kummerfeld. I'm an Assistant United States Attorney here 4 in the Eastern District of Texas in Tyler. Joining me at counsel table is Assistant United States Attorney Melissa 6 7 Miller. She's from the Plano, Texas area. Also joining me at counsel table from our office is litigation support 8 specialist Jamie McCullars. She's here in Tyler. And this is Special Agent Elmer Armstrong. He's one of the case 10 11 agents from Homeland Security Investigations and he lives 12 over in Dallas/Ft. Worth. 13 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kummerfeld. Okay. Ladies and gentlemen of the panel, do any 14 15 of you know Mr. Kummerfeld or Ms. Miller or Ms. McCullars 16 or Mr. Armstrong, the people who were introduced who are on 17 the team representing the United States? Anybody have any 18 familiarity with any of them or know them in any way? 19 All right. Very good. All right. I introduced 20 myself and the members of my staff. I neglected to 21 introduce Ms. Kyla Dean here, the deputy in charge of the 22 Tyler division. So having introduced Miss Dean and the 23 members of my staff from Texarkana, do any of you know me 24 or any of my staff folks? Have I ever met any of you or do 25 you know any of us in any way?

All right. Very well. All right. I am now going to read through a list of witnesses who will be called to testify who will be or may be called to testify on behalf of the U.S. and as I read through this list, I would ask that you let me know if you are related to or personally acquainted with any of these people.

Miss Lauren Morris, who is an intelligence research specialist with the Department of Homeland Security. Special Agent Andrew Peters with Homeland Security, Christopher Hunt, a computer forensic analyst with Homeland Security, Detective Chris Taylor with the Longview Police Department. Mr. Armstrong was already introduced. Lieutenant Kevin Freeman with the Longview Police Department. James Peru, Bruce Orange, Helen Orange, Tori Smith, Isaiah Orange, Tracy Orange Webb. Is anyone familiar with any of those potential witnesses on behalf of the United States that I have identified? Okay. Very well.

Let me ask whether any of you know or recognize any other member of the panel. Are you familiar with anybody else? Let's see. Let's start in the left section. Anybody in this section know anybody else or familiar or recognize anyone else on the panel? All right.

First row right here on the right. If you would please come up to the microphone and tell us who you know

```
1
   and remind me your name again.
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Antoine Green, Jr. I know Miss
   Juanita Green. She's my cousin.
3
            THE COURT: And that's the woman in the back?
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: Uh-huh.
5
            THE COURT: All right. Very well.
 6
7
            Anybody else know anybody else on the panel? All
8
   right. Very good. Thank you.
9
            Now, have any of you served as a juror in a
   criminal case or a civil case or as a member of a grand
10
11
   jury in either federal court or state court? All I really
12
   want you to do is tell us which category you fell into. We
   don't have to talk about the details of the case.
13
            So on the left side of the room, has anyone every
14
15
   served as a juror in a criminal case, a civil case, or as a
   member of a grand jury in either state court or federal
16
   court? Anybody at all? Two people. All right.
17
18
            Let's start with you, yes, sir, in the black suit.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: I was a juror --
20
            THE COURT: Could you come to the microphone,
21
   please. Otherwise it's really hard to hear.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: I served as a juror in a JV court
23
   probably.
24
            THE COURT: How long ago with was that?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: A long time ago. More than
```

```
1
   20 years.
2
            THE COURT: More than 20 years ago? Anything
   about that experience that left you with any kind of
3
   negative impression with the justice system?
4
5
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
6
            THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Yes, ma'am. On the
7
   last row.
            PANEL MEMBER: I served as a juror here.
8
            THE COURT: In federal court?
9
            PANEL MEMBER: Uh-huh, in -- I think like four
10
11
   years ago over a patent.
12
            THE COURT: All right. Was I the judge involved
13
   or --
14
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
            THE COURT: -- was it Judge Gilstrap?
15
16
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't remember. It was four or
17
   five years ago. And then I served in Athens on a jury on a
18
   DWI.
19
            THE COURT: All right. Both of those cases you
   reached verdicts?
20
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Oh, yeah.
22
            THE COURT: Anything about either of those
23
   experiences --
24
           PANEL MEMBER: No.
25
            THE COURT: -- that left you with a bad
```

```
1
   impression --
2
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
3
            THE COURT: All right. Welcome back.
            On the right section. Anybody served as a juror
4
   before?
5
6
            Yes, ma'am. If you would go to a microphone.
7
            PANEL MEMBER: I served as a juror on a criminal
8
   case.
            THE COURT: Where was that, ma'am?
            PANEL MEMBER: Houston, Texas about four years
10
11
   ago.
12
            THE COURT: What kind of criminal case was it, do
13
   you remember?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm not sure. It was a police
15
   chase.
16
            THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience
17
   that left you with any kind of negative impression about
18
   our judicial system?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
20
            THE COURT: Did you reach a verdict?
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
22
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
23
            Yes, sir.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: About a year ago I served here in
25
   Tyler, state court, and it was a sexual molestation of a
```

```
1
   seven-year-old girl.
2
            THE COURT: Did the jury reach a verdict?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: Anything about that experience leave
4
5
   you with any sort of a negative impression?
6
            PANEL MEMBER: Not really, other than it wasn't
   much fun.
7
            THE COURT: I understand. Given the fact that the
8
   charges in this case are somewhat similar, is there
   anything about your experience before in your Smith County
10
   case that would lead you to believe this might not be a
11
12
   good case for you to serve as a juror?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Not really.
14
            THE COURT: Okay. Is there -- is there anything
15
   about your earlier experience that would affect your
16
   ability to be fair and impartial towards both the defendant
   and the United States in our consideration of the evidence?
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't think so.
19
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
20
            Anyone else? Yes, ma'am. If you would.
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: Served on a civil case some years
22
   ago.
23
            THE COURT: I'm sorry.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: Civil case.
25
            THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Where was the case?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: Here in Tyler.
1
2
            THE COURT: Was it in state court or federal
3
   court?
            PANEL MEMBER: State.
 4
            THE COURT: Did you reach a verdict?
5
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          Yes.
 6
7
            THE COURT: Anything about that experience leave
   you with any kind of negative impression about our judicial
8
   system?
10
            PANEL MEMBER:
                           No.
11
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
12
            Anybody else? Yes, sir.
13
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Larry Dehoff. I served
   on a criminal case and a civil case as well. Two different
14
15
   cases here in Longview.
16
            THE COURT: Did you reach a verdict?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, we did.
18
            THE COURT: Anything about the experience leave
   you with any kind of negative impression?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
2.1
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else?
22
            Okay. All right. Have you, any member of your
23
   family or a close, personal friend, ever been employed by
24
   law enforcement agency? Okay. Let me just -- one by one,
   if you will come up and tell me who it was, what their
25
```

```
relationship to -- relationship is to you and who they're
1
2
   employed by.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Matt Cline. My uncle was a
   magistrate judge in Iowa, Kansas. He is retired now.
4
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
5
            Tell me your name.
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: David Fleming.
8
            THE COURT: Mr. Fleming.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a cousin who works for
   Longview Police Department. I also have a relative on my
10
   wife's family side that did work for the Attorney General's
11
12
   office in Austin. But he is retired.
13
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
14
            Yes, ma'am.
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Jane Epperson. My brother-in-law
16
   is a deputy sheriff in Houston.
17
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
            Yes, ma'am.
18
19
            PANEL MEMBER: My father-in-law is a Texas Ranger
20
   and I have several nephews who are policemen.
2.1
            THE COURT: Nephews that are what?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Policemen.
23
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
24
            Yes, sir.
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Weldon Gray. I have a
```

```
nephew-in-law that's a police officer in Flower Mound.
1
2
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else on the
   left side? Yes, sir.
3
4
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Deadriane Bell. My best
   friend is a deputy sheriff in Cartage City.
5
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
6
7
            Anybody else on the left side?
8
            Right section? Yes, sir.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: My uncle goes by Richard Dewes.
                                                            Не
   is in law enforcement as a police officer.
10
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11
12
            Anybody else?
13
            Yes, sir.
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Justin Hester. My brother is a
15
   deputy sergeant for the Anderson County Police Department.
16
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
17
            PANEL MEMBER: My nephew is a police officer.
18
            THE COURT: Do you know where he is employed?
            PANEL MEMBER: He is employed at -- (inaudible.)
19
20
            THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: (Inaudible.)
22
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a lifelong friend that's a
24
   policeman and works in the Smith County Sheriff's Office
25
   and I have several friends who are TPD officers.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Ron De Champlain, my baby brother
   retired from the federal law enforcement. He was DOE
3
   police for Homeland Security. My grandfather served in the
4
   Shreveport PD, and I did 28 years for the highway patrol in
5
   California and retired from there, and two and a half years
6
7
   with the City of Fresno Airport Police.
8
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: My husband was with the military
10
   police.
11
            THE COURT: Okay.
12
            Yes, sir.
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Just thought of my cousin works for
   the police department in Longview. I work with him on a
14
15
   daily basis at our store.
16
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
17
            Okay. So all of you who have indicated that you
18
   have a family member or a close, personal friend or you
   yourself were employed by a law enforcement agency, is
19
20
   there anything about that experience or that relationship
2.1
   or friendship or connection that would cause you not to be
22
   fair or impartial either to the defendant or to the United
23
   States in your consideration of the evidence in this case?
24
            Anybody feel like they would have trouble being
25
   fair to one side or the other because of that experience or
```

```
that relationship with someone employed by a law
1
 2
   enforcement agency?
            All right. I take it by your silence that no one
 3
 4
   would have trouble doing that.
            All right. My next question relates to any
 5
   experience that you have had, any experience that a member
 6
7
   of your family has had or any experience that a close,
   personal friend has had that I would describe as an
 8
   unpleasant experience where you were or believed yourself
   to have been the subject of an investigation by a law
10
11
   enforcement agency for anything more serious than, say, a
12
   traffic ticket? So some sort of an unpleasant experience
13
   with or where you were under investigation by law
14
   enforcement agency, you, a member of your family or close,
15
   personal friend.
16
            Anybody in the left section have any experience
   like that?
17
18
            Yes, ma'am, if you would please come to the
19
   microphone for me.
20
            PANEL MEMBER: It's kind of personal.
21
            THE COURT: All right. Tell me your name again.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Susan Bell.
23
            THE COURT: Ms. Bell, we'll visit with you at the
24
   very end. Thank you, Ms. Bell.
25
            Anybody else on the left section? No one at all
```

```
on the left section?
1
2
            On the right side.
            Yes, sir.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, I burgled a vehicle when I was
4
5
   younger and now I've matured. People change a lot.
6
            THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience
7
   that would cause you to maybe to lean one side or the other
   starting into this case before you have heard any of the
8
   evidence at all?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
11
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else in the
12
   right section? Okay. Very well.
13
            I think this sort of goes as part of the previous
   question but I want to ask it just to make sure I cover it.
14
15
   Have any of you, a member of your family, or a close,
16
   personal friend ever been arrested, charged or convicted of
17
   any crime more serious than a traffic violation? We'll
18
   visit with Ms. Bell later, but has anybody else fell into
   any of those categories? And if you would like to visit
19
20
   about it later we can do that as well.
2.1
            Yes, sir.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Matt Cline, got a DWI.
23
            THE COURT: Who was that?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: Myself.
25
            THE COURT: You did? Okay. Thank you. Anything
```

```
about that experience cause you to feel like you would have
1
 2
   a hard time being fair or impartial in this case?
 3
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          No.
 4
            THE COURT: Anybody else in the left section?
            Yes, sir.
 5
            PANEL MEMBER: Deadriane Bell. I had a DWI.
 6
 7
            THE COURT: How long ago was that?
            PANEL MEMBER: About 9, 10 years ago.
 8
 9
            THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience
   that would cause you to lean one way or the other for or
10
11
   against the parties before you heard any of the evidence in
12
   this case?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: (Nonverbal response.)
            THE COURT: No? Okay. Thank you.
14
            PANEL MEMBER: I had a DUI back in '98.
15
16
            THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience
   cause you to lean one way or the other in this case before
17
18
   you have heard any evidence?
19
            PANEL MEMBER:
                           No.
20
            THE COURT: Thank you. Anybody else in the left
2.1
   section?
22
            Right section?
23
            Yes, sir. In the very back.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: I had a friend last year got
   arrested for burglary of a building and possession of
25
```

```
illegal substances.
1
2
            THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that that would
   cause you to lean one side or the other?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: I stayed clear of it so I have no
4
   -- anything that would affect it.
5
6
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
7
            Yes, ma'am.
            PANEL MEMBER: My husband faced charges for --
8
9
    (inaudible.)
10
            THE COURT: He what?
11
            PANEL MEMBER: My husband -- (inaudible.)
            THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?
12
13
            PANEL MEMBER: That was in 2012, think.
14
            THE COURT: Okay. Was he arrested and charged?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            THE COURT: Did it get resolved by agreement or
16
   was there a trial or --
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: There were -- it was a misdemeanor.
19
   I wasn't there.
20
            THE COURT: It was before you were in the picture?
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, no, he was in the military so
22
   we weren't with him at that station.
23
            THE COURT: I see.
24
           PANEL MEMBER: That was when he was much younger.
25
            THE COURT: Anything about that experience that
```

```
would cause you to lean one way or the other before you
1
2
   heard any of the evidence in the case?
            PANEL MEMBER:
3
                          No.
 4
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
            Yes, sir.
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Ron De Champlain. I have a younger
6
7
   brother that did state time for felony DWI. Both my
   sisters did state time for trafficking narcotics.
8
            THE COURT: Okay. Anything about either of those
   or any of those experiences that would --
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Not about the process. I have a
12
   lot of negative feelings about the private prisons in
13
   Louisiana, but not about the criminal justice process.
            THE COURT: Okay. So if this case doesn't have
14
15
   any involvement in or doesn't pertain to privately run
   prisons in Louisiana, or anywhere else for that matter, do
16
17
   you feel like any of your other experiences or views or
18
   opinions would lead you to be anything other than
19
   completely fair and impartial to both the United States and
20
   Mr. Orange in this case?
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't.
22
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
23
            All right. Anybody else on the right section?
24
   Yes, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a 21-year-old daughter that
25
```

```
was charged with theft. It hasn't been resolved yet.
1
2
            THE COURT: Did you say theft?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
3
            THE COURT: Okay. And that's not been resolved
 4
5
   yet?
6
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          No.
7
            THE COURT: So up to this point, is there anything
8
   about her experience that would lead you to believe you
   couldn't be fair and impartial either to the government or
   to the defendant in this case?
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: No, not at all.
12
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else?
13
            Okay. Just a couple more questions and then we'll
   take a break. As I told you -- well, one more question
14
15
   before I get to that. Have any of you, a member of your
16
   family, or a close, personal friend, been a victim of a
17
   crime or witness to a crime? Victim or witness?
18
            Yes, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: My father-in-law was a witness to a
19
20
   possible abduction or rape case, many years ago.
2.1
            THE COURT: Many years ago?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
23
            THE COURT: Nothing about that would cause you to
24
   lean one way or the other in this case, would it?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
1
2
            Yes, sir.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm a victim of theft.
            THE COURT: You were?
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
5
            THE COURT: How long ago? A long time ago?
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: 15, 20 years ago.
            THE COURT: Is there anything about that that
8
   would cause you to lean one way or the other in this case?
10
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          No.
11
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else in the
12
   left section?
13
            Yes, ma'am. Ms. Epperson.
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes. I was attacked for my purse
15
   as I was leaving the hospital. It was 15 years ago.
16
            THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that
   experience causing you to lean one way or the other in this
17
18
   case starting out before you have heard any of the
19
   evidence?
20
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
2.1
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
22
            Right side. Anybody, witness, victim?
23
            Yes, ma'am.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: My stepdaughters. They were raped
25
   by their uncle.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. How long ago was that?
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: We just found out about a year ago
   probably. Happened about ten years ago.
3
4
            THE COURT: So you found out a year ago and it
   occurred ten years ago?
5
6
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
7
            THE COURT: And it was --
8
            PANEL MEMBER: It began ten years ago. I believe
   it was settled in court within the last five years.
10
            THE COURT: Where did this occur?
11
            PANEL MEMBER: New Hampshire.
12
            THE COURT: Okay. Two stepdaughters?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that
14
15
   experience or your knowledge or familiarity with what
   happened that would cause you to lean one way or the other
16
17
   in this case before you have heard any of the evidence?
18
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't believe so because the law
19
   is the law.
20
            THE COURT: All right. And you -- whatever
21
   knowledge or experience you had, you feel like you could
22
   put that aside?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
24
           THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else in the
25
   right section?
```

Yes, sir. 1 2 PANEL MEMBER: Ron De Champlain. With my career I witnessed thousand of crimes and dozens of assaults. 3 THE COURT: Understood. 4 And I suspect the lawyers are going to want to 5 talk to you, Mr. De Champlain, but is there anything about 6 7 your experience all those years working for the California 8 Highway Patrol that would cause you in this case to start out leaning towards the Government or toward Mr. Orange, for that matter? 10 11 PANEL MEMBER: No. 12 THE COURT: All right. Very well. Thank you. 13 Anybody else in the right section? Okay. Now, as you know, the defendant in this 14 15 case is Mr. Charles Orange. He was introduced to you earlier. The Government has charged him in an indictment, 16 but an indictment is not evidence of guilt. Would anyone 17 18 on the panel consider the fact that Mr. Orange has been indicted as some evidence that he's guilty of the offense 19 20 as charged? So the fact that he has been indicted by a 2.1 Grand Jury -- and that's going to be read to you, if you're 22 selected to serve as a juror on this case, you will hear 23 what the indictment is. Does the fact that Mr. Orange has 24 been indicted by a Grand Jury lead you to believe he must 25 have done something? Does anyone start out feeling that?

```
Yes, ma'am. If you would go to the microphone.
1
2
   You feel that way?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes. Unfortunately I feel like if
3
   they were able to bring forth an indictment, there must be
4
   something showing that he probably committed a crime.
5
            THE COURT: Okay. If I instruct you that the law
6
7
   is that an indictment is evidence of nothing, it's not --
8
   certainly not evidence of guilt, if I give you that
   instruction that that's the law that you're required to
   follow and that everybody starts out in a criminal trial
10
11
   with a presumption of innocence and it's the Government's
12
   duty to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant
13
   is quilty, would you have any difficulty following those
   instructions of the law as I give them to you?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't think so.
            THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
16
17
            Anybody else in this section, on the left?
18
            Anybody on the right section? The fact that
   Mr. Orange has been indicted by a Grand Jury, would that
19
20
   lead anyone to believe that it's some evidence that he is
21
   quilty just because he has been indicted? Anybody have
   that view? Okay. Thank you very much.
22
23
            Has anybody on the panel read anything in the
24
   newspapers, read anything on the internet or heard anything
25
   about this case on the radio or seen anything on the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

television? Anybody know anything about this case at all before showing up here today? Okay. Very good.

Has anybody on the panel discussed the charges in the case with anyone or have you overheard any type of discussion with anybody might have had about this case, what the Government alleges before showing up here today? Okay. Very good.

Does anyone have any knowledge about this case from any source, any personal knowledge about this case from any source, whether it's the internet, newspapers, radio, overheard someone at work talking about it, you had a discussion with anyone or you heard a discussion with anyone about what the facts in this case are? Does anybody have any knowledge at all about this case before coming here today? All right. Very good.

All right. We have been going for a while. appreciate your patience with me. I have a few more questions when we get back from our break, and then the Government will have an opportunity to ask some questions and the defense will have some opportunity -- will have an opportunity to ask questions as well, and then we'll have a few other things that we have to get through and then we'll have the morning session completed. So let's take a break at this time and we've got water for everybody, we've got snacks.

```
Normally what we do is take a break, and everybody
1
   wanders off down the hall and we visit and all that sort of
 2
   stuff. So we're going to take some extra precautions. I
 3
   want to remind everybody social distancing is really
   important. If you all know each other and you, you know,
 5
   want to violate social distancing rules, you're welcome to
 6
   do that but I would ask that everybody respect each other's
7
   views about that, so let's stay as far apart as possible.
 8
            We'll distribute the water and the snacks here in
   the courtroom. For those of you who need to use the
10
11
   restroom, we've got restrooms right around the hall. Our
12
   Court Security Officer will help coordinate that for you.
   So we'll go one or two at a time. But while we're here in
13
   the courtroom, feel free to stand up and stretch and move
14
15
   around a little bit but just be sensitive to our social
   distancing requirement.
16
17
            Any questions about that, any of that?
18
            All right. We'll take a short recess.
19
            (Recess taken.)
20
            THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen,
21
   welcome back.
22
            When we -- earlier we were talking about whether
23
   anyone had heard anything or read anything or you had seen
24
   anything related to this case.
25
            My next question relates to whether the fact that
```

```
the United States of America is a party to this case cause
1
 2
   you to feel uncomfortable as a juror or cause you to feel
   any bias or any prejudice, either for or against the United
 3
   States, so much so that you feel you can't sit as a fair or
   impartial juror. Any kind of bias or favoritism or
 5
   prejudice for or against the United States to the extent
 6
7
   that you feel like you couldn't be a fair and impartial
 8
   juror? Does anyone fall into any of those categories?
            Anybody in the left section?
10
            In the right?
11
            All right. I take it by your silence that you
12
   would have no trouble doing that.
13
            Has anyone, either themselves or family member or
   a close, personal friend either been a party to any kind of
14
15
   a legal action or proceeding involving the United States or
   any state or local government, either as a complainant, a
16
17
   plaintiff, a defendant, an accused, a character witness or
18
   -- we talked about victims earlier, but any kind of an
   action that involved an officer or an agent or an employee
19
20
   of either the federal government, the state government, or
21
   the local government?
22
            Anybody fall into any of those categories, any
23
   kind of a legal action or proceeding involving the
24
   Government where you or a close, personal friend or family
```

member for the defendant or plaintiff or complainant,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

character witness, victim, anything like that, does anybody fall into a category of that nature? Anybody on the left side of the courtroom? Anybody on the right side of the courtroom? All right. Have you or any member of your family or close, personal friend ever been employed by the Department of Homeland Security? Anybody on the left side of the courtroom? Anybody on the right side of the courtroom, anyone employed by the Department of Homeland Security or have a family member, close personal friend? Okay. I take it by your silence you don't. The United States, of course, will have witnesses in this trial who are employed by the Department of Homeland Security. Does anyone in the courtroom on the panel have any prejudice against or bias in favor of or against Homeland Security, the Department of Homeland Security, so much so that you don't feel you could sit as a fair and impartial juror in this case? Anybody on the left side of the courtroom? Anybody on the right side of the courtroom? All right. Very well. Do you or, to your knowledge, any of your family members or any close, personal friends hold any kind of personal belief or conviction or prejudice about the criminal laws of the

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

United States, so much so that those opinions or beliefs or convictions about the criminal laws of the United States are such that you don't think you could sit as a fair and impartial juror in this case? Anybody have any opinions that are so strongly held or you have a family member who has opinions that are so strongly held or a close, personal friend that you think it would impair your ability to be fair and impartial in this case? All right.

No one on the left side of the courtroom?

And no one on the right side of the courtroom? If you're selected to serve as a juror in this case, you will take an oath to render a verdict that is based upon the law as I give it to you, and you will be required to accept the law as I give it to you without regard to any personal opinion that you might have about what the law ought to be or should be or a personal opinion about what the law is.

Is there anybody who feels like they would have trouble reaching a verdict in accordance with the law as it's given to you in the instructions from the Court? Anybody think they would have any trouble serving as a juror under those circumstances?

All right. Very well. Now, there are basically two kinds of evidence. There's what we call direct evidence and there's what we call circumstantial evidence.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

You all have seen television shows and movies and you almost certainly know the difference between direct and circumstantial evidence, but let me tell you what the law recognizes.

Direct evidence is testimony of one who asserts that he or she has actual knowledge of a fact, and that would be an eyewitness.

Circumstantial evidence is evidence that comes from proof of a chain of events or facts or circumstances that indicate that a defendant is either quilty or not quilty.

The law makes no distinction at all between the weight that you may give to either direct evidence or circumstantial evidence. Does any of you feel that you could not -- you just could not give as much weight to circumstantial evidence as you would give to direct evidence, like the testimony of an eyewitness? Anyone feel like they could not?

Do any of you feel that you couldn't rely on circumstantial evidence that you believe beyond a reasonable doubt to find the defendant guilty? Anyone? Would anyone struggle with that? I take it by your silence that no one would.

Would any of you require the United States to present some eyewitness to an event before you could find

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the defendant quilty? Would anyone require an eyewitness? I take it by your silence you would not.

Now, in the federal criminal justice system, unlike state court, unlike courts in the State of Texas, it is the job of the Court, the responsibility of the Court, not the jury, to decide punishment in a criminal case. And that occurs after what we call presentence investigation report is complete, and that takes into account matters that are relevant only to sentencing.

The jury decides the facts to determine whether the United States has proven the charges beyond a reasonable doubt. Should the jury find that the United States has proven its case, the jury will have no role at all in assessing the punishment. So you must not let any sympathy or compassion for a defendant which may be appropriate for sentencing affect your decision in deciding whether the United States has met its burden of proof in this case.

Is there anybody at all who would have any difficulty with that concept? It is the Court's responsibility to determine any appropriate sentencing, not the jury's. Is there anyone here who would vote not quilty no matter what the evidence is because of the possibility that the Court will be the one to impose the sentence?

All right. Is there anyone who, for any reason at

all, a religious reason, a moral reason, a philosophical 1 2 reason, some personal reason, who feels like they cannot or should not sit in judgment of another person? Anyone fall 3 4 into those categories? You have a personal reason or religious reason, some moral reason that you would find it very difficult to sit in judgment of another person? 6 7 take it by your silence you do not. 8 The law will require you to hear the evidence and 9 to base your verdict on the facts as you find them. law precludes any consideration of factors, like I said, 10 11 such as sympathy or compassion or prejudice or vengeance or 12 hostility or any other emotions like that. The law 13 precludes your consideration of those. Is there anyone who feels that he or she could not put those factors out of 14 15 their mind in deliberating an a verdict in this case? Does anyone have any visual problems, hearing 16 impairment that might affect your ability to listen 17 18 carefully and devote your full attention to this proceeding? Okay. Very well. 19 20 Now, the next question I want to ask relates to 21 any sexual conduct, sexual abuse, sexual molestation or 22 sexual assault that you are aware of between an adult and a 23 child. And what I want to do is to ask whether anybody on

the panel -- and all I want you to do at this point is to

raise your hand and tell me your name and if there's anyone

24

```
who has any knowledge of that, we'll discuss that in
1
 2
   private outside the -- outside the presence of the other
   panel members -- but I just want to find out if there's
 3
 4
   anyone who falls into this category.
            And the question is this: Have you, a member of
 5
   your family, or a close, personal friend ever been involved
 6
7
   in any incident in which there was some sexual conduct,
   abuse, molestation or assault between an adult and a child?
 8
            Now, you told me about your stepdaughters and so
10
   I'm aware of that. Anybody else who falls into that
11
   category?
12
            Ms. Bell, we're going to talk afterwards. You --
13
   thank you, Ms. Bell.
14
            Yes, sir.
15
            Mr. Fleming, is that right?
16
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
17
            THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Fleming.
18
            Yes, sir. Mr. -- Mr. Gray.
19
            Anybody else on the left section?
20
            Okay. On the right section? As I said, I'm
21
   aware, Ms. Feliciano, you told me about your stepdaughters.
22
   Anybody else on the right section? Mr. De Champlain, I'll
23
   visit with you later. Anyone else in the right section?
24
   Okay. Very good. Okay.
25
            Putting that issue aside, which we will address
```

outside the presence of the other panel members, has there 1 -- is there anything out there that either has been 2 discussed already this morning in my questions to you or 3 that has not been discussed that you feel like might affect your ability to sit as a fair and impartial juror in this case? Anyone who feels like they might have trouble being 6 7 fair or impartial? 8 Now, counsel for the Government and counsel for the defendant will have an opportunity to, as I have told 9 you earlier, ask additional questions of you, but right 10 11 now, nobody is aware of any other reason because of 12 something that's already come up that you haven't spoken 13 about, or that has not come up that you feel like would affect your ability to be fair and impartial as a juror in 14 15 this case both to the United States and to the defendant? I take it by your silence there is not. 16 I want to say one final thing before turning the 17 18 questioning over to the lawyers. The jurors who are 19 selected to serve in this case will actually serve as 20 judges of the facts and the jurors selected will make the

I want to say one final thing before turning the questioning over to the lawyers. The jurors who are selected to serve in this case will actually serve as judges of the facts and the jurors selected will make the sole determination about what the facts are in this case. My job as the judge is to rule on questions of law and evidence and procedure and to control the courtroom and the flow of the trial.

21

22

23

24

25

I do want to put into hopefully proper perspective

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something about the roles that the participants in the trial play. As I said, as the judge, I rule on questions of law and evidence and I control the trial.

With regard to the lawyers, it is important for each you to understand that our judicial system is what we call an adversary system, which means simply that during trial, each side, each party and their lawyers, are going to be trying their best to present their prospective cases to the jury in the best light possible.

Lawyers frequently are criticized by the public and the media, and sometimes I feel like this results from a very basic misunderstanding of our adversary system. Our adversary system is designed in such a way that lawyers act as advocates for their clients, for the competing parties. And as an advocate, a lawyer is ethically and legally obligated to zealously assert his or her client's position under the rules of our system.

And by presenting the very best case possible on behalf of their clients, the lawyers hopefully enable to jurors to better understand the relevant evidence, to better weigh the relevant evidence and to determine the truth of what happened and to arrive at a just verdict based upon the evidence that's come in through the trial. This is a system of justice that has served our nation well for more than 200 years now, and America's lawyers have

been and continue to be an important and critical part of that process.

So once this trial begins, I may frown from time to time, I may growl at these excellent advocates from time to time, but that's because I'm trying to make sure the advocacy doesn't get outside the boundaries of the adversary system and the rules of procedure. But I would like for you to keep in mind as we go along this morning and when the trial begins that these lawyers are just doing their jobs. And I think it's important for all of us to be aware of that.

The parties are now going to have an opportunity to ask some questions of you. Again, as I told you, there really are no wrong answers. As long as your answer is a truthful response to the question that is asked, that's the right answer. And the parties are entitled to the information to be gained through these questions. They are not here to unduly pry into your private affairs. They're here to gather information for the purpose of selecting a fair and impartial jury and that's their job today.

Now, if I think they're not entitled to ask a question, I'm certainly going to let them know that, but these are excellent lawyers and I'm sure that's not going to happen. Again, if you have any serious hesitancy at all about answering a question fully and completely in front of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

```
the whole panel, you should raise your hand and say that
   and we can address you at the very end outside the presence
   of the other panel members.
            Okay. At this time, counsel for the Government
   may voir dire the jury panel.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it
   please the Court, counsel, Mr. Mims, Ms. Boren.
            Ladies and gentlemen of the panel, how is
   everybody this morning? Good? Thank you for being here.
            I introduced myself before, and I'll tell you my
   name again, I'm Nathaniel Kummerfeld, Assistant United
   States Attorney here in Tyler, and joining me and assisting
   me in this case, if you're chosen for the jury you'll get
   to meet her further, is Marisa Miller. She's from our
   Plano office, the United States Attorney's Office there.
            Ms. McCullars is our litigation support specialist
   in the Tyler office, and the case agent here is Elmer
   Armstrong from the Homeland Security Investigation
   Department and he is going to -- if you're chosen as a
   juror, I suspect you might hear him testify.
21
            We have heard a lot about you. I'll tell you a
22
   little bit about my life. I'm from Tyler. I grew up here,
   I went to school here, like many of you I assume did grew
   up in Tyler. I'm glad I have an opportunity to live here,
   work here, to raise my family here.
```

```
I'm married. I have a daughter, she's three.
1
2
   favorite thing in the world to do is spend time with my
   daughter and my wife and then also basketball. Any
3
   basketball fans here in the audience? That's what I do and
4
   what I do for fun is spend time with family.
5
            So everybody here is -- lives in East Texas now.
6
7
   I'm assuming you read the jury questionnaires. If you're
   from out of state, is everybody happy to live in East Texas
8
   now?
         Show of hands? Proud to be from East Texas?
                                                        Anybody
   ready to move? I think it's a good place to live. For
10
11
   those of you who feel strongly about East Texas like I do,
   it's a great place to live, tell me what you like about it.
12
13
   Any volunteers?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: It's green.
15
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Sir?
16
            PANEL MEMBER: It's green.
17
            MR. KUMMERFELD: It's green, that's true.
18
   green, right?
19
            What else? Anybody else have anything else they
20
   like? Anybody live somewhere else and moved to East Texas
2.1
   or back to East Texas and they noticed the differences,
22
   beside the fact that it's green?
            PANEL MEMBER: There's a lot of traffic.
23
24
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You must not be from Tyler, she
25
   says -- she says there's a lot of traffic. There is more
```

```
Case 6:19-cr-00004-RWS-KNM Document 120 Filed 06/01/21 Page 55 of 281 PageID #: 897
         traffic. There is more traffic here than when I grew up.
       1
          We moved here in the early '80s. There's a lot more
       2
         traffic than there used to be.
       3
                  Ms. Bell, you said it's friendly. Tell us what
       4
          you mean about that. And I'm going to ask you for the
       5
         benefit of the court reporter. Please speak loudly and.
       6
       7
                  PANEL MEMBER: Can I take the mask off?
       8
                  MR. KUMMERFELD: Absolutely. If you're
          comfortable taking that off to speak.
       9
                  THE COURT: It will help if you will stand up,
      10
      11
         please.
      12
                  PANEL MEMBER: I think that's worse. I'm just --
      13
          I think it's friendly here. I lived in Virginia until I
          was in 9th grade, 8th grade, and just -- it's friendlier
      14
      15
          down here. The way people are friendlier. The food is
         better. But I like it here and I have been here for
      16
          45 years.
      17
      18
                  MR. KUMMERFELD: That's great. I definitely agree
          with you. Who else feels like Ms. Bell, that there's a lot
      19
      20
          of great things about it, the people are one of the great
      21
          things about East Texas? Who feels like that, a lot of
      22
          folks? Anybody else want to comment on that? Have
```

I'll tell you, my wife had the same impression.

She grew up in Houston and she moved here many years ago,

23

24

25

thoughts on that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about the time we got married. And one of the first things that she said to me when she visited after having lived in Houston, spending time down there, a lot of traffic, there's a lot of folks coming and going from all over the world really. She said people here are very friendly, like you said, Ms. Bell, and she said, and to tell you the truth, I'm not used to that. I'm not used to being in a store or being, you know, interacting with somebody and I have to wonder if they're telling me the truth or not, but here, at first I was skeptical here, I couldn't tell if the people were actually being genuine, they were friendly and they were truthful with each other. So that's one thing she noticed. And I said, you know, you're right, the people are truthful and friendly. They say what they mean and mean what they say. That's one good thing about folks from East Texas. I can tell you that I'm glad to be here, I'm glad you're here. Not all of you will be selected to be on the jury but for those of you who are, again, our appreciation for your role in this process. As Judge Schroeder said in his introduction, and I'll just mention it to you again, right now, jury selection, there are no wrong answers. There are only answers. Everybody is different, everybody has different feelings about the different topics we have

discussed and will discuss and there really are no wrong 1 2 answers. The only -- really the only wrong answer is the 3 one you didn't give an answer for because once -- if you're 4 selected for the jury, once we start, you're going to 5 listen to a lot of witnesses testify but you won't get to 6 7 speak anymore at that point in time after this. So right now is the chance for us to all have a conversation and 8 discuss how we feel about different issues. I appreciate y'all's efforts to participate. 10 11 Who feels like telling the truth is important? 12 Yeah? I do. I think almost everybody does. Does anybody 13 feel that it's not important? I have never heard anybody tell me that telling the truth is not important. Truth is 14 15 important. Who here owns a small business? Or manages a 16 17 small business? Mr. Crossman does, Ms. Ingle does. What 18 about managers or supervisors? Miss Dykes, Miss Bell, Mrs. 19 Gregory, I can kind of see your hand up a little bit, 20 you're an administrator of a school, right? You manage 21 people. Okay. More hands going up. Mr. Gray. 22 I want -- I'm going to ask a question, and those 23 folks who just raised their hands to help me with this one. 24 Miss Dykes, let me ask you first. As a manager --25 well, tell me what kind of business do you manage?

```
1
            PANEL MEMBER: Property manager.
2
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Speak up just a little bit. And
   stand up for us, please.
3
4
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm a property manager and
   caretaker.
5
6
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Oh, wow. You have your hands
7
   full, employees, everybody. Let me ask you this: Is it
8
   your preference to have honest employees or dishonest
   employees?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Honest. We manage our business and
11
   we need employees we can trust.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Why is that?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: We manage someone's home and that's
14
   one of the important things is we take care about their
15
   home and we manage their home.
16
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Appreciate that.
17
            Mrs. Gregory, what about you from a perspective of
18
   being an administrator of a school, do you prefer to have
   honest employees or dishonest?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Honest.
2.1
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Why is that?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Because we're dealing with children
23
   and we tell them don't believe what they say about us so
24
   what we say about them -- (inaudible.)
25
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You expect everybody to say the
```

```
truth, speak the truth?
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: That's right.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Who else is -- the hands came and
3
4
   went pretty good. Who have we not heard from? Mr. Gray,
5
   yes, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah. Honesty is extremely
6
7
   important.
            THE COURT: Mr. Gray, can you stand up for me.
8
9
            PANEL MEMBER: Honesty is very important. It's
10
   important because you have to have it to work with in the
11
   business I'm in.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Right, because once you lose
13
   that, it's kind of hard to come back you from it, right?
   Once somebody -- who has been lied to before?
14
15
   Unfortunately that's a life experience, right? Everybody
   has been lied to. Hopefully not by a loved one or somebody
16
17
   that you're close to, but everybody has been lied to by
18
   somebody. How does that make you feel?
19
            Miss Jackson, can I ask you about that? Have you
20
   ever been lied to before? I'm not going to ask you to tell
21
   the whole story but I'll ask you to stand up and tell us
22
   how does that make you feel when somebody lies to you.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Made me feel like you can't really
24
   trust too many people.
25
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Makes you doubt other people?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: Right. They might be telling the
1
2
   truth but you still have that doubt.
3
            MR. KUMMERFELD: So going forward with it, because
   they lied to you before, now you're questioning yourself
4
   whether they're telling you the truth or untruth?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Correct.
6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Yeah, I know how you feel
   about that.
8
9
            Mr. French, I don't think I have asked you a
10
   question yet. Have you ever been lied to before?
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I'm not going to ask you the
13
   story. Can you tell the folks here how that made you feel?
            PANEL MEMBER: Didn't make me feel good.
14
15
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Is it easy for you to regain
   trust in that person that's been dishonest with you?
16
            PANEL MEMBER: Not with that person.
17
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Why is that?
            PANEL MEMBER: Because I been lied to.
19
20
            MR. KUMMERFELD: It's tough because you know that
21
   you've got to evaluate, double-check everything somebody
   says if they don't tell you the truth, right?
22
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
24
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Thanks, Mr. French.
25
            I'll tell you something about me. When I get home
```

```
I put my wallet and my keys in the same place every time.
1
2
   Does anybody put them in a different place every time?
   Mr. Green does. Okay. Anybody that does what I do, why do
3
   you do that? Why do you put your wallet and keys in the
   same place every time?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: (Inaudible.)
6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I'm sorry. I can't hear you,
8
   what you were saying.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: They're too heavy.
10
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Ms. Epperson?
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Is it just because it's a habit
13
   or because it's a habit that's helpful?
            PANEL MEMBER: It's a habit that's helpful. If
14
15
   you know where you put it you can go back and pick it up,
   if you put it someplace else, then you're lost.
16
17
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Miss Bozart, I haven't
18
   called on you.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: I was going to say the same thing,
20
   you know where it's at.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. And for those of you who
22
   have -- isn't that the first place you look when you say, I
23
   misplaced my keys, they must be where I always put them.
24
   They usually are.
25
            I'm curious. Mr. Green, why do you put them in a
```

```
different place?
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't know. Like when you get
   tired you just say, whatever, just go straight to sleep.
3
4
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Then you've got to go hunt
   around.
5
            PANEL MEMBER: I can go back to the last place I
6
7
   had it and it helps me remember.
8
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You've got a good memory. Okay.
9
            Let me ask you another question about keys. And
   I'll tell you something else about my keys. I've got a set
10
11
   of keys, I don't have them with me because I don't want
12
   them jingling in my pocket when I talk to you folks, but
13
   I've got keys to my car, I've got keys to my house, and
   I've got keys to my office, right? Probably similar for
14
15
   everybody else.
            Some folks have a Post Office box, maybe you have
16
   a key to a relative's house, a relative you check in on.
17
18
   That's pretty much the same for everybody? Okay.
            Does anybody on their key chain carry around keys
19
20
   to a house that they don't own, rent or visit regularly?
21
   Okay. I saw Mr. Bell laugh when I said that. Tell us why
22
   that was funny.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: I got a lot of keys.
24
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Got you, got you. Okay. You got
25
   a lot of keys. Do you carry around keys to houses that you
```

```
don't go to or locks that you don't ever open?
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Oh, you do? Okay. Tell us about
3
4
   that. Is it because of your work?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah, work. They change the locks
5
   so much that --
6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Got you. All associated with
   work or something like that? Got you.
8
            Ms. Feliciano, I saw you nodded your head when I
10
   said that. Tell us the answer to that question.
11
            PANEL MEMBER: I have some keys I don't use
12
   anymore.
13
           MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. The shop, if you were the
14
   owner you probably used to go there when you owned the
15
   shop. Okay.
            Everybody probably has a commute, you go to work
16
17
   or go to the store, if you may drive the same way or a
18
   similar way every day. I do, pretty much, and I'm asking
   you to use your imagination for a second and think about
19
20
   your commute. And I can think about a number of different
21
   houses that I see every day when I drive and I pass by.
22
   I've never been in any of those houses. I don't know the
23
   people that live in those houses. And I don't have keys to
24
   any of those houses. Does that seem reasonable? Would it
25
   surprise you if somebody had a key to one of the houses
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that they just drove by on their way to work? Wouldn't that be strange? Does anybody think that wouldn't be strange? I don't see any hands. So you certainly wouldn't have a key like that to a house that you don't go to and you wouldn't carry around a key chain if you -- if somebody gave it to you, would you? You would return it to the owner, right? That's what most people would do. So let me -- let me change gears for a second and ask some folks. I know on every jury panel there's some travellers, world travellers, there's some folks that may have been in the military and been stationed around the world and lots of interesting experiences traveling. At the same time, I haven't heard it said in a while, but I have -- I believe did note some folks that -my grandmother never did -- that never left the county where she grew up. My grandfather left the county because he went off to World War II. But there are some folks who had never left the county. There's folks who travel a lot and some don't. Looking for the world travellers. But first, show of hands if you have traveled out of state. Not asking if you have during Covid, that's between you and your doctor, but out of state in your lifetime. Anybody not travelled outside the state of Texas? Okay. Nobody.

Who has traveled outside the United States of

```
Case 6:19-cr-00004-RWS-KNM Document 120 Filed 06/01/21 Page 65 of 281 PageID #: 907
         America? Lot of hands. Okay. Who thinks that they maybe
       1
       2
         have travelled the farthest away from Texas?
       3
                  Ms. Ingle, okay.
       4
                  PANEL MEMBER: I was born in Germany.
                  MR. KUMMERFELD: Stand up and it also so the Court
       5
          can hear you.
       6
       7
                  PANEL MEMBER: I was born in Frankfurt Main,
       8
          Germany.
                  MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay.
                  PANEL MEMBER: I don't remember but my dad was in
      10
      11
          the Army and my mother went over there when she was
          pregnant and had me over there. We were there for probably
      12
      13
          about a year.
      14
                  MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay.
      15
                  PANEL MEMBER: And I don't remember any of it.
                  MR. KUMMERFELD: That's in Europe, right?
      16
      17
                  PANEL MEMBER: Frankfurt, Germany.
      18
                  MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Has anybody else traveled
          further than Ms. Ingle in Germany?
      19
                  Ms. Feliciano.
      20
      21
                  PANEL MEMBER: Kenya.
      22
                  MR. KUMMERFELD: Kenya? Okay. That's in, like,
      23
          central east Africa, right? What did you do in Kenya?
      24
                  PANEL MEMBER: Mission trip.
```

MR. KUMMERFELD: I can't hear you, I'm sorry.

```
1
            PANEL MEMBER: A mission trip.
2
            MR. KUMMERFELD: A mission trip? Okay. Very
   good. How did you get there?
3
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: Airplane.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You flew in an airplane? So you
5
   could fly across the ocean. Okay. Has anybody else been
6
7
   further than Kenya? Anybody that's traveled
   internationally, traveled any other way than flying a plane
8
   across the ocean? Okay.
            Mr. De Champlain, I see you shaking your head.
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Stopped in Canada when we went back
12
   to Alaska.
13
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So you traveled to Alaska
14
   by ship and stopped in Canada.
15
            So a ship or a plane, we all agree that that's how
   you can travel internationally?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, I drove through Canada, too.
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Certainly. Right.
                                                 I meant to
19
   Europe or to Kenya like Ms. Feliciano. Okay. Very good.
20
            Let me ask you a little bit about your familiarity
21
   with computers and the internet. We have some questions on
22
   the questionnaire that we asked you, what kind of device
23
   did you use, what kind of internet browsers and chat
24
   applications and things like that, so I won't ask you that
25
   again but I'll ask you how many of you consider yourself to
```

```
be completely computer illiterate or have very little
1
2
   computer experience. Anybody?
            Okay. Mr. French first. Would you stand up and
3
   tell us about that.
4
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't work on computers that much
5
   at all.
6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You don't work on computers that
   much at all?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Got it.
10
11
            Ms. Dews, I think you also shook your head; is
12
   that right? Okay. Would you stand up and tell us why you
13
   consider yourself to be computer illiterate? And I mean
   that -- I mean that -- I don't mean that you're computer
14
15
   illiterate, I don't mean you, Mr. French, I'm just saying
   in your use of technology?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm still -- (inaudible.)
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay.
            THE COURT: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you.
19
20
   Could you speak up, please?
            PANEL MEMBER: Just the technology. I'm still a
21
22
   little afraid of that.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Yeah, and you know what, my dad
24
   is the same way. I'll stop by his house and he always has
25
   a question for me and it's always about, how do I do this
```

```
on my computer, how do I do that on my phone.
1
2
            Is there anybody else relatively computer
   illiterate? Yes, sir, Mr. Dayberry.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm old school. My generation when
4
   I was growing up we were hands-on. So I've always worked
5
   with my hands never did an office much or anything, very
6
7
   small amount of exposure to computers.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Right. That's makes sense.
8
   Okay. Thank you.
9
10
            How many of you on the opposite end of the
11
   spectrum consider yourself to be a computer expert? An IT
12
   guy kind of person? I know there were a few people on the
13
   questionnaire that had some IT background. Okay.
   Mr. Frowick?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah, it's my job and I think I
   don't think I'm an expert.
16
17
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You're probably being humble as
18
   far as computers are concerned. What kind of IT background
19
   do you have?
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Mainframes, end servers.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. You set up networking?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Not the networking. Just the
23
   application side of it.
24
           MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. I'll consider you the
25
   computer expert more than most.
```

Has anybody here had this happen to you or if you heard about maybe a relative or a close friend heard about a stranger contacting a child on the internet? Have any of you, like, had your sibling come to you and say, hey, let me tell you what happened, my daughter was on the internet, Instagram, or something like that, and this person started talking to them? Have y'all heard of any stories like that? That's never happened to anybody here? Well, that's good. And actually, just to be clear, this did not happen to my brother or his daughter, but I've heard stories like that.

I know we have some teachers and school

administrators on the panel here today, so you obviously have special knowledge in education dealing with children. It's what you do. Besides the teachers and school administrators, are any other people in here that have specialized knowledge about children? Maybe you're a counselor or you deal with childhood education as far as, like, putting together educational plans or anything like that that would -- where you have had some knowledge or training dealing with children? I don't see any hands.

Do any of you -- I know a few of you -- I know

Mr. Fleming, I believe, you're a coach for a long time so

you have dealt with kids a long time. High-school age

mostly or junior high?

```
PANEL MEMBER: Both.
1
2
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Both high school and junior high.
            And Mr. De Champlain, you're involved in Scouting;
3
4
   is that right?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Who is my Scouting people?
6
7
   Ms. Feliciano. Is there somebody else? Yes, Mr. Frowick.
8
   Okay. So Ms. Feliciano and Mr. Frowick, y'all have done
   that in the scouting context, right?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
11
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Is there anybody else
12
   that's been involved with youth, either through scouting,
13
   through sports, through church groups, anything of that
   nature?
14
15
            Ms. Bell, Ms. Bell, would you stand up and tell us
   about that?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm a coach also.
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Oh, you're a coach also? What do
19
   you coach?
20
            PANEL MEMBER: I coach sports.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: What are those sports?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: (Inaudible.)
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So you got the whole year
24
   covered then. Okay. Great, that's wonderful.
25
           Who else works with children? Ms. Dykes?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: I worked with between two and
1
2
   three-year-olds at my church in Arlington.
3
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Is that like a summer
4
   program or throughout the year?
            PANEL MEMBER: School year.
5
            MR. KUMMERFELD: School-year program? Okay.
6
7
   Anybody else?
8
            Mr. Crossman.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: I was a Scout Master from '14 to
10
   '19.
11
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Great. So you had opportunity to
12
   deal with a lot of folks during those years as a Scout
13
   Master?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
14
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Let me ask y'all a little
15
   bit more sensitive question, and I appreciate y'all's input
16
17
   on this. Does anybody believe that a minor, and when I'm
18
   talking about a minor, I'm talking about somebody under the
   age of 18 years old. Does anybody believe that a minor is
19
20
   capable of consenting in engaging in sexual activity?
21
            Ms. Epperson, is that you that said no? Please
22
   stand up. That's a pretty emphatic. I agree with you.
23
   Tell us why you answered that way.
            PANEL MEMBER: Because I don't think children of
24
25
   that age have the experience as adults would have. I think
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
it's pretty much to protect the children. And I know my
grandchildren, they are very limited on the internet. My
daughters have it locked down. And I don't think they have
-- I don't think they have access.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Thanks, Ms. Epperson.
        Ms. Gregory, I'm coming back to you because you
have a lot of kids in school. What are your thoughts on
that in response to what Ms. Epperson just said?
        PANEL MEMBER: I think that kids don't
rationally -- they can't make decisions that adults can
make, and so -- but also that internet, those kind of
things that a person can wait 'til they come to an age,
accountability and teach them things like that.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Very good. Thank you.
        Anybody else have thoughts on that issue? I know
it's kind of a sensitive one. Do we all agree children
under the age of 18 need to be protected and taken care of?
And like Ms. Epperson said, probably monitor to make sure
that they're kept safe.
        Do we all accept the proposition that under the
laws that the child cannot consent under the age of 18,
cannot consent to engage in sexual activity with an adult?
Does everybody understand that's the law?
        Now, y'all may have heard of this before or not,
but there are organizations that believe differently from
```

```
-- from all of you and what Ms. Epperson and Ms. Gregory
1
   here just articulated about, that there are organizations
2
   that actually promote the sexual activity between adults
3
   and children. That type of thing does, in fact, exist that
   promote sexual activity between adults and children, with
   minor children, etc. Has anybody heard of organizations
6
   like these?
7
            Mr. De Champlain, probably a lot. Stand up and
8
   tell us what you have heard.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: NAMBLA, Man/Boy Love Association,
10
11
   that promotes sexual stuff between adults and minors.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Yeah, has anybody else
13
   heard of that organization? I have heard of that, too. So
   does anybody think there's any age where a minor under the
14
15
   age of 18 should be able to engage in sexual activity with
   an adult? No. Most people feel the same way but I want
16
   y'all to be aware there are organizations that feel
17
18
   differently.
            When we talk about child exploitation, what does
19
20
   that bring about in your mind. When you hear the term
21
   "child exploitation," what does that mean? Mr. Hester,
22
   what does that bring to mind to you? When you hear the
23
   term child "sexual exploitation," what does mean to you?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: (Inaudible.)
25
            THE COURT: Mr. Hester, could you come to the
```

```
microphone for us? Thank you.
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Just exploiting someone for your
   own benefit.
3
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So what sort of offenses
4
   come to mind? Like sex trafficking, have you heard of sex
5
   trafficking?
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, I have.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: In fact, there are organizations
8
9
   that try to deal with the victims of sexual trafficking,
   trying to prevent that activity from occurring. Child
10
11
   prostitution, does that bring to mind child exploitation?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
13
            MR. KUMMERFELD: And then child pornography?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
15
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hester.
            Mr. Dehoff, what about you? What comes to mind
16
   when you think of child exploitation?
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: Just children that are being put
19
   out there to be taken advantage of.
20
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay.
21
            Ms. Clark, what about you?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Manipulation.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Could you stand up for me, Miss
   Clark?
24
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Manipulation.
```

```
THE COURT: Ms. Clark, would you go to the
1
2
   microphone for me, please. Thank you.
            PANEL MEMBER: I just believe we have so many kids
3
   today that don't have quidance in their homes and there's
4
   manipulation. And they're easily -- doesn't take a lot to
5
   deceive or manipulate because they trust. That's a big
6
7
   part.
8
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Thank you.
            I have read your jury questionnaires and I know
9
   many of you have children under the age of 18 or you have
10
11
   had children under the age of 18 and are grown now and you
12
   also have grandchildren. Does anybody here think --
13
   sometimes some people don't have children or grandchildren
   so maybe this is a question for them.
14
15
            Does anybody think they couldn't tell the
   difference between a prepubescent child and postpubescent
16
17
   child if you see a picture of them unclothed? Anybody
18
   think about they may be challenged to know the difference
   between a prepubescent child and a postpubescent child?
19
20
   Does anybody here not have children?
21
            Okay. Mr. Green, I'll pick on you for a second.
22
   Just stand up. You were a child once. You probably had
23
   siblings, right?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
25
            MR. KUMMERFELD: And do you think you could tell
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
the difference if you saw a photograph of a child if they
were prepubescent and postpubescent?
        PANEL MEMBER: I'm not sure.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: You're not sure you could?
        PANEL MEMBER: No.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Mr. Hester, would you
speak loud. The judge won't hear you if you don't. Do you
think you can tell the difference between a prepubescent
child and a postpubescent child if you saw a photograph?
        PANEL MEMBER: I could, yes.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: You could? Okay.
        Does anybody here not have children besides
Mr. Green and Mr. Hester? Everybody else has children?
Anybody think that they would have trouble with that? Any
of the folks that have children think they would struggle
to make that determination? Okay. I don't see any hands.
        Have you folks read or heard anything about
government investigations into child pornography? Heard
anything on the TV or internet about law enforcement
efforts?
        Mr. Fleming, tell the folks what you've heard.
        PANEL MEMBER: Well, I guess primarily one of the
things about how we -- how law enforcement uses different
techniques to locate and prosecute people who are involved
in child sexual exploitation and sexual abuse, especially
```

```
because of all the devices they can contact children
1
2
   through.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: So you have heard different
3
4
   things about that?
            PANEL MEMBER: (Nonverbal response.)
5
            MR. KUMMERFELD: There are some people who feel
6
7
   like -- have feelings that law enforcement should not, you
8
   know, search the internet in online chat bot forums, things
   like that, by folks who may be engaging in child sexual
   exploitation. I take it you probably think that that's
10
11
   perfectly acceptable and should happen. What is your
12
   feeling on that? Do you think the government has no
13
   business doing that or, based on what you heard about these
   cases, you think it's good the Government is out there
14
15
   watching to protect children?
16
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, I believe that there has to be
   some effort made in that regard, and I have had some
17
18
   training so I know how that's done. And I realize that
19
   it's a different process anyway, very different to go out
20
   there and find these people and bring them to justice. But
21
   yeah, I believe that what they're doing is the best case
22
   scenario for what they have to do.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Fleming.
24
            Mr. Nayhof ck, how do you feel about that?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah, I don't have a problem with
25
```

```
them investigating and trying to catch predators.
1
 2
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Some folks have a belief, a
   mistaken belief that possessing child pornography, as long
 3
   as it's within their homes, is something that's permissible
 4
   and protected under the Constitution, under their First
 5
   Amendment right to freedom of expression. Some people
 6
   believe that.
7
            If the Court were to instruct you as a matter of
 8
   law that that's not the case, that Congress has passed laws
 9
   that make it a criminal offense to possess child
10
11
   pornography and such activity is not protected by the First
12
   Amendment or by any of the other constitutional right, does
13
   anybody feel like, as long as this happens in their own
   home, then possessing child pornography is acceptable,
14
15
   their constitutional rights are protected? It's not wrong
   if you do have that belief, but we need to know that to
16
   select the appropriate jurors for this particular case.
17
18
            I don't see any hands.
19
            Does everybody believe the government should
20
   protect children by prohibiting the possession of child
21
   pornography? Hands?
22
            Anybody feel like we shouldn't? No?
23
            Does anybody feel like the government spends too
24
   much time and money protecting children? Nobody?
            I think a lot of people that have a lot of views
25
```

about how the government spends its money and a lot of people the government is very wasteful with its money, but we all think that the abuse of -- protecting children is a righteous and valuable use of their money?

Let me say a few things about possession. This is a possession of child pornography case. There's two ways a person can possess, okay? These are just legal terms but I think they're important for y'all to consider. Possession can be actual. Actual possession is when a person knowingly has direct physical control over an item, they're in actual possession. I'm actually possessing this pen, I'm holding it right now.

Possession can also be constructive, okay? What that means is that the person, though is not in actual possession of an item, has dominion or control over something, either directly or through another person, that person is in constructive possession of something.

So here is an example. I have a book on the table right there, this blue book. Now even though Ms. Miller is holding it, okay, it's still my book. Ms. Miller is just holding it for me. Now I'm in constructive possession. If she were to hand it to Ms. McCullars or to Agent Armstrong, it's still my book. I still have constructive possession of it because it's my book.

The same is true if that book is in my car in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parking lot, in my office, if it's in my house, in my briefcase in the hallway if I left my briefcase outside, that book would still belong to me and it's still in my constructive possession. So think about it in those terms. The items you possess, if they're in your bag, purse, your car, your house, storage unit, you possess those items. Even if you don't actually possess them, at times you constructively possess them. If you ask somebody to bring something from home, say that you forgot something at home because you happen to serve on this jury panel this morning and you needed something and you left your phone or something like that and you asked your sister, would you go to my house and bring my phone, I'm expecting a call and I don't want to miss it, you're -- that's still your phone. Your sister is just bringing it to you. Does that all make sense? Okay. We have talked about the presumption of innocence. We'll talk about the presumption of innocence some more. All defendants are innocent until proven guilty. Every defendant that is tried in the courtroom, it's called a rebuttal presumption. What that means is that once the Government starts presenting evidence, if you're chosen for this case, you

hear the testimony of witnesses and the presentation of

exhibits, the question becomes whether the evidence is sufficient to meet the Government's burden of proof, and that's proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Okay. We'll cover that in just a moment.

Your job as jurors is to listen to the testimony of all the witnesses and decide what you believe. So you can decide what you believe. You can believe all of what you hear, some of what you hear, you can believe none of what you hear, okay? But you make that determination based on the credibility of the witnesses. Does that all make sense?

Another thing I'll say about that is all testifying witnesses start off on equal footing, okay?

They will all be required to swear -- to take an oath and tell the truth, and once that do that and testify, then you as the person who gets to determine the credibility of witnesses, get to use your reason and common sense and your life experience to decide if that person is credible or if they're not credible, if what they're saying makes sense or doesn't make sense based on your own judgment. Does everybody understand that?

Okay. There's a lot of strong feelings these days. All you have to do is turn on the news to hear a lot of people have a lot of opinions about what's going on right now. And a lot of what's out in the media right now

```
is a discussion about law enforcement, about whether people
1
2
   trust law enforcement or distrust law enforcement.
            The judge asked you about some experiences with
3
   law enforcement and some of these questions were answered
4
   and some we'll discuss in private. Does anybody here --
   let me see hands -- have such a distrust of law enforcement
6
   because of your experience, because of what you watch on TV
7
   or see on the news that you would distrust anything that
8
   they say and wouldn't be able to rely on their testimony?
10
   Okay. I don't see any hands.
11
            So can everybody evaluate the testimony of a
12
   witness even if a it's law enforcement witness? Okay.
13
            The flip side of that is -- and I'll pick on
   Mr. De Champlain because he is the retired law enforcement
14
15
   officer, some folks would trust anything that law
   enforcement says simply because they're law enforcement.
16
17
   Mr. De Champlain, I'll ask you to answer that. You're in
18
   law enforcement a long time. You know lot of law
   enforcement people?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You probably testified at trial
22
   before as a law enforcement officer; is that true?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Many times.
24
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Having that experience,
   probably a lot more experience than anybody else in the
25
```

```
courtroom I would imagine, testifying as a law enforcement
1
 2
   officer, being around other law enforcement officers who
   testify, would you believe anything a law enforcement said
 3
   simply because they're law enforcement?
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: Not simply because they're law
 5
   enforcement.
 6
 7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Would you be willing to
 8
   listen to all the testimony and not adjudge their
   credibility based on your experience, the other evidence in
   the case, and your own reasoning before you made a
10
11
   determination about whether to believe them or not to
12
   believe them?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
14
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Thank you, Mr. De Champlain.
15
            Let me say something about the burden of proof
   that I mentioned before. Y'all have heard it said that
16
17
   burden of proof in a criminal case is proof beyond a
18
   reasonable doubt. You're familiar with it because that's
   the burden of proof in every criminal case here in the
19
20
   United States of America.
21
            So in every case that's happening today and it
22
   happened yesterday, it's going to happen next week, the
23
   burden of proof is proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
24
   true in the federal court and it's true in state court.
25
   That's the burden we, the United States of America, has to
```

```
prove. The burden rests on us. The defendant doesn't have
1
2
   to offer any evidence whatsoever.
            The burden of proof is proof beyond a reasonable
3
   doubt, not proof beyond all doubt. So if you hear me say
4
   -- Ms. D I'm going to ask you, you've heard it said, what's
5
   -- what's the only way to know something 100-percent sure?
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: To see it.
8
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Stand up please.
            PANEL MEMBER: To witness it or see it.
9
10
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You have to see it to believe it.
11
   So to be 100-percent sure, you have to be an eyewitness.
12
   We talked about that in the context of direct evidence,
13
   okay? If you saw something that was involved in this case,
14
   what would that make you?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Eyewitness.
16
            MR. KUMMERFELD: An eyewitness. So could you be a
   juror in this case?
17
            PANEL MEMBER:
18
                          No.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You couldn't, you would maybe be
19
20
   on someone's witness list. If the Government's burden is
21
   proof beyond all doubt, then that would make you all
22
   witnesses and doesn't make sense. So when we ask you to
23
   evaluate the burden of proof, we ask you to use your common
24
   sense. So I would ask you to use it here.
25
           Ms. Jackson, can I get you to help me with this
```

```
one. Let's imagine that this morning that I walked in the
1
2
   court and my suit was wet, I was shaking out an umbrella
   and you saw there was rain in the forecast, what would you
3
4
   think was going on outside? Can you stand up.
            PANEL MEMBER: Say that again.
5
            MR. KUMMERFELD: If you saw me, you're here in the
6
7
   courtroom, you saw the lawyers walking in the door. If you
8
   saw me and I was -- my coat was wet and I was shaking an
   umbrella out and you knew there was rain in the forecast,
   what would you think was happening outside?
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Rain.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: That's common sense, right?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Would you think some guys played
14
15
   a prank on me and sprayed me down as I was walking across
16
   the street?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: It's possible, right?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: It's possible.
20
            MR. KUMMERFELD: But not reasonable, right?
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
22
            MR. KUMMERFELD: It's possible I walked through
23
   the sprinkler?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: That's normal.
25
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Is that reasonable?
```

PANEL MEMBER: That could happen. 1 2 MR. KUMMERFELD: Yeah, I mean, why would I do that? I'm coming to court. The Court is not going to be 3 happy with you walking in soaking wet. So my point is, like you said, what happened is it 5 was probably raining outside. So I ask you to use your 6 7 common sense when you make those evaluations, when you're 8 evaluating the evidence in the case. All right. Folks, I think that's about it for me. 10 Is there anything that I didn't ask? Is there anything 11 that we need to know about you, the parties need to know, to know whether or not you're the right juror for this 12 13 case? Is there any other information that you want to volunteer to us to let us know, anybody, that maybe you're 14 15 not the right juror for this case? Anybody? Okay. The Court is going to read you instruction 16 if you're selected as a juror, and my last question is 17 18 If you're selected as a juror in this case, can you 19 agree to follow all the Court's instructions, everybody? 20 Can I get a show of hands? Everybody agrees to follow the 21 Court's instructions? Okay. Thank you, folks. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kummerfeld. 23 Mr. Mims, before you begin, let me ask the panel, 24 we have been going for about an hour and ten minutes. Does 25 anyone need a restroom break at this time? If so, raise

```
your hand and let me know. Anybody? Are we good? Okay.
1
 2
            Mr. Mims.
            MR. MIMS: May it please The Court, ladies and
 3
 4
   gentlemen of the venire panel, Government over here, folks
   over here.
 5
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims, do you have your mic on?
 6
 7
            MR. MIMS: Can you hear me?
 8
            THE COURT: Not very well.
 9
            MR. MIMS: Is this better?
10
            THE COURT:
                        That's better.
11
            MR. MIMS: Can you guys hear me? All right.
12
            Probably next year, year after, if I'm still with
13
   us, I will have been trying cases for 40 years. I'm
   talking about coming into courts like this. But this is
14
15
   the first time I have ever come to court wearing a mask
   because if I come in court six months ago wearing a mask,
16
17
   these guys over here would have jumped me and I would have
18
   been put in jail.
19
            So these are weird times and just like the judge
20
   said, we're going to try this case, I was a little bit
2.1
   concerned that we wouldn't be able to do it because we have
22
   to actually kind of form a relationship with the panel in
23
   order to make our judgment about who we think would be good
24
   for our case or who would be bad for our case.
25
           And that leads us into the other thing is that
```

```
like the judge said and counsel said over here, there's no
1
   right or wrong answers, just as long as the answer is
2
   truthful. So what we do is you may say something that
3
   either gets you off without having to serve, or you might
   say something in response that scares one side or the other
5
   and we give you one of our strikes and you can go on about
6
7
   your way. That's the way it works.
8
            I've been wearing a mask now since probably off
   and on since about February, March. Some people over here
9
   think that the pandemic is overrated and there's too much
10
11
   about it and others over here take is very seriously. Can
12
   I have a show of hands who think it's not all that serious
13
   and maybe more an overreaction? Anybody raise your hand
   over that? It's okay. Mr. De Champlain, okay, thank you.
14
15
   Okay. Four votes.
            And then I take it the rest of you think we're
16
   being reasonably cautious in doing this. Would that be a
17
18
   fair statement?
            I want to know also, who does not have a
19
20
   smartphone? Anybody not have a smartphone? Lord, should
21
   have bought stock. Does anybody -- is there anybody here,
22
   I know we got three or four folks that say that they're not
23
   -- they don't know about computers. What about internet?
24
            Back there, did you ever get on the internet?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
```

```
1
            MR. MIMS: Yes, sir. What do you use to get on
2
   the internet?
            PANEL MEMBER: What do I use?
3
 4
            MR. MIMS: Yes, sir. Computer? Smartphone?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir, the smartphone.
 5
            MR. MIMS: Smartphone. Everybody that's got a
6
7
   smartphone use it to get on the internet? Anybody that
8
   does not do that? Okay.
            Would you agree with me that there's a lot of
9
10
   stuff on there, a lot of it is good and a lot of it is bad.
11
   Does that sound better? Good. Okay. All right.
12
            One of the things -- what happens here is that in
13
   voir dire, we have to make our strikes based upon what you
   say. What the process we're going through here is is
14
15
   basically a weeding-out process, and what happens is that
   jurors, if you're -- it won't be in this courtroom but
16
   it'll be over there, they're going -- they're going to
17
18
   decide whether or not the Government has proven its case
19
   beyond a reasonable doubt.
20
            And what that means is that in our jurisprudence,
21
   Americans say jurisprudence, the defendant does not have to
22
   do anything. I could literally sit over there and with
23
   twiddle my thumbs and unless the jury is convinced beyond a
24
   reasonable doubt -- and I'll give you the definition of
25
   that in a minute because you get one in federal court --
```

```
they must acquit the defendant if they don't believe that
1
2
   they proved it beyond a reasonable doubt.
            And I'm going to ask everyone to focus on our law
3
4
   enforcement officer. Mr. De Champlain, would you stand up
   -- and I love having law enforcement officers on my jury
5
   panels. A lot of them don't get to serve but they bring a
6
7
   lot of good education to us, what I like to use to educate
8
   the jury about the way law enforcement trials work. Do you
   mind helping me with that Mr. De Champlain?
10
            PANEL MEMBER:
                           No.
11
            MR. MIMS: You were -- you went to the academy out
12
   in California?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
            MR. MIMS: And when I say "the academy," that's
14
15
   the police academy, correct?
16
            PANEL MEMBER: Highway patrol.
17
            MR. MIMS: I can't hear you.
18
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          Highway patrol.
            MR. MIMS: In that you have training in the penal
19
20
   codes, stuff like that, somebody makes an offense you're
21
   going to arrest them, something like that? When you arrest
22
   somebody as a police officer, tell the -- these other folks
23
   what is the standard that you need to arrest somebody?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: Probable cause.
25
            MR. MIMS: What is probable cause? Everybody hear
```

```
1
   that? Yes, sir.
 2
            PANEL MEMBER: It's enough information to lead a
   reasonably prudent person to the conclusion that the
 3
 4
   suspect or the subject of the arrest committed the offense.
            MR. MIMS: There might be a crime and there's
 5
   enough evidence to take him down to the jail and put him in
 6
7
   jail and he can bond out if he can, right?
 8
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
 9
            MR. MIMS: Now, I believe you said you testified
   but you have you ever been on a jury that we're trying to
10
11
   impanel? Have you been on a criminal jury as a juror?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: No, there's always a box on the
13
   summons and I never get --
            MR. MIMS: Kind of hard for police officers and
14
15
   lawyers to make it as jurors. I have never had to serve.
16
   I sit out here with folks like y'all and the same with you
   probably, right?
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER:
                           Right.
19
            MR. MIMS: Why do you think that is?
20
            PANEL MEMBER: I think if you're actually engaged
21
   in or currently employed and you're serving in the area of
22
   the community involved, it's not likely that you wouldn't
23
   have somebody that would be the arresting officers or the
24
   prosecutors or the investigators, maybe even the defendant,
   it's just -- it's not very likely that you would be
25
```

```
completely impartial in the proceeding.
1
2
            MR. MIMS: That's always a concern I guess for
   both sides, especially for the defense lawyers, having a
3
   police officer on the jury over there. You can understand
4
   that?
            PANEL MEMBER: I understand that.
6
7
            MR. MIMS: Is that something that you would need
   to work on if you made this jury?
8
9
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          No.
            MR. MIMS: Okay. In that regard, if you make this
10
11
   jury, you're on this panel and you're not a police officer
12
   anymore, you're a public servant, okay, you're doing your
13
   duty as a juror, you will have a different standard than
   probable cause, won't you?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
16
            MR. MIMS: What's that standard?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Beyond a reasonable doubt.
18
            MR. MIMS: Proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
19
   you very much. You don't have any problem doing that,
20
   would you?
21
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
22
            MR. MIMS: Other than, this gentleman over here,
23
   proof beyond a reasonable doubt?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
            MR. MIMS: Why don't I read that to the jury so
25
```

```
while we're talking about it, and I'll read that because
1
2
   it's important. It actually is a personal standard for
   yourself based upon what the judge is going to tell you.
3
   You have to be personally, individually convinced that the
4
   Government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt the
5
   evidence of the case they say they made. Every one of
6
7
   these have to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt, and I'll
8
   read it to you.
            Doubt, reasonable doubt, is a doubt based upon
   reason and common sense after careful and impartial
10
11
   consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof
12
   beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such
13
   convincing character that you would be willing to rely and
   act upon it without hesitation in the most important of
14
15
   your own affairs.
            Okay. That's a long way of saying you've got to
16
   be pretty sure. You shouldn't hesitate before you find
17
18
   someone quilty. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is the
19
   same thing, if the government makes the case, okay?
20
            Now, Ms. Bozard, would you stand up? You knew I
21
   was going to pick on you. You said a while ago you felt
22
   like there was something, there's got to be something
23
   there.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: Something there.
25
            MR. MIMS: And that's completely fair. Nothing
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
wrong with that at all. And when the judge tells you the
indictment is just a piece of paper, it's just how we get
to court, you remember all that?
        PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
        MR. MIMS: Now, obviously a Grand Jury has
returned an indictment in this case, so they feel like
there's something there. Sort of like a police officer
making an arrest out in the field, it's the same standard,
isn't that right, Mr. De Champlain? Probable cause?
That's all it takes to get indicted? Enough evidence to
get it in front of a jury to have someone come and have the
Government prove it beyond a reasonable doubt, that most of
them really don't care, that that's what it is. You have
to be able to say I don't care what happens, they have to
prove it to me by this standard right here.
        So you see how it's okay for you to have that
feeling but once you get in that group when we try this
case, it goes right to the top, okay?
        PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
        MR. MIMS: Does that clear it up for you? Are you
comfortable with that now?
        PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
        MR. MIMS: Mr. Green, would you stand up? You
said that you didn't -- you don't have any kids?
        PANEL MEMBER: No.
```

```
MR. MIMS: And you said you might have trouble
1
2
   identifying a prepubescent rather than an older child? And
   I think that means is a kid who has not gone through
3
   adolescence. That's what it means. A child before that is
4
   prepubescent. Do you think you could identify somebody if
   you saw that?
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: (Nonverbal response.)
            MR. MIMS: Yeah, we use these long words but
8
   really that's just a kid. Okay.
9
10
            In that same vein and same regard for the law is
11
   because the Government has to prove their case beyond a
12
   reasonable doubt, guess what the defendant has to prove?
            PANEL MEMBER: Nothing.
13
            MR. MIMS: What's that? Nothing?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Nothing.
            MR. MIMS: What if the defendant didn't do
16
   anything? Nothing. Is he required to do anything?
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          No.
            MR. MIMS: Why is that, do you think?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: I have no idea.
21
            MR. MIMS: Okay. Mr. Fleming? Why doesn't the
   defendant have to prove something? He's been hauled in, he
22
23
   sits in a courtroom and all these folks looking at him, why
24
   shouldn't he have to prove something? Do you know? You
25
   got a feeling on it?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: I would think that it would be very
1
2
   -- (inaudible) -- if he did not do what he's been accused
   of and if there's not evidence in order for them to be
3
   sure.
4
            MR. MIMS: Okay. The deal is it's their game.
5
   other words, the defendant is always on defense. If they
6
7
   -- proof beyond a reasonable doubt is when they -- when the
   home team gets that ball and they score a touchdown, and if
8
   it's intercepted or they don't make it, they don't make the
10
   touchdown. They have to prove it beyond a reasonable
11
   doubt. That's the way the law analogizes. Who has
12
   grandkids? Is it Mrs. Bell?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            MR. MIMS: How old are your grandkids?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a 24-year-old grandson and I
   have an 18-year-old granddaughter.
16
            MR. MIMS: You're kidding. You don't even look
17
18
   near that old. My goodness.
            PANEL MEMBER: Thank you. 16-year-old grandson,
19
20
   13-year-old granddaughter, 10-year-old grandson,
21
   six-year-old granddaughter.
22
            MR. MIMS: All right. The reason I bring this up
23
   is that this is another way I try to show the jury the
24
   contrast between what a police officer and a Grand Jury
25
   standard of proof is.
```

```
And these other standards of proof, one of the
1
2
   things that I like to bring out, because it's true, is that
   in state court -- I don't think they do it in federal court
3
   but unfortunately we have parents that are abusive and get
   a lot of meth going on. Have to take the kids with a lot
5
   of methamphetamine stuff, terrible stuff -- but the courts
6
7
   will then have to, you know, go in and try to seize the
8
   kids and to terminate the parental rights, those are no
   longer legally your kids.
            Now, Ms. Bell, would you stand up. You've got
10
11
   children yourself and grandchildren. How much evidence
12
   would you want to hear before you made a decision to take
13
   someone's children permanently away from them? How much
   evidence do you think you would want to hear?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: I would have to hear it all.
            MR. MIMS: It would be a lot, wouldn't it?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: I would, before I could go that to
18
   someone.
19
            MR. MIMS: You would want to make sure that you
20
   made the right decision before you take that child away?
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
22
            MR. MIMS: The reason I bring that up, there's a
23
   standard called clear and convincing evidence that jurors
24
   in those state courts have to have that much evidence to
25
   terminate that parental relationship. It's called clear
```

and convincing evidence.

Now, it's higher than the standard that a police officer has or a Grand Jury has, okay? And they do it in court. A police officer is only required to do probable cause because he or she has got to make a decision sometimes that quick on whether or not to make an arrest. They don't have time to have lawyers and litigate and all that other stuff.

Grand Juries take a little more time but they don't have to do it, okay? But in clear and convincing evidence-type cases, it's kind of like this, they litigate it and they have to have evidence to prove that the parent is unfit.

That's not as high a standard as you're required to meet and the Government is required to prove in a criminal case. And the reason I bring that up is to contrast how serious our jurisprudence takes before we prove someone beyond a reasonable doubt is a criminal, okay? Does that make sense? Does that make you feel better about our justice system? Okay.

Now, here is the one that -- Mr. Cline, would you stand up. If you were sitting on a jury -- not this one because we're not in trial yet, you haven't heard anything -- but if you were sitting on a jury and you felt that the Government had not proven their case to you beyond a

```
1
   reasonable doubt, but the guy was probably guilty, probably
   was, would you return a verdict of not guilty?
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
3
4
            MR. MIMS: You could have? Why would you be able
   to do that?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Because of proof beyond a
6
7
   reasonable doubt.
8
            MR. MIMS: That's exactly correct. But even
   though you say, you know, I'm pretty certain that guy is
9
10
   quilty but they just didn't prove it to me, like, from a
11
   witness stand, you would then say not guilty?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
13
            MR. MIMS: What does that actually mean, not
14
   quilty?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Means that it wouldn't be proven
   that he committed a crime.
16
17
            MR. MIMS: Does it mean he is innocent?
18
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
            MR. MIMS: In all this I never seen a verdict form
19
20
   that said "Innocent" on it. Why is that, do you think?
21
   Because the defendant doesn't have to prove anything. He
22
   is presumed to be innocent until proven beyond a reasonable
23
   doubt. Does that make sense?
24
           By the way, I left this out. Folks that served on
25
   civil juries talking about money, okay, that standard is a
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
little bit more evidence one way or the over. Whoever wins
that, that's where the verdict should go. Clear and
convincing stuff is here (indicating), proof beyond a
reasonable doubt is way up there.
        Now, some other things that disturb me, I just
heard about it this morning, my daughter said something to
me last night -- not in this context -- but has anyone
heard of this program called Cuties? Y'all heard that?
hadn't, either. Okay. It has to do with -- it's something
to do with -- it's like on TV or something, maybe something
people even think it may be child pornographic on
television, it's got little girls and stuff.
        The defendant -- the judge will tell you this --
the judge is going to tell you the defendant doesn't have
to testify and that anything that -- at all -- he doesn't
have to prove anything and he doesn't have to testify and
he has the right to remain silent because of the Fifth
Amendment. And you will be further instructed that if he
doesn't testify, you can't hold that against him and if
it's mentioned in the deliberation room you're supposed to
tell the other jurors we can't talk about that.
everybody agree to do that? Sure.
        All right. Just like Mr. Kummerfeld asked, is
there any other thing the judge or Government needs to know
about you that we haven't asked? Anything that the
```

```
Government -- y'all need to know from me or that I need to
1
 2
   know from you?
            Thank you very much.
 3
            THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Mims.
 4
            Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we have
 5
   completed the morning session of voir dire. There are a
 6
7
   number of you I need to ask to remain in the courtroom.
            Mr. Crossman, I think I wanted to discuss a
 8
   hardship issue with you. If you will stay right here in
10
   the courtroom.
11
            And then with respect to the question that I asked
12
   earlier about whether anyone or a close friend or member of
13
   their family had been involved in any type of incident in
   which there was some abuse or contact or molestation or
14
15
   assault between an adult or a child, I had a number of you
   that I want to ask to remain in the courtroom. Those
16
17
   include Mrs. Bell, Mr. Fleming, Mr. Gray, and Mr. De
18
   Champlain.
19
            Is there anyone else who falls into those
20
   categories? Was there anyone else that had asked to remain
2.1
   behind to visit with me and the attorneys outside the
22
   presence of the other panel members about any other topic?
23
   I don't think there was but I just wanted to verify that.
24
            Mr. Kummerfeld, was there anyone else you needed
25
   to ask to remain behind?
```

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: Your Honor, I believe that's it.
 1
 2
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kummerfeld.
            Mr. Mims, anyone else you want to ask to remain
 3
   behind?
 4
 5
            MR. MIMS: No, Your Honor.
            THE COURT: Okay.
 6
7
            So what I would like is for Ms. Bell, Mr. Fleming,
   Mr. Gray, Mr. De Champlain, Mr. Crossman, you all stay in
8
   the courtroom. The rest of you I'm going to release to go
10
   about your day.
11
            As I told you earlier, everyone will receive a
12
   call at the end of the day after we have done a second
13
   session this afternoon of voir dire and you will be
   notified at that point whether you have been selected to be
14
15
   on the jury or not.
16
            If you are selected to be on the jury, I'll ask
   you to be back in the -- in the courthouse by 9:00 a.m. in
17
18
   the morning. We like to start promptly at 9:00 in the
   morning. You will be given further information about that
19
20
   if you're selected to be on the jury.
21
            The rest of you I am going to go ahead and release
22
   at this time, but I do have some ground rules for you.
23
   Because we don't know who will be on the jury and who will
24
   not until the afternoon session has been completed, I need
25
   all of you to follow some rules for me. They're real
```

simple but I do want you to bear them in mind.

Don't discuss anything that has happened up to this point with anybody, okay? Not your family members, not your friends, not anyone else. Don't post anything about the proceedings up to this point, those of you who use social media, Instagram or Facebook or Twitter or anything like that, just have a moratorium on that this afternoon. Don't post anything at all with respect to the proceedings to this point or the fact that you have been here in jury selection.

Please don't text anybody or provide any other information about the proceedings and so forth. If someone should attempt to approach you to discuss the case, please don't discuss the case with them and I'll ask you to notify me immediately. It is important that you hold yourself completely apart from anybody involved in the case, not just the attorneys, those you see here in the courtroom. That is important, not only of course for you to be fair and impartial if you're selected to be on the jury, but also to appear to be fair and impartial.

The last thing is don't do any kind of research at all about the case. Don't go try to use the internet to find out information about the allegations, to find out information about the attorneys who are involved, to find out information about the defendant. Please don't do any

type of research at all.

When this case is submitted to the jury and the jury goes to the jury room to begin its deliberations, they are required by the law to base their decision on the law and the facts, and the facts they will get from the evidence that comes in through the jury -- through the witness and through the documents and other materials that have been admitted into evidence and the law that I give the jury, instructions on the law that they are to follow, and nothing else.

So if you do anything by going to outside of that before the first witness has even gotten onto the witness stand and you look for information about the case and the attorneys or the defendant, you potentially jeopardize getting this case to a jury and getting a verdict.

So I know no one wants to do that but it's very important that you follow my rules about that because there's at least a possibility that all of you could be on — on the jury that ultimately will make this decision about what the appropriate verdict should be. So please do follow that rule.

So except for the five of you the I asked to remain in the courtroom -- Ms. Feliciano, I think you were going to stay as well. Could I ask you to stay in the courtroom as well. Except for the six people that I have

```
named, I'll go ahead and release everybody at this time.
1
 2
   Please maintain social distancing as you leave the
   courtroom and the courthouse.
 3
            If any of you need any type of a written excuse
 4
   for your employer, don't hesitate to stop in at the clerk's
 5
   office, which is on the first floor and it's to the right
 6
7
   as you come out of the elevator, or to the right as you
   descend the stairs.
 8
            Thank you all very much for being here and you
   will hear from us at the end of the day.
10
11
            I'll tell you what we'll do. Mr. Crossman.
                                                          Ι
12
   begin with you, I apologize for making you stay this who
13
   morning but it's necessary. Can you tell me what your
   hardship is?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: I have knee surgery next week and
16
   pre-op is that Thursday morning.
17
            THE COURT: And you need to have that knee
18
   surgery, right?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
20
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for your service.
21
   I'll release you at this time with my thanks and best of
22
   luck next week. Thanks for being here.
23
            Ms. Feliciano, I almost forgot you so I appreciate
24
   your staying. Ms. Bell, I'll ask you to stay in the
25
   courtroom.
```

```
The others of you, the other four, Mr. Haddox, I
1
 2
   would ask that you take those four to Judge Mitchell's
   courtroom and we'll visit with Ms. Bell outside of
 3
   everyone's presence, and then we'll have the others,
   Mr. Fleming, Mr. Gray and Mr. De Champlain, brought back to
   the courtroom at the appropriate time.
 6
7
            Ms. Bell, stay with us and we'll ask everybody
 8
   else to go to Judge Mitchell's courtroom.
            Okay. Ms. Bell, if you could go to the microphone
 9
10
   for me, please. All right. Now, Ms. Bell, my question
11
   before related to whether a family member or a close
   personal friend had ever been involved in any kind of
12
13
   incident in which there was some sexual contact, abuse,
   molestation or sexual assault involving an adult and a
14
15
   child. So I promise you everybody who is in the courtroom
   right now has to be in here but I can assure you on behalf
16
17
   of all of them that we recognize the confidential nature of
18
   what you're about to tell me and we -- we'll keep it as
19
   such.
20
            So I need you to tell me a little bit about the
21
   nature and the circumstances of the situation, who was
22
   involved and a little bit about -- can you do that for me?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
24
            UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, I ask the Court
   Security Officer to go mute the TV out in the hallway?
25
```

```
Would that be permissible?
1
2
            THE COURT: Yes, has it been muted? Thank you
3
   very much.
            Yes, ma'am.
4
            PANEL MEMBER: My daughter is 33 and my ex-husband
5
   was indicted by a Grand Jury for sexual molestation of her.
6
7
            THE COURT: Okay. And tell me what year that
   would have been.
8
            PANEL MEMBER: 2002 maybe. I think. She's 33 now
   and she was about nine at the time.
10
11
            THE COURT: Okay. Was he her father?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
13
            THE COURT: Okay. So he was her stepfather?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
15
            THE COURT: All right. And where -- you have to
   remind me, where were you living then?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Longview.
18
            THE COURT: In Longview? All right. And was that
   a Gregg County Grand Jury?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: It was.
21
            THE COURT: All right. And so he was -- and he
22
   was, in fact, indicted?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: He was.
            THE COURT: And was it resolved or did he have to
24
25
   have a trial?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: They were going to have a trial,
1
   but then right before the trial he pled guilty.
2
3
            THE COURT: Okay. And he was sentenced by a judge
4
   there in Longview?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
5
            THE COURT: And to the best of your recollection
6
7
   it was some time in the early 2000s?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: It was, yes, sir.
9
            THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me as you stand
   here today what your feelings about that outcome of that
10
11
   were or are.
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, he got probation and I think
13
   there was a fine. And we got a divorce. So -- and my
14
   daughter, she got some help and she went through some hard
15
   times.
16
            THE COURT: All right.
17
            PANEL MEMBER: She's a wonderful mother and
18
   daughter.
19
            THE COURT: I'm sure that she is. The hard times
20
   that you said, was that those early years after it happened
2.1
   or later or --
22
            PANEL MEMBER: After it happened through her
23
   teenage years.
24
            THE COURT: Through her teenage years, all right.
25
   And she graduated from high school?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
1
2
            THE COURT: Went to college?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: No. She is a -- she works for the
4
   -- Schlotzsky's. She works in the office, she's their
   accountant. She has two kids and she is married and doing
5
   well.
6
7
            THE COURT: Had a stable life since then?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
8
9
            THE COURT: All right. Going back to the
   probation your ex-husband received and the fine, I think
10
11
   you said, did you think that was fair or were you happy
12
   with the outcome? Do you think it should have been more
13
   severe? Can you tell me what your feelings about -- were
   you satisfied, I guess is my question?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah. I was. Because for one
16
   thing, he was out of my life.
17
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
18
            PANEL MEMBER: And my daughter's life.
19
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
20
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm glad it didn't go to trial.
21
   I'm glad he admitted his guilt.
22
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am, and she didn't have to
23
   testify?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
25
            THE COURT: Okay. Without knowing really what the
```

```
details of the allegations against the defendant here are
1
2
   other than allegations of child pornography, does the
   experience with your daughter and ex-husband really affect
3
   in any way your ability to be fair both to the defendant in
   this case and the Government?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
 6
7
            THE COURT: Okay. So you feel like if you're
   selected to be on the jury, you could fairly and
8
   impartially listen to the evidence that comes into -- into
   evidence through witness testimony, documents and other
10
11
   materials, and follow the law as I give it to you in the
12
   Court's instructions and reach a fair, just verdict without
13
   regard to this other experience with your own daughter?
            PANEL MEMBER: I do. Because I don't know what
14
15
   the evidence is right now. I don't know what the charges
16
   are. I can't make any kind of judgment because I don't
   know the facts, so I could be fair.
17
18
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Bell. I'm going
   to give Mr. Kummerfeld a chance to ask any followup
19
20
   questions he has.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I don't have anything further.
22
            THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mims, would you have
23
   followup with Ms. Bell?
24
            MR. MIMS: No, Your Honor.
25
            THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Bell, thank you for your
```

```
candor and your honesty. I am going to release you just
1
 2
   like I released everybody else. I would like you to follow
   -- continue to follow the rules that I have given and you
 3
 4
   will receive a call at the end of the day letting you know
   whether you have been selected to be on the jury or not.
 5
   Thank you very much for your patience.
 6
 7
            PANEL MEMBER: Thank you.
 8
            THE COURT: Would you all retrieve Mr. Fleming for
 9
   me.
            Mr. Fleming, if you would go to the microphone for
10
11
   me, sir. And you could leave your mask on or take it off,
12
   whatever you're comfortable with. I could hear you earlier
13
   fine so you're welcome to leave it on.
            The question I think that brings you back is the
14
15
   question I asked about any experience that you had or a
   member of your family had or a close, personal friend had
16
17
   had where there was some kind of sexual contact or abuse or
   molestation or assault between an adult and a child, and
18
19
   we're here outside the presence of all of the other panel
20
   members. The people who are still in the courtroom really
21
   do have to be here but we all recognize the confidential
22
   nature of what you're about to tell us and we certainly
23
   will respect that.
24
            So I need to know a little bit about the nature or
25
   the circumstances of this issue that brings you back. Can
```

```
you tell me who was involved and when it was and just a
1
2
   little bit about it.
            PANEL MEMBER: The person involved is my wife, and
3
   as a child she was molested by a family member many years
4
   ago, of course, and that family member is deceased.
5
            THE COURT: Okay. Where did this happen?
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm not completely sure.
8
            THE COURT: I mean, what state?
9
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          Oh. Texas.
            THE COURT: Okay. And was it one isolated
10
11
   instance or a series of instances or do you know?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm not completely sure.
13
            THE COURT: Okay. Do you know how old she was?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Somewhere in the neighborhood of 5,
15
   6 years old.
16
            THE COURT: Okay. And do you know what the
   relationship to the family member was?
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: That would be -- that would be a
   grandfather.
19
20
            THE COURT: Okay. Her grandfather?
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
22
            THE COURT: Okay. And how -- do you have any idea
23
   how old he would have been at the time?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: No. I would be guessing.
25
            THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what happened --
```

```
not any -- well, actually, do you know exactly what
1
 2
   happened during the event? I mean, was it some kind of
 3
   contact or --
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: It was contact, I quess an attempt
 5
   to increase contact.
 6
            THE COURT: Okay.
7
            PANEL MEMBER: But it did not go further than that
 8
   contact.
            THE COURT: And was that because she told someone?
   Do you know that part? Was that because --
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Not that I know of. I do not
12
   believe anybody knows about that.
13
            THE COURT: Okay.
14
            PANEL MEMBER: I think it was just her resisting
15
   and that's really all --
16
            THE COURT: He left her alone?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
18
            THE COURT: Do you know, sounds like this didn't
   happen but the conduct was not reported to the police; is
19
20
   that right?
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
22
            THE COURT: Okay. And so if you had to say what
23
   the outcome was, the outcome was she resisted and he
24
   eventually left her alone?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
```

materials, and the law that I will give them that they're required to follow in determining what the correct verdict should be.

And you're telling me you're comfortable that no

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
knowledge of what happened with your wife would affect your
ability to do those two things fairly and impartially?
        PANEL MEMBER: Yes, I believe I can do that.
        THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kummerfeld, do you have any
followup questions?
        MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Mr. Mims?
        MR. MIMS: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Fleming, I heard
you say, I believe, and heard what the judge admonished you
with. Right now you're under oath, and when you get in the
jury box you take another oath. We have to have a
definitive answer that what happened to your wife is not
going to have any effect on how you weigh the verdict,
whether or not it's proven beyond a reasonable doubt or it
creates a reasonable doubt. And we've got to rely on that.
That's the only thing we can do. It's not just can you do
it, but will you do that?
        PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
        MR. MIMS: Thank you. I don't have anything else.
        THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Mims.
        Mr. Fleming, I'll release you at this time, and
I'll ask you to follow the rules I told the everybody
earlier. You will get a call letting you know if you have
been selected for a jury or not, but in the meantime just
follow all my rules.
```

Thank you for your patience and thank you again 1 2 for being here. We need to take a short recess and then we'll have 3 Mr. Gray. We'll stand in recess for about five minutes. 4 (Recess taken.) 5 THE COURT: Mr. Gray, we're here in sort of the 6 7 quote, unquote, privacy of the courtroom to discuss the issue that I asked earlier about, any relatives or close 8 friends or family members who have ever been involved in any sort of incident where there was sexual contact, abuse, 10 11 molestation, assault between an adult and a child. You 12 raised your hand in response to that. 13 The people who are in the courtroom now really have to be in the courtroom now, but they understand and 14 15 recognize the confidential nature of what it is you're about to tell us, whatever it is, and we'll treat it as 16 17 something confidential and sensitive. 18 So what I need for you to do is to describe for me 19 in general terms the nature and circumstances of the 20 situation and who was involved and when it was and just 2.1 some general information about whatever happened. 22 PANEL MEMBER: Thank you. I want to be brief. 23 THE COURT: Take your time. 24 PANEL MEMBER: I didn't expect this. Very briefly in the mid '90s my teenage daughter was the victim of an 25

```
1
   inappropriate relationship with a high school teacher.
            THE COURT: Okay. And can you tell me how old
2
3
   your daughter was at the time?
            PANEL MEMBER: 15 to 16.
4
            THE COURT: All right. And this would have been
5
   her, what, 10th-grade teacher?
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: 11, 10, 11th grade.
            THE COURT: Okay. What type of teacher, if you
8
   remember?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't remember what all she
11
   taught, but I know she taught Driver's Ed. That's where
12
   the problem --
13
            THE COURT: Was it a one-time incident?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
15
            THE COURT: Can you tell me a little more?
            PANEL MEMBER: It was an ongoing relationship.
16
   Didn't understand the real -- we did not understand the
17
18
   full scope of the relationship. It was ongoing until it
   became very serious and very obvious.
19
20
            THE COURT: Okay.
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Took it to law enforcement, took it
22
   to the school.
23
            THE COURT: Did you and your wife do that?
24
           PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
25
            THE COURT: All right. And you've got to remind
```

```
me where you live.
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Henderson.
3
            THE COURT: In Henderson? Okay. So y'all took it
4
   to the local police department or sheriff's department?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: The police department and then the
   school authorities, principal, superintendent.
6
7
            THE COURT: All right. And can you tell me just
   in general what the outcome was? Was the teacher
8
   terminated?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sadly. The teacher was
11
   reassigned to a different campus. We talked about evidence
12
   this morning.
13
            THE COURT: Yes, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: There was a lot of circumstantial
14
15
   evidence that turned out later to be guaranteed facts.
            THE COURT: Okay.
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: But, you know, the teacher was
18
   reassigned to a different campus, they agreed to a
   separation. That didn't really stop the relationship. And
19
20
   then after graduation, the teacher and my daughter lived
21
   together.
22
            THE COURT: All right. Is your daughter doing
23
   okay now?
24
           PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
25
            THE COURT: Okay. With respect -- and law
```

```
enforcement, I gather, made the decision that no criminal
1
2
   conduct had occurred?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Not sufficient to --
 4
            THE COURT: To bring a charge?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
5
            THE COURT: Okay. So no indictment or charge?
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER:
                           No.
            THE COURT: In terms of your feelings, your wife's
8
9
   feelings about all of this, what were you all -- how are
   you -- what were your feelings about the outcome in terms
10
11
   of -- I quess what I'm focused on is the nature of your
12
   interaction with law enforcement. Were you satisfied with
13
   the outcome? Were you not satisfied with the outcome?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: I was not satisfied with the
15
   outcome.
            THE COURT: Okay. And when you say you were not
16
   satisfied with the outcome, you're really talking about the
17
18
   way law enforcement dealt with it or the school dealt with
   it or both?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Primarily the school.
2.1
            THE COURT: All right.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Law enforcement. You know, it's a
23
   small community. We know everybody. I think they did
24
   pretty much all that they thought they could do at the
25
   time. In hindsight it wasn't enough.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. I think you told me this
1
   was the mid '90s?
2
3
            PANEL MEMBER: '95, '96.
            THE COURT: '95, '96? Okay. So.
 4
            Now I've got a couple more questions for you.
5
   first question is, do you think this experience with your
6
7
   daughter, now 25 years ago, would that affect your ability
8
   to sit as a juror in this trial and be able to be fair and
   impartial both to the Government and to the defendant?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Intellectually I would like to say
11
   yes.
12
            THE COURT: Okay. But emotionally you think no?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: (Nonverbal response.)
            THE COURT: That kind of leads to my second
14
15
   question for you. The first is whether you can be fair and
   impartial. The second, really, is in light of what I
16
17
   observe to be -- your still relatively raw emotions about
18
   this, I think you told me yourself you weren't expecting
   this today, would it be fair to say this is probably not
19
20
   the best case for you to sit as a juror on?
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
22
            THE COURT: Mr. Kummerfeld, any questions from
23
   you?
24
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
25
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims, any questions?
```

```
MR. MIMS: No, Your Honor.
1
2
            THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to excuse you at this
   time and I do so with my thanks, the attorneys's thanks,
3
   the Eastern District's thanks. You have been here all
4
   morning long and listened carefully, paid attention, been
   honest with me about the situation. I think that this is
6
7
   probably not the appropriate case for you to sit on.
            Mr. Kummerfeld, is that the position of the
8
9
   Government?
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Yes, Your Honor, the government
10
11
   agrees.
12
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims, any objection.
13
            MR. MIMS: Yes, sir -- no, there's no objection.
            THE COURT: Very well. All right.
14
15
            Thank you very much, sir, for being here. I
   appreciate your attention and I'm going to release you at
16
17
   this time. Like I said, you're not a juror or even a
18
   potential juror at this point, but if you would follow the
19
   rules that I gave you about not posting anything about the
20
   proceedings. I appreciate your being here and thank you
21
   for your service.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Just an administrative question.
23
   Should I expect to get a phone call later?
24
            THE COURT: We'll not call you later because you
25
   will not be on the jury.
```

```
1
            PANEL MEMBER: Okay.
2
            THE COURT: You will not get a call. If you do
   need a note --
3
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
            THE COURT: Thank you for being here, sir. Thanks
5
   for being honest with me.
6
7
            All right. Let's see. Ms. Feliciano.
8
            Yes, ma'am, if you would just go to the
9
   microphone.
            Ms. Feliciano, how are you?
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Good. How are you?
12
            THE COURT: Thanks for your patience with us. You
13
   told us a little bit earlier about the matter that brings
14
   you back on -- I think it was your stepdaughters, right?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
16
            THE COURT: And that was in New Hampshire,
17
   correct?
18
            PANEL MEMBER: New Hampshire or Massachusetts.
   Kind of on the border. I'm not sure where it was
19
20
   prosecuted.
21
            THE COURT: Can you tell me what you know about
22
   what happened -- before I say that, everybody in the
23
   courtroom now has to be in the courtroom now but we respect
   and understand the sensitive and confidential nature of
24
25
   this and we certainly treat it as such, but could you tell
```

```
me a little bit about the situation.
1
 2
            PANEL MEMBER: From what we've been told when my
   now 21-year-old stepdaughter was about eight years old,
 3
   their mother's brother began molesting them and some other
 4
   members of the family, I quess, and eventually started
   raping them, and it lasted for quite a few years.
 6
7
   was photography involved that was never recovered.
                                                        Their
 8
   uncle was eventually caught and prosecuted and was
   sentenced to seven years.
10
            And that's really about all we know. Like I said,
11
   we weren't involved in the girl's lives when they were
12
   younger by the choice of their mom, and no one ever
13
   contacted us and let us know anything, so we found out
   about this once they were over 18 and could tell us, came
14
   into our lives.
15
16
            THE COURT: And what was the last part?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: After they were 18 and they came
18
   into our lives and they chose to be a part of our lives,
19
   then we found out what had happened.
20
            THE COURT: Okay. So this -- all right. And she
21
   -- you said it was a stepdaughter or daughters?
22
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          Two.
23
            THE COURT:
                        Two, are they twins?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: No, there's a now 23-year-old and a
25
   now 21-year-old.
```

```
THE COURT: So when they were 8 and 10 or what?
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: About. They didn't give us an
   actual year. It was a sensitive subject with them so we
3
4
   let them tell us what they wanted to tell us.
            THE COURT: As it goes along?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Right. So we haven't pushed for
6
7
   information, but we know they were about 8 and 10.
            THE COURT: So this would have been some time in
8
   the 2003, '4, '5 time range?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah, from what we can gather.
11
   It's been a while.
12
            THE COURT: All right. And the uncle, their
13
   mother's brother, was prosecuted?
            PANEL MEMBER: He was. They didn't tell their
14
15
   mother about this for many years.
            THE COURT: So when they did, that's when it was
16
   first reported --
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
19
            THE COURT: -- to law enforcement?
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
21
            THE COURT: All right. And did you know what the
22
   charge was exactly?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: He pled guilty to rape -- I'm not
24
   sure if he pled guilty to any of the photography because
25
   there was no evidence, that girls told him that no evidence
```

```
was physically found so --
1
 2
            THE COURT: Okay.
            PANEL MEMBER: -- I don't really know what
 3
 4
   happened.
            THE COURT: And you do believe he was sentenced to
 5
   seven years imprisonment?
 6
 7
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, but I don't believe he served
   all seven.
 8
 9
            THE COURT: Okay. So, a little different
   question, of course, because they are -- I guess it had all
10
11
   been resolved by the time you first became aware of it.
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
13
            THE COURT: But putting that aside, do you have
   any feelings about the outcome, how it happened and how it
14
15
   got resolved and what the prosecution did? Do you have any
   views, I guess, that could potentially impact your service
16
17
   as a juror in this case -- not really knowing anything yet
18
   about this case, any of the facts or details about what the
   evidence will show occurred here, but other than it's an
19
20
   allegation of child pornography, does anything about
21
   knowing what you know with your stepdaughters's experience
22
   that potentially could affect your ability to be fair and
23
   impartial in this case?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't believe so. Just because
25
   as far as how I feel about the outcome of that, that is
```

```
completely separate from this situation. I do feel like
1
2
   since he pled guilty to multiple charges of rape on
   multiple children, I do believe that the punishment should
3
   have been more severe, but I also wasn't there, I didn't
   hear the testimony. This is all coming years after the
   fact, so I have very limited true knowledge of what about
6
7
   and why the sentencing was as it was.
            As far as that in relation to this case, it's
8
9
   completely different. I just -- I believe very strongly in
   innocent until proven guilty and I believe in the strength
10
11
   of the evidence.
12
            THE COURT: Okay. That's good. So I guess what
13
   you're telling me is in hindsight, knowing the story after
   the fact, after it happened, being told years after it had
14
15
   occurred, it strikes you that seven years was maybe not
   enough time for what you understood to have happened but
16
17
   you don't have any view that that's going to affect how you
18
   might serve as a juror or whether it would -- in this case
   or whether it potentially could impact your ability to be
19
20
   fair and impartial to the defendant?
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, correct.
22
            THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kummerfeld?
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD:
                            Nothing, Your Honor.
24
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims?
25
            MR. MIMS: No, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Ms. Feliciano, I'm going to ask you to 1 2 observe the rules that I have given everybody, are I'm going to have a discussion with the attorneys about your 3 potential service in the trial. And until we have that discussion and make a determination about that, please just follow all the rules that I have given you. We'll let you 6 7 know one way or the other at the conclusion of the day 8 whether you have been selected to serve as a juror. I appreciate your patience and your service here. Thank you very much. You may leave. Thanks. 10 11 Mr. De Champlain. 12 Mr. De Champlain, if you would just go to the 13 microphone, please, sir. You can leave your mask on or 14 take it off, whatever you prefer. I could hear you fine, 15 so it's whatever. You've got some Louisiana connections and some 16 Canadian connections and California connections so I can't 17 18 figure out what your accent is. Help me with it. 19 PANEL MEMBER: My wife says it takes about 20 20 minutes on the phone talking to my brother for my East 2.1 Texas accent to come back. Took me about three years to 22 get rid of it when I went to south L.A. 23 THE COURT: All right. Where did you grow up? 24 PANEL MEMBER: Well, I was born at Barksdale Air 25 Force base, and I grew up -- I went to elementary school in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Joaquin, which is the Texas side of the border, and went to
high school at Logansport. So I was unpopular with both
sides.
        THE COURT: Well, I'm from Texarkana so all that
is just kind of south of me.
        So I asked a question toward the end about whether
anybody had any situation where they or a close friend or a
member of their family had been involved in any way in an
incident where there was some sexual contact or abuse or
molestation or assault between an adult and a child. I'm
sure you understand that everybody who is still in the
courtroom has to be here, but we do recognize the sensitive
nature and the confidential nature of whatever it is you're
going to tell us and we certainly will respect that.
        I need you to tell me a little bit about it, when
it occurred, who was involved, so we'll know whether it's
an issue.
        PANEL MEMBER:
                      Okay. First off, it's not
first-hand information.
        THE COURT: Okay.
        PANEL MEMBER: So I have -- I had two sisters, one
is deceased now, and she alleged that our stepbrother, from
my dad's first marriage, had sexually assaulted her when
she was 7 or 8.
        THE COURT: Okay.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
PANEL MEMBER: He didn't live in the house with
    He was already grown when my dad married my mom, and
he raised me since I was ten.
        THE COURT: This was his dad?
        PANEL MEMBER: No, his son from a previous
marriage who was already out of the house. He didn't grow
up with us but he would come to visit.
        THE COURT: I'm sorry. I want to understand the
relationship. This is your stepdad's son?
        PANEL MEMBER: Son, right. So he would come to
visit. And none of us knew any of that. She dropped that
on us, like -- it was probably the second time she went to
the pen, they had her in therapy to try to get her over her
addictions so when she got out she would be less subject to
recidivism, and she said that came out in that therapy
session. And of course the brother denies that ever
happened, that it's a false memory or maybe a conjured
memory, you know, in the process of the therapy and all
that.
        THE COURT: Okay.
        PANEL MEMBER: My mom said that she didn't know if
it was true, and it was to a point with my sister's
addictions where it was really difficult to know what was
true and what --
        THE COURT: Hard to know whether it happened?
```

```
1
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
2
            THE COURT: So help me with a little bit of the
   details. How old was your sister?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: According to her it was like
4
   between like 7 and 10.
5
6
            THE COURT: All right. And he would have been 17,
   18, 19?
7
8
            PANEL MEMBER: Oh, gosh, no. Close to 40.
   There's 17 years difference between my mom and my dad.
10
            THE COURT: Okay. So he was a lot older?
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah, a lot older.
12
            THE COURT: Where was this alleged to have
13
   occurred?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: In our home.
15
            THE COURT: In Louisiana?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir, it would have been in
16
   Louisiana when she was in that age.
17
18
            THE COURT: Okay. So if you had to kind of
   pinpoint a time frame when that happened years wise --
19
            PANEL MEMBER: She was born in '79 so --
20
21
            THE COURT: In the '80s?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Some time in the '80s.
23
            THE COURT: Okay.
24
           PANEL MEMBER: Probably around -- yeah, some time
25
   in the '80s.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. And it didn't come out for
1
2
   20-plus years?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Right. She passed away in 2012 and
   I want to say it came out about two and a half, three years
4
   before she died.
5
            THE COURT: All right. And presumably no report
6
7
   was ever made to a law enforcement agency or anything?
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, the allegation was made in a
8
9
   correctional facility.
10
            THE COURT: Well, but I mean at the time --
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah. To my knowledge, she never
12
   followed through with filing a criminal complaint.
13
            THE COURT: Okay. So I've got two questions for
         My first question is, it's a little bit weird because
14
15
   you found out years after this act --
16
            PANEL MEMBER: I found out through my mom.
17
            THE COURT: Right -- was alleged to have occurred.
18
   Do you have an opinion at all as you stand here today about
19
   whether the outcome, whatever outcome, was right?
20
   allegation was ever made to a law enforcement agency about
21
   prosecuting this man, so as you stand here, do you have any
22
   opinion about how all that got resolved?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: It was my sister's charge. If it
24
   was factual, it was her charge to make. If she was in fact
25
   a victim, then it's her responsibility to assert that, but
```

```
I'm not sure that it ever actually happened.
1
2
            THE COURT: Understood. As you stand here today,
   knowing the little that you know about the allegations
3
4
   against the defendant, which involve child pornography, is
   there anything about this experience with your sister that
   might impact your ability to be fair and impartial either
6
7
   to the Government or the defendant?
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
8
9
            THE COURT: Mr. Kummerfeld, any questions?
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
10
11
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims?
12
            MR. MIMS: No, I don't think so. Thank you, sir.
13
            THE COURT: Where in California were you based?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: I spent the last 12 years in Fresno
15
   as a supervisor but, yeah, I went all over.
            THE COURT: All right. I'm going to ask you to
16
   follow all the rules that I have given everybody else.
17
18
   Someone will call you at the end of the day today to let
19
   you know whether you made it on the jury or not. I
20
   appreciate your patience and your service. Thank you for
21
   being here.
22
            Okay. Counsel, maybe -- while all these jurors
23
   are fresh on our mind, we ought to address these now.
24
            Mr. Kummerfeld, Mr. Mims, are there cause
25
   challenges on anybody would he have talked about?
```

```
Obviously Mr. Crossman has been released on the
1
 2
   basis of a hardship.
 3
            Mr. Gray was released by agreement of the parties.
            I talked to Ms. Bell, Mr. Fleming and just now
 4
   Mr. De Champlain. I don't know if there are going to be
 5
   cause challenges, but now might be the time to do it.
 6
 7
            MR. MIMS: I can challenge Miss Bozart. She
   indicated she felt like that there was some evidence of
 8
   guilt because he been indicted, so I challenged her on that
   basis.
10
11
            THE COURT: Mr. Kummerfeld.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: In my notes I heard the reference
13
   to the question Mr. Mims asked about the indictment and I
   quoted, I'll follow the law. I think that's sufficient
14
   that she will follow the Court's instructions and remain
15
   open-minded through the deliberation.
16
17
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims, you got anything else to say
18
               I think by the time I had talked to her, she
   about that?
19
   was the -- she said what she was supposed to say.
20
            MR. MIMS: I think I helped her.
21
            THE COURT: She never -- you may have a little
22
         I'm going to deny your motion.
23
            Any other challenges for cause?
24
            MR. MIMS: Not from the defense.
25
            THE COURT: Mr. Kummerfeld?
```

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
1
 2
            THE COURT: Okay. All right. So very well.
   think we lost Ms. Dean, but my sense is the jury will be
 3
   ready probably no earlier than -- the second panel will be
 4
   ready no earlier than 2:30, somewhere between 2:30 and
   3:00, but I do want you all here at 2:30 ready to go. And
 6
7
   we'll have -- as soon as that panel is -- you guys can wait
   outside or whatever you're comfortable with.
 8
            As soon as the second panel is qualified, we'll
   work on a strike list that will have -- you know, Mr. Gray
10
11
   won't be on it and Mr. Crossman won't be on it, but
12
   everybody else will be on it and we'll be able to work
13
   through using that. But as soon as the second panel is
14
   finished and we've done any cause challenges or hardship
15
   issues with them, I'm going to want you to make your
   strikes then.
16
17
            So this -- all that fairly well took a long time
18
   but it's a complicated case. The questions you all
19
   submitted were fairly thorough so it did take a little
20
   longer than I thought it would.
2.1
            Any issues we need to discuss before we recess?
22
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
23
            MR. MIMS: No, Your Honor.
24
            THE COURT: Okay. We'll be in recess until
25
   further call.
```

```
(Recess taken.)
1
2
            (Afternoon voir dire begins.)
            THE COURT: Ms. Combs, if you would call the case
3
4
   for us.
            THE CLERK: Case number C --
5
            THE COURT: Hold on. Wait, Mrs. Combs. We don't
6
7
   have Mr. Orange here. Ladies and gentlemen of the panel,
   if you will sit tight for just a moment, we neglected to
8
   have one thing taken care of. And as soon as we've gotten
   that taken care of, we'll proceed.
10
11
            Okay. Ms. Combs, if you would call the case for
12
   us.
13
            THE CLERK: Case number 6:18-cr-4, United States
   of America versus Charles Orange.
14
15
            THE COURT: Announcements for the record.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
16
   Nathaniel Kummerfeld for the United States, joined by
17
18
   Marisa Miller.
19
            THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Kummerfeld.
20
            MR. MIMS: Your Honor, Bobby Mims, and good
21
   afternoon to you. We're ready to proceed.
22
            THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mims, good afternoon
23
   to you as well.
24
            Ladies and gentlemen of the panel, I want to thank
25
   you for being here and I want to welcome you to jury
```

```
service in the United States District Court for the Eastern
1
 2
   District of Texas. I am Judge Trey Schroeder. You have
   met some of our court staff downstairs and in the courtroom
 3
   earlier, but I want to introduce the members of my court
 4
   staff.
 5
            My courtroom deputy is for this week is
 6
7
   Ms. Shedera Combs. She's normally my judicial assistant
   but she's filling in for our normal courtroom deputy.
 8
            Ms. Kate McAlpine is our court reporter.
 9
10
            My law clerks are Susan Stradley, Jonathan Powers,
11
   and Jake Vannette seated over here to my right.
12
            Our Court Security Officers this week will be
13
   Deputies Kendall, Rutillio Quezada and Kurt Haddix, who I
   think is in the courtroom right now.
14
15
            I want to begin by thanking you for being here,
   particularly during the trying times that we are living in
16
17
   right now. In every way, COVID-19 adds a weight to the
18
   significance of our responsibilities to the significance of
   your presence here today. In the new normal that we're all
19
20
   living in, your life responsibilities are more serious and
21
   an intrusion into them potentially asking you to serve as
22
   jurors in this case, maybe even more jarring.
23
            As I wrote in my letter to you regarding jury
24
   service, we have taken a number of very important
25
   precautions to in sure your health and safety during the
```

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

jury selection and trial, if you are chosen as a juror and I want to briefly mention a couple of those. First, your temperature should have been taken before you entered the courthouse. You should have been escorted to the courtroom by a member of the Court staff or one of our court security officers. We have asked everyone to wear a mask while you're in the courtroom and courthouse during jury selection and trial. We provided masks to you. There are gloves if anyone wishes to have gloves. There's hands sanitizer throughout the courtroom and the courthouse. We'll take several breaks this afternoon and then once the trial begins we'll take frequent brakes throughout the course of the trial. We'll have a somewhat shortened trial day. We'll provide lunch to our jurors throughout the course of the trial, limiting the need to go in and out of the courthouse, and we have also reconfigured the courtroom to accommodate the needs of social distancing. The jury will be seated where you are seated in

The jury will be seated where you are seated in the gallery and the witness will be actually in the jury box, and we'll use a larger courtroom down the hall for our jury room instead of the regular smaller jury room we use.

We have split jury selection into two sessions. We have a morning session, finished about 1:00 this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
afternoon and now we're in the afternoon session.
allows us to observe appropriate social distancing
requirements.
        As I said, my name is Trey Schroeder. I'm a
United States district judge in the Eastern District.
live in Texarkana where I was born and grew up. I've been
a lawyer for 20-plus years now. I have been on the bench
about five years. I practiced law for 15 years in private
practice before I went on the bench. And prior to my years
in private practice, I worked for federal judge and worked
in the government in Washington for a couple of years
before that.
        I went to college in Missouri and then in
Arkansas, and I finished law school in Washington D.C.
        I'm married. I have two daughters who are having
their first day as juniors in college and they are not on
their campus where they should be. They're in Bowie
County. So life for all of us has been certainly different
in the last few months and gives every indication it will
be for the next several.
        My wife is a lawyer, too. She does not practice
anymore. I call her a recovering lawyer. And I'm telling
you all these things about myself because in a few minutes
I'm going to ask you to tell us some -- the same type of
information about yourself and I think you're as in
```

entitled to know as much about me as I'm about to learn about you.

We're in jury selection for a criminal trial that we intend to try this week and I want to begin by thanking you for your service here today. You're playing a pivotal role in your justice system. I hope you will consider it an honor to serve in that role because that is what it is.

By asking you to be here, to potentially serve as a juror in this case, I recognize that we're asking you to be away from your family and friends and other responsibilities, your work. For those of you who have children at home, I know how hectic your lives are. Same goes for those of you who may be a caregiver for a family or friend.

By asking you to be here and potentially serve as a juror, we're creating a significant intrusion into your lives, but the reason we do it is because we have important work to do here this week. We have important work to do that will not get done without a jury.

This is the 36th case I have tried over the last five years as a judge, and it's my belief that your experience as a juror will depend in large part upon what your initial frame of mind is.

I recently read about a judge in Houston who said that you can look upon jury service as a sort of a form of

a tax, just like an income tax we pay to the federal government or the property or the sales tax that we pay to our state or our county or our local school district where we live. It's a tax that you pay not with money but with your time and your effort and your energy.

And what this judge said in a courtroom similar to this one is that maybe there's a better way to look at it, a better perspective, because the truth is, you are doing something that is much more than merely discharging a duty. And I want to share with you what he said.

He said you're performing one of the most sacred duties that is asked of Americans in peacetime. And we all recognize that young men and women who serve in the Armed Forces perform the most sacred of those duties, but jury service is no doubt one of the most important pillars of our democratic government.

Those of you who know your Old Testament know juries were used to decide issues of property value and property ownership. Jury service has been a fundamental aspect of government for thousands of years. The Greeks began using the jury service -- jury system in about 1,500 BC, and the Romans adopted the jury system from the Greeks.

The Romans brought the jury trials to England in the 4th century, AD, so by the 12th century jury trials had been part of the judicial system of England for over

1 | 800 years.

King John came along, a tyrannical king, King
John, who attempted to do away with the right to trial by
jury. By the 13th century when the Magna Carta was signed,
the -- they guaranteed the right to jury trials for the
English people. And after that, 28 of our United States
have adopted the exact language of the Magna Carta and
placed it in their state constitution.

So going back to our founding fathers, the concept of jury trials was well ingrained in them as British colonists in the settling of America.

King George III, another tyrannical king,
attempted to void the right to jury trials. And Thomas

Jefferson, in penning the complaints against the Crown in
the Declaration of Independence, set out the denial of the
right to trial by jury as one of the specific grounds

mandating our separation from England.

In our own country much later, the denial of the right to a jury trial is one of the grievances that the colonists had against King George. And the men who met in Philadelphia in 1776, there were 56 them, they had no doubt about the importance of jury trials. There was a whole range of grievances against the Crown, but you should not forget one of the most important of those grievances was the denial of the right to a jury trial to the colonists.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those men who signed the Declaration of Independence pledged their lives, their honor and their fortunes and sacred honor. And ten years after that, when the Constitution was drafted, the 39 men who signed that document, they knew about the importance of the jury trial. It is the only constitutional right that is mentioned in the body of the constitution itself and the Bill of Rights. Now, there's no doubt that many of your parents served on juries, and probably some grandparents did as well so, in a way, the jury tradition stretches back many, many generations, all the way back to 1787, all the way back to 1776. So while I do understand and recognize that jury service may be an inconvenience for many of you at some level, I hope that you will understand that it is also more than that. It is our chance, perhaps not to pay the debt that we owe to our country, but at least to acknowledge it and to recognize in some way to honor it. In this case, the United States, the Government, has accused the defendant, Mr. Charles Orange, of committing the crime of one count of possession of child pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 2252A(a)(5)(B). Mr. Orange denies the charge and has pled not guilty to the alleged crime. I anticipate that the presentation of evidence

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

```
will take about three days, so we should conclude by
   Thursday, September 17th. The trial in this case will
   begin tomorrow morning and those of you who are selected to
   be members of our jury will need to be available at that
   time.
            If any of you have any preplanned vacations,
6
   already bought nonrefundable plane tickets or have a
   surgery scheduled for later this week or something like
   that that is very, very serious, serious enough to make it
   difficult for you to serve, then I need for you to identify
   yourself and we'll talk about the details later, if
   necessary. But if anybody on the panel has either of those
13
   types of reasons that would prevent you from serving as a
   juror, I would like for you to raise your hand for me now.
            Yes, ma'am, tell me your name in the second row.
            PANEL MEMBER:
16
                           Watson.
17
            THE COURT: Ms. Watson?
18
            PANEL MEMBER:
                           Yes.
            THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Watson.
19
20
            Anybody else in the left section?
21
            Anybody on the right section? Okay. Very well.
22
            Let me give you a guick overview of what will be
23
   happening over the next few days. Right now we're at the
   beginning of the trial, what we call voir dire examination
25
   of the jury panel. This is where the Court and the parties
```

will be asking you some questions to help us evaluate you as a potential juror. This will probably take a couple of hours.

When the lawyers address you, they're going to be asking you a variety of different questions. I'll be asking you a variety of different questions. I am not and the lawyers are not seeking to unduly pry into your private affairs. The questions that will be asked are designed to secure a fair and impartial jury to both sides of this case.

There may come a time this afternoon if I ask a question and one of the attorneys asks a question and, in order for you to answer the question completely and truthfully, you will prefer to do it outside the presence of the other panel members. If that's the case, you just tell us that and we'll save you for the end. After everyone has been discharged, we can have a discussion in a more private setting. All you have to do is let us know that and we'll give you an opportunity to do that.

The important thing this afternoon is for each of you to give full, complete and truth answers to the questions that are asked. There really are no wrong answers as long your answer is the truth and it's a complete answer.

Now, this morning, we did the same process with a

different group of about 25 people. And when we finish the session this afternoon, the attorneys will be allowed to strike a certain number of jurors. And then, following that, the first 14 panel members will become our 12 jurors and our two alternates.

When you leave at the end of the day today, you will not know if you have selected to be on the jury or not. When you leave today, the attorneys and I will have an opportunity to discuss various issues that undoubtedly will come up this afternoon and then the attorneys, as I said, will be able to exercise peremptory strikes and cause challenges if necessary.

All of you, whether you have been selected to serve on the jury or not, will receive a phone call early this evening letting you know whether, as I say, you have been selected or not. So I would expect probably by 6:00 p.m., somewhere thereabouts, we'll have the jury selected and you will have been notified by that point.

Now, tomorrow morning, the attorneys for each side will make opening statements, followed by a presentation of evidence. Once the evidence has been introduced, I'll instruct the jury on the law that it must follow in its deliberations and then, following that, the parties will present their closing arguments to the jury and then the jury will retire to the jury room to begin deliberations.

Now, as I said, the purpose of voir dire is to enable the Court to determine whether any prospective juror should be excused from jury service for either what we call cause, or by counsel for the parties by what we call, as I said, peremptory challenges, which are challenges for which no reason need be given.

Voir dire is an old French phrase and it means to speak the truth. And I know that you will speak the truth this afternoon as you answer the questions that the parties and I ask you. I would ask you to listen carefully to the questions and please don't be timid about speaking up if they applied to you.

Let me ask each of you to give us some basic information about yourself similar to what I told you all about myself earlier. There's a microphone in the middle and I will ask you to come up to the microphone. You can take your mask off if you're comfortable doing that, you don't have to. It's up to you. If I can't hear you, I'll ask you to speak up, but you leave your mask on if you want to.

I'll ask you to tell us your name, where you live, if you're employed, what you do, if you're married, what your spouse's name is and what his or her occupation is if they're employed, and something about yourself, a favorite thing to do in your spare time or something along those

```
1
   lines.
2
            So if we could start with you, sir, first person,
   yes, sir. If you would come forward. So name, where you
3
   live, occupation, spouse's occupation, if any, and a
4
   favorite thing to do in your spare time.
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Jeff Pinkerton. I live in Chapel
6
7
   Hill east of town, I'm married to Connie, and I thoroughly
   enjoy hiking long distances.
8
            THE COURT: How far distances?
            PANEL MEMBER: 30, 40 miles at a time.
10
11
            THE COURT: All right. Good for you. All right.
12
   Very well. Thank you, Mr. Pinkerton.
13
            Yes, ma'am, in the green.
            PANEL MEMBER: Hello. I'm Vivian Houseman.
14
                                                        I
15
   live in Hideaway. I've lived in this area for about
   35 years. I do not work but I am a registered nurse and
16
17
   have been for 40 years. I am a widow. I have two
18
   children.
19
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Good afternoon. I'm Debra Longo.
21
   I currently work at security for G4S. I live in Lindale,
22
   Texas. I like doing arts and crafts and I have two
23
   children.
24
           THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
25
           PANEL MEMBER: I'm Helen Watson. I work at Van
```

```
ISD in the cafeteria. My husband is Randy Watson.
1
   four children and four grandchildren and I love watching
2
   them play ball.
3
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
 4
            Yes, sir.
5
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Jerry Morgan. I work in
6
7
   sales. My wife is a stay-at-home mom, her name is Shanda,
   and we have a 16-month-old daughter that takes up all our
8
   time.
10
            THE COURT: Where do you live?
11
            PANEL MEMBER: South Tyler.
12
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
13
            Yes, ma'am.
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Amy Matlack. I have been
14
15
   married to Carl for 28 years. He is a production manager,
   and currently I get to play housewife. And we enjoy being
16
17
   outdoors looking for arrowheads, being outdoors.
18
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: Hello. My name is Jeffrey Moore.
20
   I live here in Tyler. I'm in the oil and gas industry,
2.1
   been doing that for 30 years. Enjoy three boys, two
22
   grandchildren.
23
            THE COURT:
                        Thank you.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Scott Krumm. I'm a
   licensed plumber and I work for Chapel Hill ISD. My wife
25
```

```
is also employed by them.
1
2
            THE COURT:
                        Thank you, sir.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: My name's Jerry Tatum, I live here
4
   in Tyler, Texas. My wife's name is Gwen. I do project
   controls for an engineering company. My wife works at the
5
   hospital and I live in Texas but do not like the Cowboys.
6
7
            THE COURT: But don't like what?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: The Cowboys.
9
            THE COURT: You don't?
            PANEL MEMBER:
10
                           No.
11
            THE COURT: All right.
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Bobby Thompson. I lived in
12
13
   Wood County all but three years of my whole life. My wife,
   Vicki, is a retired RN and I'm retired from the telephone
14
15
   company, and now I cook chicken. And I've got eight
16
   grandkids, I like to go watch them play ball.
17
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
18
            Last row.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Katrina Smart. My husband's
20
   name is Ricky and I work for the sanitation department. I
2.1
   have one daughter and two twin grandsons. I've been at my
22
   job for 30 years and my husband has been at his job for
23
   15 years.
24
            THE COURT: Whereabouts do you live?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Henderson.
```

```
THE COURT: Henderson, okay. All right. Good.
1
2
   Thank you.
3
            Yes, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: My name's Gary Neuman and I live
4
   here in Tyler. My wife works for Chapel Hill ISD.
5
6
   in the mortgage industry, secondary mortgage industry.
7
   have four kids, three of which are out, and I enjoy
8
   spending time with family.
            THE COURT: Okay.
                               Thank you.
            PANEL MEMBER: Good afternoon. My name is James
10
   Mouch, and I -- well, M-O-U-C-H.
11
12
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
13
            PANEL MEMBER: It always helps to spell it.
            My wife Cindy and I have been married a little
14
15
   over 45 years. We live in the LaRue, Texas area. We have
16
   three children and six grandchildren. We're both retired
17
   and my hobbies are prison ministry and woodworking and
18
   fishing.
19
            THE COURT: Thank you. Okay.
20
            On that first row here.
21
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is James Rice. I'm a
22
   registered nurse and I work at UT here in Tyler.
23
   married to my wife Robin. She's also a registered nurse
24
   and we live in Alto. We have three children and my oldest
25
   daughter just graduated from Lamar a couple weeks ago, so
```

```
we're thrilled about that.
1
2
            THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
            Yes, ma'am.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Abigail Urieta. I've
4
5
   been a banker for about 12 years. My husband is a high
   school biology teacher. We have three young daughters and
6
7
   they keep us very busy.
            THE COURT: And tell what your last name is.
8
9
            PANEL MEMBER: Urieta, U-R-I-E-T-A.
10
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11
            All right. Yes, ma'am.
12
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Ashley Lesniewski. I'm a nurse
13
   practitioner at Trinity Mother Frances. My husband's name
   is Nathan. He is a software developer. We live in
14
15
   Chandler and I enjoy outdoor activities.
16
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
17
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Linda Monaghan and my husband's
18
   name is Paul. We're both retired. I had worked at Tyler
   ContinueCARE Hospital for 15 years before I retired. Paul,
19
20
   my husband, was a dean at a school for 12 years. I have
2.1
   twin boys and a daughter, and I quess my favorite thing is
22
   to spend time with my King Charles Cavalier puppy.
23
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: Good afternoon. I'm Alex
25
   Wierzbicki. I live in Tyler. My occupation is football
```

```
coach at Tyler Junior College. I don't have kids, not
1
2
   married, and my hobby is fishing.
3
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
 4
            Yes, ma'am.
            PANEL MEMBER: Good afternoon. My name is Jeloria
5
   Morris. I'm married to my husband John. We live in Tyler.
6
7
   Can you hear?
            THE COURT: A little louder.
8
9
            PANEL MEMBER: We've been married about four
   years. We have seven kids between us, 16 grandkids, and I
10
11
   work for a lady who passed away last night, and my husband
12
   is retired. He is disabled.
13
            THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, ma'am.
14
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Brandy Pomeroy. I live
15
   in Longview. I'm divorced. I have three adult children, a
   four-year-old granddaughter, and I'm a controller at
16
   Longview Regional Medical Center.
17
18
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: Hello. My name is Josh Walding. I
20
   grew up in Palestine but I travel now a lot and so I'm here
2.1
   and I'm there, so I keep that as my primary residence and
22
   that way --
23
            THE COURT: Little louder. I had trouble.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: I keep my primary residence back in
25
   Palestine because I travel a lot and so I don't miss mail
```

```
or important stuff, and I enjoy equestrian riding.
1
2
            THE COURT: You enjoy what?
            PANEL MEMBER: Equestrian.
3
            THE COURT: Equestrian. All right. Great.
 4
                                                         Thank
5
   you.
6
            PANEL MEMBER: My name is Jennifer Fuller. I have
7
   two teenage daughters. We live in Mt. Enterprise.
                                                        I am
8
   engaged and he has custody of his daughter, so we have
   three teenage daughters at home. I am employed at a
   business accounting office and a car dealership. And
10
11
   basically we're involved with our kids, so that is about
12
   all we have to do.
13
            THE COURT: Well, I have had two teenage daughters
   so I can't imagine three.
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Christine Tokoph and I'm a
   retired high school teacher and my husband is retired. We
16
17
   have been in Tyler about 35 years. My son is staying with
18
   us right now working on his doctorate in physical therapy,
   and I enjoy time -- pre-Covid we used to like to travel.
19
20
   Hopefully that will come up again.
2.1
            THE COURT:
                        Thank you.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Richard Sewell. I'm from Noonday,
23
           I'm a land man, single, thank goodness.
24
            THE COURT: Okay.
25
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm Kent Larson. I live in rural
```

```
Rains County just outside of Alba. I've married for
1
   43 years to my wife Stella, and for a hobby I raise and
2
   register Angus cattle.
3
 4
            THE COURT: What was that?
            PANEL MEMBER: I register Angus cattle.
5
            THE COURT: Okay. I think that's everybody.
                                                          All
 6
7
   right.
            First of all, let me begin by introducing
8
9
   Mr. Charles Orange, the defendant in this matter. Does
   anybody on the panel know Mr. Orange? He is from Longview,
10
   Texas. Anybody familiar with Mr. Orange? All right.
11
12
            Seated with Mr. Orange is Mr. Bobby Mims, who is
13
   representing Mr. Orange, and I'm going to ask Mr. Mims to
   introduce his colleagues at the table there.
14
            And Mr. Mims, say a little bit about yourself if
15
   you wish to do that.
16
17
            MR. MIMS: Yes. I'm Bobby Mims. I'm a lawyer. I
18
   been doing this a long time and, of course, I'm honored to
19
   represent Charles Orange in this case here. Probably a
20
   two- or three-day case. My law partner is Ms. Mishae
21
   Boren. She's a University of Texas at Tyler graduate and
22
   Texas A&M law school graduate, and our investigator Ms.
23
   Melinda Carroll, who graduated, what was it, Henderson
24
   County? Trinity Valley over there at Henderson County?
                                                            We
25
   been together 25 years so we been doing this a while.
```

```
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Mims.
1
 2
            Okay. So my question is does anybody know
   Mr. Mims or Ms. Boren or Ms. Carroll? Any familiarity with
 3
 4
   them, anybody been represented by Mr. Mims and his partner,
   know them or have any relationship?
 5
            Yes, sir, if you would please stand.
 6
 7
            PANEL MEMBER: I know Bobby pretty well.
            THE COURT: Do you? So tell me for the record
 8
9
   again your name.
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Richard Sewell.
11
            THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sewell, would the fact
12
   that you know Mr. Mims cause you to start out this case
13
   leaning for his client or against his client?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, I don't know.
15
            THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let Mr. Mims follow
16
   up on that, or maybe Mr. Kummerfeld will follow up on that,
17
   but I think I get your meaning.
18
            Anybody else know Mr. Mims, Ms. Boren or Ms.
   Carroll? All right. Very well.
19
20
            Nathaniel Kummerfeld represents the United States.
21
   He, along with Ms. Miller, will be the attorneys working on
22
   the case.
            Mr. Kummerfeld, if you want to introduce your team
23
24
   and say a word or two.
25
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Thank you very much. My name is
```

```
Nathaniel Kummerfeld and this is Melissa Miller. We're
1
2
   both prosecutors in the United States Attorney's Office.
   I'm based here in Tyler, Melissa is based in Plano.
3
   Joining us at counsel table is Jamie McCullars, she's our
4
   litigation support specialist, and our case agent is here,
5
   Special Agent Elmer Armstrong.
6
7
            THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kummerfeld. Does
8
   anybody on the panel know Mr. Kummerfeld, Ms. Miller,
   Mr. Armstrong or Ms. McCullars? Any familiarity or
   connection with any one of them? Okay. Very well.
10
11
            All right. So I introduced myself and the other
   members of my staff. Does anybody know me or know anybody
12
   that I work with here? We all live in Texarkana but we
13
   cover a little bit of the -- certain cases on the Tyler
14
15
   docket, and I have some cases in Marshall as well and cases
   in Sherman as well. I've been in Tyler a lot over the last
16
   few years. Anybody know me or recognize me? I don't think
17
18
   so. Okay.
19
            With respect to the panel, do any of you know any
20
   other members of the panel? Do you recognize anybody, go
21
   to church with anybody, any friends or acquaintances among
22
   each other on the panel? I take it by your silence you
23
   don't.
24
            Has anybody served as a juror in a civil case or a
   criminal case or as a member of a Grand Jury in either
25
```

```
state or federal court before? We'll start on the first
1
2
         If I could ask you to come to the microphone tell me
   what kind of case it was, how long ago it was, and whether
3
   you reached a verdict or not.
4
            PANEL MEMBER: It was some time in the '90s in
5
   Cody, Wyoming, and was a criminal case and we delivered a
6
7
   verdict.
8
            THE COURT: Thank you.
9
            Yes, ma'am.
            PANEL MEMBER: It was a criminal case and it was a
10
11
   hung jury and it was probably 15 years ago.
12
            THE COURT: Whereabouts was it?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Here in Tyler.
14
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
15
            Yes, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: I have served on several here in
16
           Criminal, civil, mental competency in municipal
17
   Tyler.
18
   court.
19
            THE COURT: Okay. And all those cases, where --
20
            PANEL MEMBER: These were all here in Tyler. All
21
   cases came to a verdict.
22
            THE COURT: Okay. All right. Yes, sir.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: On a criminal case in the Cherokee
   County and a civil case here in Tyler. Both had verdicts.
24
25
            THE COURT: All right. How long ago were those?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: About '88 and criminal trial
1
2
   probably about six years ago in the civil case.
3
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
4
            Yes, sir, Mr. Pinkerton.
            PANEL MEMBER: I served on a case in Montgomery
5
   County probably in the '90s, and we did reach a verdict.
6
7
            THE COURT: What kind of case?
            PANEL MEMBER: It was civil, I believe.
8
9
            THE COURT: Okay. Who else in the left section?
   Yes, sir, if you would come up.
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Served on two criminals and one
12
   civil case, all of them in Wood County, one of them
13
   probably in the '90s and the other probably in the early
14
   2000s.
15
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
            Yes, ma'am.
16
            PANEL MEMBER: I served on a case in Denton,
17
18
   Texas.
19
            THE COURT: In Denton, did you say?
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Canton.
21
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: About four years ago I served on a
23
   civil case up in Decatur, Alabama. Delivered a verdict.
24
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
25
            Yes, ma'am, if you would.
```

```
1
            PANEL MEMBER: I served on a civil case in Rusk
2
   County and did reach a verdict.
3
            THE COURT: How long ago was that?
            PANEL MEMBER: The late '90s.
 4
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else in the
5
6
   left section?
7
            In the right section, first row. Yes, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: I served on a criminal case in
8
9
   Cherokee County I think back in the late '80s and it was a
10
   verdict.
11
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
12
            Yes, ma'am.
            PANEL MEMBER: I served on a criminal case about
13
   eight years ago here in Smith County and we did reach a
14
   verdict.
15
16
            THE COURT: Thank you.
            PANEL MEMBER: I served on a jury with the
17
18
   municipal court. Does that --
19
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Okay. And that was about ten years
21
   ago and we did reach a verdict.
22
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
23
            Next. Yes, ma'am.
            PANEL MEMBER: I served on a jury I think four
24
25
   years ago in Smith County. We reached a verdict. I think
```

```
it was civil but I'm not sure.
1
2
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
            Anybody else in the right section? Anyone? Okay.
3
4
            So for those of you who served on -- particularly
5
   on criminal cases but -- but all -- but both kinds, civil
   as well, is there anything about that jury process, serving
6
7
   on the jury, your involvement in that case, that left you
   with any kind of negative impression about our judicial
8
   system? Anybody have any bad experience with that? Okay.
   I take it by your silence you do not.
10
11
            Let me ask a question about employment by law
12
   enforcement agencies. Have any of you or members of your
13
   family or very close, personal friends ever been employed
   by law enforcement agency?
14
            Yes, ma'am. If you would just tell me very
15
16
   briefly who and what agency.
17
            PANEL MEMBER: The State of Wyoming I was a
18
   probation officer, and in the State of Texas I was a
   probation officer.
19
20
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
21
            PANEL MEMBER: My son-in-law is employed by the
22
   Austin Police Department.
23
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
24
            Yes, sir.
25
            PANEL MEMBER: My brother is retired Dallas PD,
```

```
brother-in-law is retired Dallas PD, then he retired from
1
2
   UTF, he was their security. And then I've got a son-in-law
   that's IRPD.
3
 4
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: I just have a close, personal
5
   friend of about 30 years works at the Ft. Worth Medical
6
7
   Prison.
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Okay. Thank you.
8
9
            Anybody else? Yes, ma'am, in the back. Ms.
   Smart?
10
          Come on.
11
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a sister that works for the
12
   City of Henderson as a dispatcher. My sister, my daughter
13
   and my niece all work for the prison system.
14
            THE COURT: The state prison system?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, Ms.
16
17
   Smart.
18
            Mr. Pinkerton, you remember it after everybody
19
   else does.
20
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a niece whose husband is a
21
   Harris County Sheriff's deputy.
22
            THE COURT: All right. Fair enough. Thank you.
            Right side, first row.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm not sure because we don't have
24
25
   that personal relationship, but I believe I have a cousin
```

```
who is a probation officer here in Smith County.
1
 2
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
            Yes, sir.
 3
            PANEL MEMBER: My uncle is a retired from Dallas
 4
   Police Department and very good friend of mine is retired
 5
   sheriff in Nacogdoches County.
 6
 7
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
            Second row?
 8
 9
            Third row? Ms. Pomeroy.
                          My son is a member of military
10
            PANEL MEMBER:
11
   police and National Guard out of the Tyler unit and --
12
    (inaudible.)
13
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
14
            Yes, ma'am.
15
            PANEL MEMBER: I have three friends who are Tyler
   policemen and I have two friends who work for adult
16
17
   probation.
18
            All right. Thank you.
19
            The next row? Is that everybody? Okay.
20
            THE COURT: Those of you who have family members
21
   or friends or you yourself have served in law enforcement
22
   before, is there anything about that experience that would
23
   cause you at the beginning of the trial, before you have
24
   heard any of the testimony, before you have seen any of
25
   evidence, before you have heard anything about this case,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is there anything about that experience in law enforcement that would start you out sort of leaning in favor of the Government against the defendant or against the Government in favor of the defendant? Is there anything about that experience that would make you biased or prejudiced in favor for or against one party over the other? Anybody have that concern? Okay. Very well. Have any of you or do you have any family members or close personal friends who have had one of two things, either a very unpleasant experience with law enforcement or you were the subject of some sort of an investigation by a law enforcement agency that was more serious than a traffic ticket? So some sort of very unpleasant experience or you were the subject of, you or a family member or close personal friend were the subject of some type of investigation by a law enforcement agency that was more serious than a traffic ticket? Does anybody fall in that category or those categories? Anybody on the left side of the room? Anybody on the right side of the room? All right. Next question is slightly different so listen carefully. Have any of you -- have any of you had any family members or close, personal friends who have been arrested, charged, or convicted of a crime that's more

```
serious than a traffic violation? Arrested, charged or
1
2
   convicted.
            Yes, ma'am, if you would. And if it's -- again,
3
   this falls in the category of the kind of things if we need
4
   to talk about them later outside the presence of everybody
5
   else we can do that, but you're welcome to tell us whatever
6
7
   it is.
            PANEL MEMBER: My daughter was picked up for
8
9
   shoplifting probably 20-plus years ago.
10
            THE COURT: All right. And how did that get
11
   resolved?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Deferred adjudication.
13
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Hold on a
   second. Anybody else on the left section?
14
15
            Right section? Yes, sir.
            PANEL MEMBER: My son got a DWI and he just pled
16
17
   quilty to it.
18
            THE COURT: How long ago?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: About ten years ago.
20
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else --
21
   yes, ma'am.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a cousin who has been in
23
   prison three times for drug-related offenses. I also have
24
   another close family member picked up on DUI and ended up
25
   with probation.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
1
2
            Yes, ma'am.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a family member that had a
   DUI about 18 years ago and it was deferred adjudication.
4
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
5
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a brother had a DUI.
 6
7
            THE COURT: Long time ago?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah, probably several years now.
9
            THE COURT: Okay.
            Yes, ma'am.
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: I had an uncle that was in prison
12
   many years ago but I'm not sure what for. He died in
13
   prison.
14
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
15
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a brother. He was -- he
   voluntarily, I believe -- he was deported voluntarily but
16
17
   it was on minor -- or minor drug charges and it's been
18
   several years ago. I can't really tell you exactly how
19
   long ago.
20
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
21
            And is it Mr. Wierzbicki and Mr. Morgan?
22
   else?
23
            Yes, sir. If you would, Mr. Walding.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: One of my college cheerleading
   teammates was deported from England back to here on the --
25
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: Three years ago, I believe.
1
2
            THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you,
   Mr. Walding. Anybody else over here in the left section?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: I had a couple friends that have
4
   had DUIs. One I know for sure went to prison and I'm not
   sure about the other one.
6
7
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else?
            Okay. So my question for all of you all who gave
8
   me positive answers on that, is there anything about that
9
   experience, your experience, your family member's
10
11
   experience, your friends' experience that you're aware of
12
   that would cause you to struggle to render a fair and
13
   impartial verdict, both to the United States and to the
   defendant in this case? Anything about that experience
14
15
   that would create a difficulty in your being able to be
   fair and impartial as a juror? All right. I take it by
16
   your silence you would not.
17
18
            I want to ask about victims of crime and witnesses
   to the crime. So have any of you, either yourself or a
19
20
   family member or close personal friend, been either the
2.1
   victim of a crime or a witness to a crime?
22
            Yes, ma'am. Just tell us very briefly.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: As a child in Dallas we had our
24
   apartment broken into and some things get stolen, and also
25
   about fifth grade a man exposed himself to me.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
1
            Anybody? Yes, sir. Mr. Pinkerton.
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Probably in the '80s had someone
3
   break into my apartment, and then probably in the '90s they
4
   had mistaken my home, the county sheriff mistaken my home
5
   as another home and broke in, and it was a mistake so they
6
7
   fixed the door.
8
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9
            Anybody else on the left section? Witnesses or
   victims.
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: I just have two home break-ins.
12
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Last year my husband had a vehicle
   be stolen, and the investigator was for the whole district,
14
15
   and me and my sister were able to recover the pickup from
   the person who stole it.
16
17
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Smart.
18
            Anybody else on the left section?
19
            Now the right section. Mr. Rice.
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes. I have a sister that was
21
   sexually assaulted as a young girl.
22
            THE COURT: I'm going to ask you about that,
23
   Mr. Rice, again in a few minutes, okay? But thank you.
24
            Second row. Yes, ma'am.
25
            PANEL MEMBER: A man broke into my bedroom when I
```

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anybody else on the

25

```
right side?
1
2
            Okay. So for those of you -- I think we had all
   the victims. For those of you who were victims of a crime
3
   in the past, is there anything about that experience that
4
   would lead you to be unable to render a fair and impartial
   verdict in this case? Anything about your previous
6
7
   experience as a victim of a crime that would cause you to
   have hard time being fair and impartial to either the
8
   government or to the defendant?
10
            All right. I take it by your silence you would
11
   not.
12
            Now, the defendant in this case is
13
   Mr. Charles Orange, and the Government has charged him in
14
   what's called an indictment. But it's important to
15
   understand that an indictment is not evidence of quilt.
16
   question is, would anyone consider the fact that Mr. Orange
17
   has been indicted by a Grand Jury as some evidence that
18
   he's quilty of the offenses charged? Anyone think that?
   Anyone -- it's okay if you do. I just need to know if you
19
   think that.
20
21
            Anybody on the left section of the courtroom?
22
            Anybody on the right section think that because
23
   Mr. Orange has been indicted that somehow he must be
24
   quilty? All right. Very well.
25
            Now, I know we have talked a lot about the details
```

about this case. The jury, once its selected, will hear 1 more. But based on what you know now, the name of the 2 defendant and the fact that it's an indictment alleging 3 possession of child pornography, has anybody on this panel 4 heard anything about this case, read anything in the newspapers, heard anything on the radio, seen anything on 6 7 the television? Does anybody know anything about this case before you came here in afternoon? All right. I take it 8 by your silence you have not. Has anybody on the panel discussed this case with 10 11 anyone before today? I take it by your silence you have 12 not. 13 Also need to ask if any of you overheard any conversation, not that you participated in yourself but 14 15 that you overheard. Has anybody heard anything at all from any source of information about this case? Very well. 16 17 Putting aside radio and television and newspapers 18 coverage, does anybody have any personal knowledge that might have come from a source like the internet or Googling 19 20 or any time type of information like that or source of 21 information like that? All right. 22 Does anybody have any personal knowledge from any 23 source about this case? Very well. 24 Now, does the fact that the United States is a 25 party to this case cause anybody to feel uncomfortable as a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

juror or cause you to feel any bias or prejudice either for the Government or against the Government that would -- that would be to the extent that you could not sit as a fair and impartial juror? Any bias or prejudice for or against the Government that would render you unable to be fair and impartial as a juror? Nobody have that problem? right. Very well. Has anybody on the panel or family member or a close personal friend ever been a party to any type of a legal proceeding involving the United States or a state or a local government, either as a complainant, a defendant, a plaintiff, someone who has been accused, someone who is a witness or a victim besides the victim we have discussed earlier, or related in any way or involved in any way in any kinds of action involving an officer, an employee or agent of a federal, state or local government? That's a lot of categories so I'll go over it You or a relative or a close personal friend been a party to a legal proceeding or an action involving the United States or a state or a local government where the person involved was either a complainant, a defendant, a plaintiff, the accused, a character witness or victim, or to any kind of a lawsuit between an officer, an agent or employee of the federal, state or local government? Anybody fall into that category? All right. Very well.

Have any -- do any of you or were any of you or have you ever been employed by the Department of Homeland Security? Or has any member of your family or close, personal friend been? Department of Homeland Security? All right.

The United States may call as witnesses persons employed by the Department of Homeland Security. Does anybody sitting here today on this panel have any prejudice or bias against Homeland Security that you could not be fair and impartial? Okay.

Does anybody in the courtroom have -- or do you have close, personal friends or family members who have personal beliefs or opinions or convictions that are so strong about the criminal laws of the United States that it would prevent you from being fair and impartial in this case? So you, a family member, or close, personal friend have opinions and convictions about our criminal laws that are so strong that you would have struggle setting those aside and trouble being fair and impartial to both sides in this case? I take it by your silence you do not.

If you're selected as a juror in this case, you're going to be asked to take an oath to render a verdict that's based on the law that I give to you. And you will be required to follow that law without regard to any personal feeling that you might have about the law, what

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the law is or what the law should be. Is there anyone who would have any difficulty following those instructions on the law as I give them to you? Is there anyone that would have any trouble reaching a verdict in accordance with the law as I give it to you? Very well. Now, there's two basic kinds of evidence. There's what we call direct evidence and there's what we call circumstantial evidence. You all know this from watching TV and seeing legal movies and reading legal thrillers, I'm sure, but direct evidence is positive or direct evidence, like the testimony of one who has seen an event, an eyewitness to an accident or to a crime. That's direct evidence. Circumstantial evidence, you all know, is deductive; that proof of a chain of circumstances, facts, proof of a series of facts, that indicate that a defendant is either guilty or not guilty. And what's important about this is that the law makes no distinction about those two kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction about the weight that you may give to either direct or circumstantial evidence. Is there anybody on the panel who feels like they could not give as much weight to circumstantial

Is there anyone who feels like they couldn't rely

evidence as they would give to direct evidence? Anybody

have any problem? Very well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on circumstantial evidence that you believe beyond a reasonable doubt in order to find a defendant guilty? Anybody have trouble with doing that? Very well. Would any of you require the United States to present an eyewitness to an event before you could find a defendant guilty? All right. Now, in the federal criminal justice system, unlike our courts in the State of Texas, it is the Court and not the jury that decides punishment in a criminal case. And that occurs after a guilty verdict and a presentence investigation that was done that takes into account a lot of matters that are relevant only to sentencing, and the jury makes the decision about whether the Government has proven the charges it brings beyond a reasonable doubt. If the jury finds the Government has proven its case, the jury has no role in assessing the punishment. And so you can't let sympathy or compassion or a defendant -- those may be things that are appropriate for sentencing, but they're not appropriate for deciding whether the United States has proven its case or not. Is there anybody who would struggle with that? Anybody at all? All right. Very well. Is there anybody who would vote not guilty, no matter what the evidence is, because of the possibility

1 that the Court could impose a sentence that you don't want?
2 All right. Very well.

Is there anybody out there for any reason, a moral reason or religious reason, some philosophical reason, some personal reason, that would make you struggle to sit in judgment of another person? Anybody who has any kind of personal, moral, religious or philosophical reason you could not sit in the judgment of another person? Very well.

The law requires that you hear the evidence and base your verdict on the facts as you find them, and the law precludes your consideration of other factors, as I just said; sympathy or compassion or prejudice or vengeance or hostility or any other emotion like that.

Is there anybody who feels like they would struggle setting those emotions aside, setting those factors aside, and deliberating your verdict in this case based on nothing other than the evidence that comes into -- into the trial through the witness stand and the documents and the other materials that are introduced as well as the testimony? Is there anyone who would struggle putting those other things aside and focus solely on the evidence and the law as I give it to you in my instructions?

Very well.

Does anybody have any sort of visual problem or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hearing problem that would make it difficult for you to devote your full attention to this proceeding? Very well. All right. Now, the next question I want to ask -- really all I want at this point is for you to raise your hand and tell me your name because the people who indicate they have something to tell me about this, we're going to ask you all to wait after all of the voir dire has occurred, we're going to ask you to wait, everybody else will be dismissed and we'll have an opportunity to visit in a more private setting, a less public setting, about this particular topic that I'm going to ask you about now. The question is this: Have any of you or family member or a close, personal friend ever been involved in any type of an incident in which there was some sexual contact, abuse, molestation, or assault between an adult and a child? Ms. Fuller, you indicated that had occurred, so I know that you -- I'll ask you to wait and we'll talk about that later. But what I want to know, is there anyone other than Ms. Fuller who has either themselves been involved in or they have a member of their family or had a close, personal friend in an incident where there was some sexual contact, sexual abuse, sexual molestation or sexual assault and it involved an adult and a child?

```
Okay. So let's just take that left section over
1
2
   here. Mr. Morgan, is that right?
3
            Mr. Morgan.
 4
            Ms. Watson, is that right? Ms. Watson.
                        Who else on the left section? Anybody
5
   else in the left section?
6
7
            Okay. The right section. Mr. Rice.
            Ms. Urieta.
8
9
            Anybody else on the section? Third row.
                                                      That's
   Morris. Anybody else on the third row?
10
11
            Fourth row? Anybody else on the right section?
12
   Okay. Very well.
13
            Okay. Now, is there anything either that we have
   talked about and now you have had an opportunity to think
14
15
   about it a little bit more or anything that we have not
   talked about that in some way could affect your ability to
16
17
   sit as a juror in this case and be fair and impartial both
18
   to the Government and to the defendant? Anybody thought
   any more about anything else we've talked about or is there
19
20
   anything out there that we have not talked about that would
2.1
   create a problem for you being fair and impartial to both
22
   sides in this case? All right. I take it by your silence
23
   there is not.
24
            I want to say just a couple of other things before
25
   I turn the questioning over to the lawyers. The jurors who
```

are going to serve in this case will be judges of the facts 1 2 and they will make the sole determination about what the facts are. My job as the judge is to rule on questions of 3 law and evidence and procedure and to control the courtroom and the flow of the trial. So the jurors are the judges of 5 the facts, I control the courtroom and the flow of the 6 7 trial, and the lawyers play a role as well, a very 8 important role, and it takes those three participants to make this process work. And I want to tell you a thing or two about the 10 lawyers and their role. Hopefully will put some of this in 11 12 proper perspective. 13 Our judicial system is what we call an adversary system and that simply means that during a trial, the 14 15 parties, the lawyers on both sides, they seek to present their case to the jury in the best possible light. Lawyers 16 17 are frequently criticized by the public and media and 18 sometimes I think this results from a basic misunderstanding of -- it's an adversary system in which 19 20 lawyers act as advocates for the competing parties. 21 As an advocate, a lawyer is ethically, legally 22 obligated to zealously assert his or her client's position 23 in the best light possible, and under the rules of our 24 adversary system. And by doing that, what the lawyer does

is hopefully better enable the jurors to weigh the relevant

25

evidence to determine the truth and to arrive at a just verdict, a fair verdict based on that evidence. This is a system that's worked well for more than 200 years and America's lawyers have been a part of that and will continue to be a part of that.

So as we go forward for the rest of this afternoon and during the course of the trial, I may frown at these lawyers from time to time, I might growl at them from time to time, but I'm trying to make sure their advocacy doesn't get outside the bounds of the adversary system and the rules of procedure. These are good lawyers and they know what they're doing, so I'm confident they will do an excellent job during this voir dire. It's important for you to bear in mind that they are just doing their jobs.

Now, again, reminder, there are no wrong answers to any answer that you have as long as it's complete and truthful and full. The parties are entitled to the information to be gained from these questions. As I said earlier, nobody is try it pry into your private affairs unduly. If you have any serious hesitancy -- we're going to talk to the folks who raised their hand in response to my earlier question at the conclusion of this, but if you have any hesitancy at all about giving a full and complete answer to one of the questions the lawyer asks, just raise your hand and say, I would like to visit outside

```
everybody's presence and we can do that the. So thank you
1
2
   for your attention and your patience.
            Mr. Kummerfeld.
3
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it
4
   please the Court, Mr. Mims, Ms. Boren.
5
            Ladies and gentlemen of the panel, nice to see you
6
7
   this afternoon. Thank you for your patience with all this
8
   and thank you for being a part of this process. My name is
   Nathaniel Kummerfeld and I'm a prosecutor here in Tyler
   with the U.S. Attorney's Office. Marisa Miller is with me
10
11
   from the Plano office. And as I mentioned earlier, with me
12
   at counsel table is Jamie McCullars, our litigation support
13
   specialist, and our agent, Special Agent Elmer Armstrong in
14
   Homeland Security.
15
            I'll tell you a little bit about myself. I'm from
   Tyler, I grew up here, went to school here, and had the
16
17
   opportunity to live here, work here, to raise my family
18
          I'm married, I have a daughter. She's three. Best
   thing in the world to spend time with my daughter and my
19
20
   wife. I also like basketball. Watching it, playing it.
21
            Who else here is from East Texas originally like
22
        I know a lot of folks are. The rest of you got here
23
   as quick as you could, right? Does everybody enjoy living
24
   here? Does anybody wish they lived somewhere else? I
25
   don't mean, like, old and retired. I mean people that are
```

```
pretty much glad to live here. It's a good place, right?
1
2
   What is it that -- that you like about East Texas?
   Somebody tell me their favorite thing about East Texas.
3
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: Warmer than Wyoming.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Warmer than Wyoming. I've only
5
   been to Wyoming once and it was hot but I believe it gets
6
7
   really cold the rest of the year. Anybody else -- besides
   warmer than Wyoming, anybody else have anything they like
8
   about East Texas?
10
            Mr. --
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Mouch.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: How do you pronounce your name?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Mouch.
14
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I have a hard name, too. Nobody
15
   can spell it.
16
            PANEL MEMBER: People are laid back and easygoing.
17
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Laid back, easygoing.
18
   Okay. I have heard that. That's true. What about the
   people? What do y'all think the people are like here?
19
20
   What is everybody's impression of the people in East Texas?
2.1
   Did anybody live somewhere else and then move here later in
22
   life? Mr. Neuman.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: I grew up in West Texas.
24
            MR. KUMMERFELD: That's not that far. It is
25
   pretty far. How is it different out here than --
```

That wasn't her experience in Houston, the big city. She

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
said you always had to check yourself and see if this
person is trying to pull one over on me or play me. She
thought, wow, the people in East Texas are so nice and
honest and what they say, you can take that to the bank.
That's the word.
        So that's one thing that I have heard and that I
have gathered from people is that people are friendly,
people are honest.
        I'm glad that you're here today and I'm glad to be
here, too, for jury selection. As the judge mentioned to
you, this is the party's opportunity to talk to y'all. If
we pass by you in the hallway and we don't talk to you,
we're not being rude but we're not supposed to talk to you.
We're the parties to the case. This is the chance that we
have to talk with one another and have a dialogue.
        Like the judge said, there are no wrong answers,
they're just your answers, your opinions. We're all
different. We all come from different places and have
different perspectives, so we ask you to be honest and
participate and we'll have a conversation trying to get the
very best jurors for this particular case. You may be a
great juror but you may not be the best juror for this
case, so we're trying to make those determinations right
now.
        By a show of hands, who thinks that telling the
```

```
truth is important? Everybody? Anybody in this room that
1
2
   doesn't think that telling the truth is important? I think
   that's right, too. I think telling the truth is important.
3
   I know we have some business owners and some managers and
4
   folks with that kind of background in this room. Who here
5
   owns a small business or runs a small business? Anybody?
6
7
            Yes, sir, Mr. Larsen. Can you stand up? What
8
   kind of business do you run?
9
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a cattle business and my
   wife has a travel business.
10
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Getting started on it?
11
12
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
13
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Oh, okay. Because -- the travel
   business, right. Okay. How is the cattle business going?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Fine.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Good. I'm glad to hear
16
17
   that. I'll come back and ask you a question because you're
18
   on my list here. You had a previous life in the business
   of construction?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You did that for many years.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Lot of management and leadership
24
   roles in your businesses?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
```

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: Let me ask you a question about
1
2
   that. As a manager and the person responsible for running
   a successful business, do you prefer to hire honest or
3
4
   dishonest employees?
            PANEL MEMBER: Honest.
5
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Why is that?
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Because you didn't want to do it
8
   twice.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You don't want to have to go back
   and check their work and fix the problems?
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Thank you, Mr. Larson.
13
            Mr. Neuman, you're a manager of a business in an
14
   executive role. Tell me, in your experience, do you prefer
15
   honest or dishonest?
16
           PANEL MEMBER: Honest.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Why is that?
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: You've got to trust the people that
19
   you work with and dishonest people, you probably can't
20
   trust them and it can affect your business.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: They kind of -- it rolls back
22
   onto you as the boss, right?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
24
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Neuman.
25
            Who here has been lied to? That's the human
```

```
experience, right? Most of us have been lied to. And if
1
2
   you haven't been, you will be, I promise you. That's life.
   But it doesn't make you feel good, does it? How does it
3
   makes you feel being lied to? Make you feel good? It
   makes you feel bad.
5
            Ms. Kirkpatrick, let me ask you -- nope, Ms.
6
7
   Tokoph, I'll come back to you.
            You're retired from teaching, right? Would you
8
9
   stand.
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
11
            MR. KUMMERFELD: How many years did you teach?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: About 25.
13
            MR. KUMMERFELD: About 25. What grade did you
   teach?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: I taught high school and sixth
16
   grade.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. In 25 years of teaching
17
18
   high schoolers and sixth graders, did you ever have
   students lie to you?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, but I could always tell.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You could always tell. Once
22
   those students told you a story that wasn't true, was it
23
   kind of hard to regain -- was it hard for them to regain
24
   your trust? Hard to take what they said at their word?
25
           PANEL MEMBER: (Inaudible.)
```

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: Colors the way that you perceive
1
2
   them going forward? Okay.
3
            Now, Mr. Wierzbicki, you been coaching a while
4
   now. How many years?
            PANEL MEMBER: Seven.
5
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Seven years as a coach.
6
7
   You have had athletes lie to you?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
8
9
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Tell you a story? I have -- you
10
   know, like you told me about the weight room. How does
11
   that make you feel when they do that?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Makes me feel upset.
13
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Also makes -- makes it hard for
14
   them to continue to be successful athletes in the program,
15
   right?
            PANEL MEMBER: Worse for them.
16
17
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Yeah, worse for them. Okay. But
18
   it's hard to regain trust with you once that's broken,
19
   right, if it's even possible as athletes, right?
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Thank you. Let me tell you
22
   something else about myself. When I go home every day, I
23
   put my wallet and my keys in the exact same place every
24
   time. Does anybody else do that? A lot of us do that
25
   because we want to know where it is the next day when we
```

```
rush out the door. Does anybody not do that? Does anybody
1
2
   put them in a different place every day? Okay. You're my
   creative types over here, right?
3
4
            Ms. Lesniewski, tell me about that. Why do you
   put it in a different place every day?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, my keys are -- (inaudible.)
6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: That's different. Got you. Got
   you.
8
        Okay.
9
            What about you, Ms. Urieta?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: I try to put it in the same place
11
   but don't always.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You give it your best effort but
13
   sometimes it doesn't work out that way. Right. Okay.
   That makes sense. I think we all probably do that
14
15
   generally the same way. We're all talking about keys. I
   didn't bring them up here because I didn't want them to
16
17
   make noise in the microphone, but I've got keys to my car,
18
   the house, my office, and that's probably similar for
   everybody else, right, if you carry around the keys that
19
20
   you use to go to your house, your vehicle, etc.
21
            Some people have Post Office boxes or maybe take
   care of an elderly relative, maybe you have a grown child
22
23
   who has their own place and you check in sometimes. Maybe
24
   you have keys like that. Does anybody have keys to a house
25
   or vehicle that they don't own or lease or use? Does
```

```
1032
   anybody carry around keys to something they had no access
1
   to or never had access to?
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Keys to my parent's house.
3
4
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Right. If your parents need
   something you can go check on them?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: But to a house that you don't go
   to or a car that is not yours, would it make any sense to
8
   have their keys? No. You can all imagine a house on your
   daily routine, maybe it's your commute to work or maybe
10
11
   it's just going to a store. You can probably think of
12
   those in your imagination. I can probably think of a
13
   half-dozen houses I pass every day. I don't know the
   people who live there, I've never been there, and I don't
14
15
   have keys to their house, right? That's reasonable, isn't
16
   it? Okay.
17
            Who here is a world traveller or former military
18
   person that's been all over the world? In every group
19
   there's a group of people that travel a lot and a group
20
   that don't like to travel and stay home. I haven't heard
21
   this one in a while, but my grandfather, he traveled but he
22
   knew people that never left the county, you know, because
23
   they never left the county, people on both ends of that
```

So I saw a couple of hands go up. Let me get a

24

spectrum.

```
show of hands. Has everybody left the state of Texas?
1
2
   Anybody never travelled outside the state of Texas? It's a
   big state. There's a lot to see. So you could see a lot
3
   without traveling outside the state of Texas.
            How about international travel folks?
5
   Mr. Walding, I saw your hand go up pretty quick. Have you
6
7
   been to interesting places?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: Europe, Tel Aviv, Israel,
   Australia, Japan.
9
10
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I'm going to repeat that to make
   sure the court reporter got it. You said Europe, Tel Aviv,
11
12
   Israel, right? Australia, New Zealand, Japan, and all over
13
   Europe. That's pretty extensive. That's a long way. Has
   anybody traveled you think further away than Mr. Walding
14
15
   has travelled? Mr. Larson.
16
            PANEL MEMBER: I traveled to Antarctica.
17
            MR. KUMMERFELD: That's pretty neat. How was
18
   that?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: Cold.
20
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I'll keep that in mind.
21
            Mr. Wierzbicki.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Morocco, Japan, Europe.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: We got some world travelers in
24
   here. Let me ask you, how did you travel when you went to
25
   Morocco?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: How did I travel?
1
2
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Did you fly?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Oh, yeah.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Did you have to fly across an
 4
5
   ocean?
6
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. I'm actually interested in
8
   Antarctica. Did you fly there or take a boat or how did
   you get to Antarctica?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Cruise.
11
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Cruise to Antarctica. Wow.
12
   Okay, that's interesting.
13
            Let me turn to questions about familiarity with
   the internet and computers. Does anybody here consider
14
15
   yourself to be relatively unfamiliar with computers or
   having very little computer experience? On the spectrum of
16
17
   computer experience you say, I don't have much? Okay. A
18
   couple hands over here, a couple hands over here.
19
            Miss Matlack, I'll ask you why do you characterize
20
   yourself that way?
2.1
            PANEL MEMBERS: I just don't know about computers.
22
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. You just don't --
23
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't have a familiarity with
24
   them.
25
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Who else had their hand
```

```
1
   up? Mr. Thompson.
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
3
            THE COURT: Stand up.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Tell me about that.
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm retired from the phone company
5
   because I had done 30 years and they told me I was going to
6
7
   have to carry a computer and I told them no, I wasn't. I
   had my years in. And I still don't know Facebook. My
8
   grandkids said, do you want to FaceTime and I said, what's
   that, if you want to talk to me, you call me.
10
           MR. KUMMERFELD: Or come over and see me. Okay.
11
12
   Got it.
13
            Who else would say they don't have much
14
   experience? Ms. Watson.
15
            PANEL MEMBER: I just can't get the hang of it and
16
   I just don't care for it.
17
           MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Ms. Smart, I saw your hand
18
   go up.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: I just have a problem with the
20
   operating for different computers. I can FaceTime. I
2.1
   don't have Facebook. And I have a hard time -- there's a
22
   lot of stuff, different operating systems.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Got you. Because every one works
24
   a little differently.
25
           PANEL MEMBER: Right.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: On the other end of the spectrum,
who considers yourself to be pretty sufficient, IT work or
work with computers in your professional life or personal
life? Anybody? Okay. I don't see any hands there.
        Let me ask you this. Nowadays a lot of folks are
online messaging or using Facebook, like you mentioned, or
other applications, and maybe you heard about this
happening to a friend that their child is contacted by a
stranger on the internet. Has anybody heard about that?
Ms. La Vance.
        PANEL MEMBER: YouTube.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: Just things you have heard about
in conversation or reading about it on the internet. Okay.
Has anybody had that happen to them personally, where
someone, a close friend said, hey, this is what happened to
my daughter or my son?
        Ms. Pomeroy.
                      My coworker was interviewed for an
        PANEL MEMBER:
investigation involving a child.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: Let me ask you a couple more
questions about that. What did they find out in the course
of that investigation?
        PANEL MEMBER: I don't know that it was ever
resolved. It was probably about a year that we worked
together and then -- (inaudible).
```

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. But it was believed it was
1
2
   an adult talking to their minor child?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Correct.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. I know we have a few
4
   retired teachers. Do we have any current teachers in here?
5
6
            Other than the teachers, do any of you have any
7
   special education with children, whether it's in counseling
8
   or child development or psychology or education curriculum
   development? Anybody like that?
10
            Yes, ma'am.
            PANEL MEMBER: I have been an -- (inaudible) -- so
11
12
   I work with children in -- (inaudible.)
13
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. But you've been trained in
   that before?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Correct.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: We even times have folks involved
16
17
   in Scouting. I know we've got a coach here, thinking about
18
   coaching the younger kids. I believe, Mr. Tatum, you're a
   Little League coach? So people involved in coaching or
19
20
   Scouting or church groups or other groups like that dealing
21
   with young kids? Who -- by a show of hands who has done
22
   that? Okay. All right. Let me go around.
23
            Ms. Pomeroy, what kind of groups are you involved
24
   in?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: (Inaudible.)
```

```
1
            THE COURT: Ms. Pomeroy, could you speak up or
 2
   come to the mic.
 3
            PANEL MEMBER: I coached youth cheer.
 4
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Mr. Larson.
            PANEL MEMBER: I coached peewee hockey, which is
 5
 6
   11- and 12-year-olds.
 7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Mr. Tatum.
            PANEL MEMBER: Basketball.
 8
 9
            MR. KUMMERFELD: What ages?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: All ages.
11
            MR. KUMMERFELD: All ages? Got you. Okay.
12
            Who else?
13
            Yes, sir, Mr. Thompson -- I'm sorry.
            Mr. Mouch.
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: When I taught junior high and high
   school and Sunday school class and also high school fishing
16
17
   trips.
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Mr. Thompson.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: I coached my kids in --
20
    (inaudible.)
2.1
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Got you. Okay. Great.
22
            Mr. Pinkerton.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: -- cross country parents and taught
24
   Sunday school and youth group and mentoring a lot.
25
            MR. KUMMERFELD: While I've got you, I'll ask you
```

```
another question. Pinkerton and Mims. That's not Bobby
1
2
   Mims, is it?
            PANEL MEMBER: No, but my wife's name is Mims.
3
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Is your wife related to Mr. Mims?
 4
5
            PANEL MEMBER: No. We used to have a company
   called that.
6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I just needed to make sure it was
8
   a different Mims. Got you.
9
            This is a case that has some sensitive issues, and
10
   the questions I have to ask are a little more sensitive and
11
   I appreciate your participation in this.
12
            Does anybody here believe that a minor -- and when
13
   I talk about a minor, I'm talking about a child that's
   under the age of 18, 18 and under, okay? Does anybody
14
15
   believe a minor is capable of consenting to sexual activity
   with an adult? Anybody? Okay. We all generally agree
16
   that minors should not be engaging in sexual activity with
17
   adults? Okay.
18
            There are -- and you may know this. I think I
19
20
   first heard of this in media reporting a few years ago and
21
   become aware of it obviously through work, but you may have
22
   heard this, if you haven't heard this, be aware, that there
23
   are organizations that disagree with what we just talked
24
   about, that disagree that minors should -- should actually
25
   be encouraged to engage in sexual activity with adults, you
```

```
exploitation, what does that bring to mind? When I say the
1
2
   phrase "child exploitation," what does that mean to you?
            Ms. Monaghan, what do you think of when I say
3
   that?
4
            PANEL MEMBER: Someone under 18 --
5
            THE COURT: Could you stand up, please, Ms.
6
7
   Monaghan? I couldn't hear you.
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm sorry. Okay. Taking advantage
8
   of a child, an older person taking advantage of a child.
9
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. And I'm just asking --
10
11
            Mr. Krumm, what about you?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: I agree.
13
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You agree, too? Okay.
14
            Mr. Morgan, how about you?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah, I mean, I agree as well.
16
   Just exploiting children.
17
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So sex trafficking, child
18
   prostitution, child pornography, things like this, does
   that all -- is that kind of what comes to mind when you
19
20
   hear child sexual exploitation? Okay.
21
            Most of you have children and some of you also
22
   have grandchildren and I think, Ms. Morris, I think you
23
   have the most grandchildren.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: 16 all together.
25
            MR. KUMMERFELD: That's amazing. For those of you
```

```
who have children and grandchildren, are -- do all of you
1
2
   think that you can tell the difference between a child that
   is not out of puberty yet and one that has gone through
3
   puberty if you saw a photo of them? Do you think you could
   do that based on your experience being parents and being
   grandparents?
                 Is there anybody that feels like they
6
7
   struggle to make that determination, the difference between
   the two? Anybody that feels they wouldn't be able to make
8
   that call? Okay.
            Some of you don't have children so it's the same
10
11
   question to you. I'll look for volunteers and then I'll
12
   find somebody. Anybody that doesn't have children here
13
   think they would have difficulty making that determination
   about a child that's not gone through puberty yet versus
14
15
   one that has? Anybody without children?
16
            Mr. Larsen.
17
            PANEL MEMBER: I wouldn't have any problem.
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. And you could make that
   determination?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Mr. Sewell, you raised your hand?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: I agree.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You agree? Sounds to me like
24
   y'all have heard some stories about these issues about
25
   child exploitation on television or in the news, probably
```

```
on the internet.
1
2
            Mr. Walding, I'm going to ask you some questions.
   I'm going to actually have you come to the microphone if
3
   you don't mind because I was having trouble hearing you.
4
   You mentioned a friend that you knew had some experience
   with this, so I wanted to ask you a little bit more about
6
7
   that.
            So this is a friend of yours was actually accused
8
   of being in possession of child pornography?
9
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
11
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So is -- tell me about the
   basis of the friendship. Y'all were teammates together?
12
13
            PANEL MEMBER: We cheered in college for three
   years together. We lived across the hall in dorms.
14
15
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Where did you go to college, if
   you don't mind me asking?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Trinity Valley and Oklahoma State.
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So y'all were teammates at
19
   those schools? Okay.
20
            So you lived across the hall as well. Is this
21
   somebody that you spent time with when y'all were away from
22
   cheerleading?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: We were never really away from it.
24
   Four hours a day, every day, game day on Saturday, extra
25
   practice on Sunday.
```

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: Got you. Very time consuming.
1
2
   Okay. So did you -- in the course of your friendship and
   relationship with this teammate, did you learn about what
3
   happened in this case or did you did he talk to you about
   it or did you hear about it?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: I only heard about it because I was
6
7
   in London working on a job and it was on the British news.
8
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Got you.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: That's the only reason why I knew
10
   about it. And then when I came back to the States, friends
11
   that were from the same area as him that we had mutually
12
   told me what happened.
13
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So he didn't tell you; you
   saw it on the news?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: How did it make you feel when you
16
   heard about it?
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: A little shocked but not shocked
   but a little shocked if that makes sense. It was shocking
19
20
   that I knew that person, that I thought that I knew more
21
   than just a surface level relationship, friendship. But
22
   then little things about him I was just like, I guess I
23
   could see it. It makes sense, but I'm still shocked. I'm
24
   out there in the world and this is what I'm seeing. So it
25
   was --
```

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: Yeah, it's an unpredictable
1
2
   experience to go through.
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Do you think it's difficult to
4
   sort of set that aside as you think about these things,
5
   that stuff comes to mind when you hear about these issues
6
7
   that's something that just comes to your mind?
            PANEL MEMBER: It still whenever the judge read
8
   the charges. It just popped in my head.
9
10
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Got you. Okay. Would your
11
   experience with your friend and your feelings about that
12
   situation, do you feel like that would affect the way that
13
   you do a case that involves possession of child
14
   pornography?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
16
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You don't think so?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: The facts is the facts. Every
18
   person is different, every case is different. The
   circumstances would be different.
19
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So you think you could set
20
21
   that aside and not consider what happened in that case what
22
   happened with your friend and evaluate this case?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
24
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Walding.
            How about the rest of you? Have the rest of you
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
heard anything about investigations into child pornography
in other child sexual exploitation matters? Anybody else?
        PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: Mr. Rice.
        PANEL MEMBER: On the news, I think I saw
something on Facebook or something like that.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Let me ask you if you
don't mind me asking you some questions about that. Do you
have -- obviously law enforcement had to work to identify
where the children were and rescue them, right? So do you
feel like your opinions about whether law enforcement
should be involved monitoring the internet and online
services in order to root that out, to identify children
who are vulnerable?
        PANEL MEMBER: Yes, I do.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. And what are your opinions
or feelings about that?
        PANEL MEMBER: Well, it's kind of a gray area.
understand that there are some privacy issues, you know.
But when it comes to that, all jokes aside, I think
obviously there's people that have to be protected.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: Yeah. How many other people
agree with Mr. Rice and say hey, you know, I don't really
want the government looking at everything I do, right?
Because I get, you know, interests, I got privacy
```

interests. I don't want them knowing everything about me. 1 2 I think that's reasonable. And as you said, it's different in the context of children being exploited. That is 3 4 something the government should be looking at. So do you all kind of share the same opinion about 5 wanting their privacy protected you feel like that changes 6 7 in the context of child pornography and child sexual 8 exploitation? Okay. All right. 9 Some people actually have a belief, a mistaken belief that possessing child pornography as long as it's 10 11 within the confines or protection of their homes that it's 12 a protected activity under the First Amendment. A freedom 13 of expression that allows them to do that. I'll tell you that's not correct and the Court will instruct you as a 14 15 matter of law that that's not the case, that Congress has passed laws that make it a criminal offense to possess 16 17 child pornography and that kind of activity is not 18 protected by the Constitution. Okay. Does everybody here feel like the 19 20 government should use its resources to protect children? 21 Yeah? Good. 22 Do some people believe that the government wastes 23 a lot of money on a lot of different things it shouldn't 24 waste money on? Okay. But does everybody agree with me 25 that protecting children is a valuable use of resources of

1 the government? Okay. 2 Let me just says a thing or two about that possession. This is a possession of child pornography 3 case. When we talk about possession, there's two to 4 principal ways somebody possesses something so these are things that we all know intuitively. Probably don't think 6 7 about them in these terms. Possession can be actual. A person actually 8 9 possesses an item in their hand. So I'm possessing this It's in my actual physical possession. 10 11 But possession can also be constructive, and that 12 means it belongs to you but it's not with you right now but 13 it's yours, okay? It belongs to you and you to have control over it even though it's not actually in your 14 15 possession. So I'll give you an example. Did anybody leave 16 17 their phone or a bag or a bookbag or a purse or their 18 sunglasses in their car when they came in the courthouse today? Okay. I see a lot of hands. I'm not going to ask 19 20 you what you left or where you left it, but that stuff 21 still belongs to you, right? 22 Ms. Monaghan, can I ask you again, I'm not going 23 to ask you what you left, but whatever you left in your car 24 belongs to you, doesn't it? 25 PANEL MEMBER: Absolutely.

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. It's still yours?
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: That doesn't change because you
3
   walked in the courthouse?
4
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So you're in constructive
6
7
   possession of whatever those items are and they still
8
   belong to you?
            PANEL MEMBER: Of course.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. Same example I often use
10
11
   is I have a lot of books over here. I have a blue book
12
   that I was looking at a moment ago before we all came into
13
   the courtroom. It's on the table right now. It's still
   mine. I'm not actually holding it but I possess it.
14
15
   Earlier it was in my car. And if I left at in my car, it
   belongs to me. If I had actually left at my home this
16
17
   morning and forgot to bring it to work, it still belongs to
18
        It's at my house.
19
            The same is true if we think about things, we have
20
   things like this in our lives. Some -- some people have
2.1
   things in a storage facility. I'm paying a monthly lease
22
   on it, all those items in the storage facility that I
23
   possess, those are my items, too, and I have constructive
24
   possession of all those items.
25
           You've seen words about the rights of the
```

There's a presumption of innocence. We talked 1 defendant. about it and we'll talk about it more. And the defendant 2 in every case is innocent until proven guilty. It's called 3 rebuttal presumption because once the Government begins presenting evidence, the question for the jury becomes one 5 of whether the evidence that the Government presents is 6 7 sufficient to meet the burden of proof, whether it's 8 sufficient to overcome that presumption. So as you sit and evaluate the evidence, you get 9 10 to form opinions about what is going on with the case and 11 later deliberate with your fellow jurors and make a determination. The burden of proof in every criminal case 12 13 is proof beyond a reasonable doubt and we'll cover in a moment. Does everybody here agree to afford the defendant 14 15 his presumption of innocence, which is his right in the Constitution to hold the Government to their burden? Does 16 17 everybody agree to do that? Okay. 18 Your job as jurors is to listen to the testimony 19 of the witnesses and decide what you will believe. 20 the only ones that get to make credibility determinations 21

of the witnesses and decide what you will believe. You're the only ones that get to make credibility determinations in this case. You're the judges of the facts. So you can believe all of what you hear from the witness stand, some of what you hear or none of what you hear, and that's your prerogative as a juror.

22

23

24

25

All the witnesses testify -- start off by swearing

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an oath, solemnly swear to tell the truth today, but once they start testifying, after they swear on that oath and make that affirmation to tell the truth, then you get to use your reason and your common sense to decide if they're credible or if they're not credible, if what they're saying makes sense or doesn't make sense. No one should have an advantage at the outcome, no matter who the witness is or where they come from. When they swear the oath, they start on equal footing, but after they start testifying you're certainly permitted to use your judgment and your reasoning to determine whether or not that witness is credible.

There are a lot of sharp feelings these days looking in the news for five minutes and you can see there's a lot of opinions. A lot of the opinions right now surround law enforcement and how people feel about law enforcement and whether it's doing its job right and protecting people or harassing people.

So let me ask y'all -- it's kind of a sensitive question but I think it's an important one. Does anyone feel like -- because of their experience they feel like they couldn't believe anything law enforcement said because of bad experience or bad feelings about what they seen or heard about law enforcement? Does anybody have that feeling? Okay.

What about on the opposite side of the spectrum?

can you know something with 100-percent certainty?

```
Ms. Matlack.
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: You witness it yourself.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: See it with your own eyes?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes. That would be 100 percent.
 4
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. So see it to believe it
5
   kind of idea so if you saw something -- if you saw
6
7
   something with your own eyes what would that make you.
            PANEL MEMBER: A witness.
8
9
            MR. KUMMERFELD: If you were a witness, could you
10
   be a juror in this case.
11
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, you may be called as a
13
   witness by one of the parties, right? Is there anybody
   here that feels like they're just the kind of person that
14
15
   really has to see it to believe it? It's hard for them to
16
   accept the word of somebody else? Is there anybody that
17
   feels like they have to see it with their own eyes to
18
   believe it? I don't see any hands up.
19
            The Government's burden is proof beyond a
20
   reasonable doubt, and in making that determination, I would
21
   ask you to use your common sense, okay? So Ms. -- who
22
   haven't I talked to -- Ms. Lawson, let me ask you to
23
   participate.
24
            Okay. So let's just imagine that y'all were
25
   qualified as a juror and you saw the video, okay, and then
```

```
the parties walked in after that, so you hadn't seen us
1
2
   until we walked in the courtroom here this afternoon. And
   imagine that I had walked in the courtroom, I was dripping
3
4
   wet, I have an umbrella that I've shaken out, and also
   imagine this morning when you checked the weather before
5
   you came to court and you saw rain was in the forecast.
6
7
   What would you think was going on outside when I walked in?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: It was raining.
9
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. That's reasonable, right?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Forgot your umbrella maybe.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Maybe so. Well, could it be the
11
12
   possible the fire department was playing a prank on me and
   sprayed me down as I walked down the street?
13
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Absolutely.
15
            MR. KUMMERFELD: That's not reasonable, though, is
16
   it?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Is it possible that I walked in
   the sprinklers on the lawn on the way to the courthouse?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
21
            MR. KUMMERFELD: But that's not reasonable, is it?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Okay. All right. Thanks.
                                                         So
24
   there are things that are possible but they're not
25
   reasonable, right? Okay. So we ask you in all these
```

things, in determining credibility, in reviewing evidence 1 2 just use your best common sense, okay? I think I'm going to wrap it up here. Tell me if 3 4 there's something I missed. Is there something I should have asked you that you wanted to tell us as the parties 5 about why you may or may not be a good juror for this case? 6 7 Are there any questions about that on the table that I 8 should ask? I don't see any hands. My last question is this: If you're selected as a juror, you're going to be required to follow the Court's 10 11 instructions he is going to give to you. Can I get a 12 commitment from everyone here that if you're selected at a 13 juror in this case, that you will agree to follow all the Court's instructions? All hands? Any problems with that? 14 15 Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kummerfeld. 16 17 Mr. Mims, before we start I think we should 18 probably break. We been going to about two hours so we're 19 going to take a short recess for anyone who needs to use 20 the restroom toe do so. We've got water and snacks, I know 21 it's been a long day for you already but we're nearing the 22 end of this process but I do think we should take a short 23 break. 24 Our CSOs will facilitate getting you all to the

restroom. Please be mindful of our social distancing needs

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
and be respectful of others' spaces. So we'll distribute
snacks and water, whoever needs to use the facilities,
please do so, feel free to stand up and stretch, whatever,
we'll take a short recess and we'll be back to conclude
jury selection.
        (Recess taken.)
        THE COURT: Mr. Mims.
        MR. MIMS: Thank you, Your Honor.
        Ladies and gentlemen, I'm not going to be very
long but it's very important about the goal here. And what
we're really doing is eliminating people that might not
make this jury and we get to strike people off that may not
be what we think would be favorable jurors for us.
might have held something against us. We have 11 of those.
        What happens is the purpose of this is so we can
intelligently make those decision for our side.
defense gets more strikes, peremptory strikes, than the
Government and they have a lot of power and we have a lot
of rights.
        Some of those rights are very important and
they're here in front of you to make sure and I'm going to
try to make sure to the best of my professional ability
that Mr. Orange gets all those rights redeemed, okay?
That's what this is about.
        Now, the way that the jury is selected, it's not
```

```
-- you can -- no leftovers. In other words, if we strike
1
2
   and you don't get stricken, you may get on that jury, you
   know, depending on where you sit on the -- in this jury.
3
4
   We had a panel getting qualified this morning. Those
   strikes have been made. That all happens after we get
5
   through with our portion of voir dire, okay?
6
7
            Now, it's really important for me, for you to
8
   understand that when I ask you a question, you don't have
9
   to answer it but if you answer it please be truthful.
   ask you something you don't understand let me know. What
10
11
   happens is a lot of -- I look at these rights that
12
   everybody has and we -- I know that you will honor those
13
   and I know the judge will instruct you to do it.
            The first I want to talk to you about is no
14
15
   defendant ever has to make a statement, either when he is
   under investigation when a police officer starts talking to
16
17
   you or when you come to court. That's called your Fifth
18
   Amendment rights. Now, if you get stopped by a police
19
   officer, you got to show them your driver's license, proof
20
   of insurance, and that's about it. Because we're Americans
21
   and the Constitutional law protects us from the government,
22
   okay?
23
            Now, we all support the government.
                                                  These are my
24
   good friends over here, colleagues, I know them. And
25
   inside the court we're adversaries but outside the Court
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
we're friends. Of course, we're professionals, we exchange
information and we put this case together in an honorable
way and to have it presented to you as quickly and
efficiently as possible, okay? And we have done that.
        So whenever someone is under investigation,
remember this, they don't have to make a statement. That
includes what I am doing right now. At any court of law
we're cloaked with the Fifth Amendment privilege. There's
one woman here shaking your head and I know you have been a
law enforcement and probation officer in Wyoming and I
quess you're here in Gregg County somewhere or Smith County
now?
        PANEL MEMBER: Smith County.
        MR. MIMS: Well, I can tell you ten days ago when
I was in Jackson Hole, Wyoming on a vacation, it was
beautiful up there. It's beautiful here but it's beautiful
in Wyoming, beautiful place. In that regard, I know you
said you're a probation officer, but were you a licensed
peace officer also?
        PANEL MEMBER: No.
        MR. MIMS: But you know about peace officer stuff
like that?
        Okay. Do you know that when a law enforcement
officer or somebody is investigating a crime, someone is
suspected of a crime, did you know that it's okay for a
```

```
police officer to lie to that person, to trick them?
1
2
   them stuff that's not true?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
3
            MR. MIMS: That's legal, isn't it?
 4
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
            MR. MIMS: Okay. Yet that same police officer can
6
7
   come in, take an oath, get up on the witness stand, and
8
   testify.
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            MR. MIMS: Now, counsel a minute ago asked me if
10
11
   somebody lied to you, would you trust that person later on.
12
   Remember that?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            MR. MIMS: See the dilemma? Okay? You may have
14
15
   to resolve that. And there is a difference, honestly and
   truthfully, it's the law. The law says the way it works is
16
17
   that a police officer can do everything, trick, lie,
18
   whatever, everything but coerce, to get a confession, a
   statement, something like that, okay? What do you think
19
20
   about that?
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: I wish there was a better way.
22
            MR. MIMS: There may not be because that person is
23
   protected by the Fifth Amendment. All he has to do is not
24
   talk, right?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Correct.
```

```
MR. MIMS: When he starts talking though, what
1
2
   happens then?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: Then they confuse guilt or
4
   innocence or make it so they can be judged.
            MR. MIMS: And it can be used in court. One of
5
   the reasons that you hear about on television your Miranda
6
7
   rights, the right to remain silent, they don't say they can
8
   use for you, does it?
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          No, sir.
            MR. MIMS: In that regard, what I ask my clients
10
11
   is, is there ever a reason to talk to a police officer when
12
   they want to hurt you?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
            MR. MIMS: Okay. So someone remaining silent in
14
15
   court or talking to a police officer, would it be fair to
   say it's in their best legal interest to do so?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
18
            MR. MIMS: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
19
            Everybody understand? You hear what this trained
20
   law enforcement officer said? I had a police officer tell
21
   me they would never talk if they got arrested. That's the
22
   predicament and it's important.
23
            The other thing is because we have the burden of
24
   proof also on the Government down the street at the State
25
   of Texas, burden on the Government, they have to make this
```

```
case and they have to prove it beyond a reasonable doubt.
1
2
            The job of to defense lawyer is -- is not to bring
   the evidence, it's to attack their case. To try to poke
3
   holes in it to make it to where there's a doubt or there's
4
   not sufficient proof. That's our job. It's not our job to
5
   prove a thing. We cannot and no one can prove someone is
6
7
   innocent because you cannot prove negative.
8
   Philosophically it's possible to prove a negative.
   Fortunately, we don't have to prove innocence.
9
            And in fact, in the nearly 40 years I have been
10
11
   trying cases, I not that old but I'm getting up there, and
12
   in the 200-plus jury trials, I have yet to see a verdict
13
   form that says not guilty, guilty or innocent. We don't
   have those in Texas. Maybe somewhere else they may have
14
15
   them. Not proven is one of them.
            Which leads me to the other side of it. When you
16
17
   see that verdict form back there, quilty -- that's the
18
   first one, not quilty, they want you to look at that one
19
   first. Then the next one is guilty. But not guilty
20
   means,.
21
            Mr. Pinkerton, I'll bet you know. What does not
22
   quilty mean in a court of law.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: That you're free to go.
24
            MR. MIMS: I didn't hear you.
25
            PANEL MEMBER: That you're free to go, you didn't
```

```
commit the crime or the --
1
2
            MR. MIMS: Keep talking. What else does it mean?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: You're innocent.
4
            MR. MIMS: Well, think about that. Remember I
5
   told you there's no such thing as an innocent verdict?
   Somebody help us out there.
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, help us.
            MR. MIMS: What does not guilty mean?
8
9
            PANEL MEMBER: They didn't find him guilty.
            THE COURT: Stand up for me, please.
10
11
            MR. MIMS: Ma'am, what does not quilty mean?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Means they didn't find him guilty.
13
            MR. MIMS: Didn't find him guilty? Why?
            PANEL MEMBER: Proof.
14
15
            MR. MIMS: Not enough proof? What does that mean?
16
            (Simultaneous speakers.)
17
            MR. MIMS: No, not necessarily. He might be
18
   innocent, but he also might be quilty and they didn't do
19
   what?
20
           PANEL MEMBER: Didn't prove it beyond a reasonable
21
   doubt.
22
            MR. MIMS: That's what the trial is about.
23
   not here to find him innocent. It's if they can prove
24
   somebody is quilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Sounds like
   I got an easy job but it's not. It's not. We have had
25
```

tough cases -- we've defended lots of tough cases. 1 2 Child pornography, I want everybody in this room that thinks child pornography is a good thing to raise 3 4 their hand, get them up there. It's not. Nobody in this room, probably nobody that I know is going to say child 5 pornography is a good thing, because it's not. 6 7 So that makes it a tough case for defense lawyers 8 and a defendant in defending it because so many people and right fully so think it a horrible crime, one of the worst. 9 So you see the danger, Mr. Rice? Would you stand 10 11 up? How would you protect -- if you made this jury, how 12 would you protect my client, Mr. Orange, or any client from 13 that stigma, that inherent bias we all have against these type of crimes? How could you do that? 14 15 PANEL MEMBER: You just have to make sure that the evidence is sufficient for guilt. 16 17 Sure. Everybody hear what he said? MR. MIMS: 18 Everybody agree with that? Let me tell you how you do it. 19 We don't just throw it out there and say you guys decide 20 The Judge will do what's called a jury charge and it 21 sets out all these rights I have been talking to you about 22 and more, what the law is and what your duties are. 23 it'll tell you and define for you what you have to believe, 24 how much you have to believe in order to return a verdict 25 of quilt.

```
What is proof beyond a reasonable doubt?
1
 2
   read it to you because it's real important. In fact,
   probably beyond the presumption of innocence, the burden of
 3
   proof is the most important defendant's right and the
   Government's obligation at any trial, and I'm going to read
   it to you.
 6
 7
            Doubt based upon reason and common sense --
   reasonable doubt is this: It's doubt based upon reason and
 8
   common sense after careful and impartial consideration of
   all the evidence in a case. Proof beyond a reasonable
10
11
   doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character
12
   that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without
13
   hesitation in the most important of your own affairs.
            In other words, the jurors have to make that
14
15
   decision. What is important to me is subjective. To you,
   what is the most important -- one of my most important
16
17
   affairs I make decisions on that I don't have any
   reasonable doubt that I shouldn't make that decision.
18
19
            Mr. Larson, when you went off to Antarctica, did I
20
   pick the right name there?
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
22
            MR. MIMS: Is that a tough decision for you to
23
   make or are you ready to get on down there?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: I was ready.
25
            MR. MIMS: All right. What about these decisions
```

```
that we make that you would not hesitate, this important
1
2
   decision that you would not hesitate to make, what's one --
   say, what is an important decision you think might be
3
   something really important that you would move and you
   wouldn't hesitate to make it? Let me ask it this way.
   You're a married man, right?
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            MR. MIMS: When you married that woman, did you
8
   have any hesitation?
9
10
            PANEL MEMBER:
11
            MR. MIMS: There you go. Pretty important
12
   decision.
13
            What about -- anybody homeowners in here?
   Homeowners? Whose got a mortgage? I got one.
14
15
            Please stand up, Mr. Mouch. Pardon me. First of
   all, I can't hear well, I'm getting to the age. Listened
16
17
   to too much rock and roll music. When you decide what is
18
   important -- when you bought your house, did you get one of
   those 30-year mortgages when you bought into it?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
21
            MR. MIMS: What did you do, pay cash?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: I borrowed it.
23
            MR. MIMS: Okay. Well, my point is, that might be
24
   a decision that you would not hesitate to act upon because
25
   when you bought that house you signed that 30-year
```

```
mortgage. Those are the weighty life decisions that we
1
2
   make.
            And let me tell you something, you make this jury
3
   that we're on here right now, it's one of those type of
4
   decisions and they prove to you the individual juror beyond
5
   a reasonable doubt that -- and you return a verdict you
6
7
   would not hesitate on. That's all you got to do.
            The presumption of innocence means -- and
8
9
   dovetails in -- we all have in every case it means that
   kind of dovetails in with the burden of proof, they got
10
11
   prove it beyond a reasonable doubt. We all -- in other
   words, what counsel says, yes, it's a rebuttal presumption
12
13
   but that has to rise to the standard of proof beyond a
   reasonable doubt.
14
15
            Now, I usually sit down when I forget that I went
   over something. I think I have done pretty good on the
16
17
   procedures we have to go through. Okay.
18
            Now, Ms. Martin way back there in the back?
            Ms. Martin, you with me.
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
21
            MR. MIMS: Would you stand up? You don't have to
22
   come up here. You have a smartphone back there. Not back
23
   there, do you own a smartphone?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
25
            MR. MIMS: All right. What kind is it?
```

```
1
            PANEL MEMBER: iPhone.
2
            MR. MIMS: Who is Android? Raise your hands,
   Android. Raise your hands, iPhones. You know, the whole
3
   world is divided. Truly it is in America. We got right
4
   wing and left wing and we got iPhone and Android.
6
            The reason I ask you that you got a password on
7
   that iPhone?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
8
9
            MR. MIMS: Why.
            PANEL MEMBER: So somebody can't get into it.
10
11
            MR. MIMS: What are you worried about?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm worried about people getting
13
   into my phone.
            MR. MIMS: You worried about your husband getting
14
   in there?
15
16
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
17
            MR. MIMS: Worried about somebody getting on it
18
   and using it?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
20
            MR. MIMS: Why?
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Somebody downloading my phone.
22
            MR. MIMS: They wouldn't do that, would they?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, they could.
24
            MR. MIMS: They could?
25
            All right. You heard what Ms. Martin said? Whose
```

```
not got a password on their phone? Everybody.
1
2
   everybody worried about the same thing as Miss Martin is?
            Ms. Pomeroy, are you worried about somebody
3
4
   getting in your phone?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: I have to have a password on mine
   for work.
6
7
            MR. MIMS: Okay. And in other words your work is
8
   what?
9
            PANEL MEMBER: CPA, patient information.
            MR. MIMS: Right, tax records and all that.
10
11
   could be some identity theft and that sort of that. Does
12
   that happen, Ms. Pomeroy? Not to you but does it happen?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: It does.
            MR. MIMS: Does anybody have some of these calls
14
15
   up from IRS that says if you don't give us money you're
   going to get arrested? Y'all have any of those yet? What
16
17
   about there's a million dollars in the bank, they get your
18
   bank account information, you get that money, send us
19
   information. I got one of those about two or three and he
20
   prosecuted it. I forgot about that. Nathaniel prosecuted
21
   it and I defended it.
22
            It goes on. That kind of stuff goes on. And we
23
   have to, and I'll submit to you I know I do it, we have to
24
   protect our identity and personal privacy stuff and
25
   passwords are necessary, okay? Because like Ms. Martin
```

```
says, somebody might get ahold of your phone and do
1
2
   something with it and that can certainly happen.
            So anybody ever been hacked? You know what hacked
3
   is? What's been hacked? Stand up. Tell us what happened
4
   on your hack job.
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, it was actually my Facebook.
 6
7
            MR. MIMS: Got hearing aids in. Let me tell you
   what, you will lose them. I lost a $3,000 hearing aid
8
   about three weeks ago. I did.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: My Facebook got hacked. He was
10
11
   posting stuff online. Sending off out in my name. People
12
   contacting me saying you need to change your password.
13
            MR. MIMS: Okay. Anybody sending any pornography
   stuff like that unsolicited? Anybody get something that
14
15
   they don't want that's hit their Facebook account,
   smartphone, anything like that? I'm not going to ask you
16
17
   how it got on there but, I mean, it happens.
18
            Miss Watson? Have you ever had that experience
   where somebody hacked you or sent you something like that?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
21
            MR. MIMS: You think it happens?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, I do.
23
            MR. MIMS: You have a smartphone with a password?
24
            PANEL MEMBER: I have a smartphone, yes.
            MR. MIMS: Okay. All right. One thing that --
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
I'll tell you -- I don't have my mask on now but I been
wearing my mask since some time in February. Some people
don't take it seriously, think the pandemic is not that
serious, and other people like me think it's serious.
        Raise your hand if you think it's not that
         Okay. Yes, ma'am. Miss Martin, and others. I
mean, we all have to do it because that's our rules here.
        One of the things that -- in this regard that
we're talking about a moment ago about hacking and
pornography and people getting on your phones, stuff like
that, my daughter last night and co-counselor a minute ago
asked me if I heard something about this program called
Cuties. Has anybody heard about that yet?
        Would you stand up? What is all that about?
        PANEL MEMBER: It's a new show on Netflix and they
have 11-year-old girls in this group they call Cuties and
they do provocative dancing and wear skimpy clothes. And
it's really kind of disturbing what they're doing with
those girls and having them act like adults.
        MR. MIMS: You say it's kind of like that JonBenét
Ramsey several years ago? The little girls dressed up,
makeup?
        PANEL MEMBER: No, it's not a pageant. This is
like a show, they perform and stuff like that.
        MR. MIMS: Somebody told me that it's almost
```

```
pornographic, some of the stuff they're doing. Appreciate
1
2
   your input.
            Ms. Fuller, what do you think about that?
3
4
            PANEL MEMBER: I think that these girls are too
5
   young --
            THE COURT: Could I ask you to stand up, Ms.
6
7
   Fuller? I couldn't hear you.
8
            PANEL MEMBER: I said those girls are too young to
   do any of that. That's almost a striptease.
9
10
   disqusting.
11
            MR. MIMS: So we've got stuff like that coming in
12
   our televisions now and no telling what's going to show up
13
   on the internet. So anything is out there now apparently.
            Anyway, I'm through. I know that we'll end up
14
15
   with 12 votes and two alternates. You may not want to
   serve. The best way to get out of it is to say something
16
   that scares everybody. But I don't want you to try.
17
18
            If that's something, like the judge says, there
19
   are men and women right now overseas and elsewhere,
20
   domestically, serving our country in harm's way. And I say
21
   this to almost every jury panel I have ever been in front
22
   of, is that besides what you guys are doing here, I think
23
   military service, during a time kind of war, especially,
24
   it's the highest calling in your country because you stand
25
   for freedom, independence and the rule of law in coming in
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here and serving, and I appreciate you. Thank you. I hope to be working with some of you later on. Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Mims. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the panel, I've got one final question before we handle some logistical details. neglected to ask you before the attorneys did their voir dire. Obviously we're in a pandemic right now. And as Mr. Mims just indicated, some people have various views about it, but we obviously take it very seriously here in the Court. Requiring you all to be in a closed space for a lengthy period of time necessitates that and there are a number of precautions that I went over at the very beginning of my discussion with you this afternoon that we will be taking not only today but throughout the course of the week. And I won't go over all of those again but suffice it to say we're doing everything that we can do reasonably to enhance your health and your safety. Having said that, is there anything about the COVID-19 pandemic or the current national health emergency that would prevent you, anyone, from sitting on this jury and rendering a fair and impartial verdict based on the evidence presented in court and in the context of the instructions that I give you on the law? Does anyone have

```
any serious concerns about doing that or who would be so
1
2
   distracted by these other issues that you would have
   difficulty being fair and impartial to both sides? Anyone
3
4
   have any concerns about that?
            Okay. Very well. All right. There were a number
5
   of people that I asked to stay behind and a number of
6
7
   people who asked to stay behind.
            Ms. Watson, I think you indicated you had a
8
9
   hardship. I'll ask you to stay. And then the others for
   various reasons that I would like to have remain in the
10
11
   courtroom are Mr. Morgan, Mr. Wierzbicki, Mr. Krumm,
12
   Mr. Rice, Ms. Urieta, and Mrs. Morris.
13
            Mr. Kummerfeld, is there anyone else you would
   like the ask to remain behind?
14
15
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Yes, I believe Ms. Fuller.
            THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mrs. Fuller. I neglected
16
   Mrs. Fuller.
17
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: And Mr. Sewell.
19
            THE COURT: Mr. Sewell, okay.
20
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I think that's all, Your Honor.
2.1
            THE COURT: All right.
22
            Mr. Mims, anyone you want to ask to remain?
23
            MR. MIMS: Friend of Sewell. We need to talk to
24
   him.
25
            THE COURT: We do or do not?
```

1 MR. MIMS: We do. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Those people who I want to stay, please, Ms. Watson, Mr. Morgan, Mr. Wierzbicki, 3 Mr. Krumm, Mr. Rice, Ms. Urieta, Mrs. Morris, Mrs. Fuller 4 and Mr. Sewell. 5 Now, everybody else I'm going to have amend what I 6 7 told you earlier. I think it'll be a little after 6:00 when we get to jury selection, but this evening you will 8 get a call from one of us in the clerk's office and we'll let you know whether you have been selected to be on the 10 11 jury or not. 12 If you have been selected to be on the jury, we'll 13 give you some further instructions but basically it's to be back. Court will start at 9:00 in the morning. As I said, 14 15 I expect the case won't last longer than three days but I'll ask you to be here at 9:00 in the morning. 16 17 When you get to the courthouse, you will be 18 directed directly to the jury room down the hall in Judge Mitchell's courtroom and that's what we'll be doing for the 19 20 week. 21 I'll ask between now and the time that you receive 22 the call letting you know whether you're on the jury or not 23 to have any discussions with anybody about what's happened. 24 I don't want you to do any research, any independent 25 investigation, don't go looking into the case, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attorneys, the parties, anything of that nature. Don't look on Wikipedia or Google or as anywhere else on the internet. Likewise, don't post anything on any social media site, Instagram, Facebook, Twitter, anything like that, about any of the proceedings. When the jury retires and begins deliberations at the very end of this case, it'll base its decision on two things. One is the evidence that has been introduced during the course of the trial, which will come through the testimony of the witnesses from the witness stand as well as documents and other materials that have been introduced into evidence. And secondly, the law as I give it to you that the jury must follow in reaching its deliberation. So anything else that you have heard about the case or read about the case or seen about the case, were you to have some conversation like that with someone or were you to do some independent investigation potentially can result in a mistrial, so please honor that request between now and the time you know whether you have been selected as member of the jury or not and we'll look to do this as quickly as possible. So I'll ask the one s that I have indicated to

```
remain in the classroom, I'll release everybody else.
1
 2
   you're not selected to be on the jury, I do want to thank
   you very much on behalf of the Eastern District of Texas,
 3
 4
   on behalf of the parties involved in this case as well as
   the attorneys. Thank you for your patience with us, thank
 5
   you for your willingness to be here with us today. We
 6
7
   appreciate very much jury service. If you're not willing
8
   to be here and to be called and served as jurors in this
   case, the administration of justice would be severely
10
   limited.
11
            So for that I'm the grateful to you for being here
12
   and you're dismissed. Thank you.
13
            (Jury out.)
            THE COURT: Please be seated. Ms. Watson, I'll
14
15
   ask you to stay in the courtroom, and Mr. Morgan I'll ask
16
   you to stay in the courtroom.
17
            Everybody else I'm going to ask -- the CSOs will
18
   escort you to Judge Mitchell's courtroom and I'll have you
   brought back in one by one and we'll discuss the issues
19
20
   that led us to have you be here at the end of this day, and
21
   we'll be able to discuss the subject matter, which is
22
   sensitive with you at that time outside the hearing of the
23
   other panel members.
24
            So Ms. Watson, I'll ask you to stay. Mr. Morgan,
25
   I'll ask you to stay so we can deal with you right after.
```

```
Everybody else if you would go with the marshal.
1
2
            Okay. Ms. Watson, I appreciate your patience.
   you would go to the microphone for me. Because of how this
3
   works I couldn't release you earlier.
4
5
            PANEL MEMBER: That's okay.
            THE COURT: Can you tell me what you're --
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Before I come here this morning I
8
   got a call from my mother. My niece is real bad and they
   don't think she's going to make it and she is in intensive
   care and on a respirator and they're thinking about pulling
10
11
   the plug on her. She's living on that machine and I wanted
12
   to be there with them.
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
13
            Mr. Kummerfeld, Mr. Mims, we have no objection to
14
15
   Ms. Watson being released, do we?
16
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No objection.
17
            MR. MIMS: No objection.
18
            THE COURT: Ms. Watson, I appreciate --
19
            PANEL MEMBER: Thanks, y'all.
20
            THE COURT: Ma'am?
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: Thank you.
22
            THE COURT: Thank you for being here, ma'am.
23
            Mr. Morgan, if you would.
24
            Mr. Morgan, everybody who is in the courtroom now
25
   has to be in the courtroom. We recognize the confidential
```

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

12

14

15

19

20

2.1

```
sensitive nature of whatever it is you're going to tell us
   and we respect that and honor that. And we certainly will
   observe that and be sensitive to it.
            So can you tell us what it was that you wanted to
   visit with us about?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, a couple things. Number one,
6
   I was arrested in 2007 for a DWI. It was dismissed in
   court. And then in 2008 I had a theft by check charge that
   I was arrested for and I had to -- I guess I don't remember
   how that worked out. I think it was deferred.
11
            THE COURT: Okay. And that was.
            PANEL MEMBER:
                           2008.
13
            THE COURT: 2007 was the DUI and 2008 was the
   theft by check charge?
            PANEL MEMBER: Both in Smith County, yes.
            THE COURT: Both in Smith County. And no problems
16
   in the subsequent 12 years?
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: (Nonverbal response.)
            THE COURT: Okay. All right.
            Mr. Kummerfeld, any followup questions?
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
22
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims.
23
            MR. MIMS: No, Your Honor.
            THE COURT: Mr. Morgan, anything about that
25
   experience with the law enforcement community or the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
judicial system that would cause you to lean one way or the
other in this case now before you know anything about the
facts of it?
        PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
        THE COURT: And you said you could be fair and
impartial to both sides?
        PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
        THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask you to leave
and follow the instructions that I have given to the jury.
        PANEL MEMBER: I have one other thing, one other
private matter. My sister was sexually abused when I was
very young. So I don't remember a whole lot about how that
went down, but I do know it went to trial and I believe it
was prosecuted. And my niece was also sexually abused back
in 2010.
        THE COURT: Okay. Let's start with your sister.
When would that have been to the best of your knowledge --
        PANEL MEMBER: It probably would have been mid
'90s. I was in elementary school.
        THE COURT: Was she older or younger than you?
        PANEL MEMBER: She was older than me. She would
have been a teenager. She would have been 15, 16,
somewhere in there.
        THE COURT: And the person who assaulted her?
        PANEL MEMBER: He was in the neighborhood.
```

```
THE COURT: What was his relationship to her?
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: Lived in the same neighborhood as
        I think he bribed her -- she was a young high
3
4
   schooler. I think he bribed her by taking her out and
   getting her some drinks for some of her friends, they were
   minors, and it escalated.
6
7
            THE COURT: All right. Was it a one-time incident
   or --
8
            PANEL MEMBER: It was one time. And he did have a
10
   prior history as well.
11
            THE COURT: So did she report it immediately?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
13
            THE COURT: Okay. And the law enforcement brought
   the case to the prosecutor and he was prosecuted?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            THE COURT: And there was some kind of trial?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
18
            THE COURT: And you don't know the outcome?
            PANEL MEMBER: I know that he was found guilty but
19
20
   I don't know how long he was sentenced and all that stuff.
21
            THE COURT: Okay.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: I was very young. I knew that it
23
   happened but...
24
            THE COURT: So as you stand here today, is there
25
   anything about that experience with your sister that would
```

```
lead you to be biased or prejudice against the Government
1
2
   or in favor of the Government or against Mr. Orange or for
   him, or any -- it would impact your ability to be fair and
3
   impartial on both sides?
4
            PANEL MEMBER: My decision would be based off the
5
   facts in evidence.
6
7
            THE COURT: And the second incident you said is
   your niece?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
10
            THE COURT: Can you tell me a little more about
11
   that?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes. It was someone I think my mom
13
   -- long story short, my mom raises my niece. My niece is
   my sister's and she's is not capable of take care of a
14
15
   child so she stays with my mother. And my mom had somebody
   staying with her, I think helping her pay the bills, and
16
17
   that gentleman took advantage of my niece.
18
            THE COURT: How long ago was that?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: That would have been in 2010.
20
            THE COURT: All right. How young was that young
21
   woman then or how old was she?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: She would have been six.
23
            THE COURT: She was six and this person who was in
24
   the home, how old was he?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Probably mid 40s.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. Was it a one-time,
1
2
   isolated incident or reoccurring?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: I think it kind of escalated and
4
   maybe it happened two or three times. Maybe started with
   showing stuff and then touching stuff later.
5
            THE COURT: All right. And then how did it get --
6
7
   how did it all come out? How did it get reported?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: He went -- so, oh, my niece told my
   mother, my mother informed authorities and it went from
10
   there.
11
            THE COURT: So the niece made an outcry to your
12
   mom?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, and my niece has gone through
   counseling and everything ever since.
14
15
            THE COURT: All right. Is she doing okay?
            PANEL MEMBER: She's okay, yes. She's strong.
16
17
            THE COURT: And was this gentleman prosecuted?
18
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
19
            THE COURT: And can you tell me the outcome of
20
   that?
21
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, he was sentenced to prison. I
22
   want to say ten years. I'm not 100 percent certain on that
23
   but he did some time.
24
            THE COURT: All right. So same question about the
25
   incident with your niece. Anything about that process or
```

```
that outcome that left you unsatisfied or that would upset
1
2
   you or make you lean one way or the other in this case that
   you don't yet know anything about?
3
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
5
            THE COURT: Okay.
            Mr. Kummerfeld?
 6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I have no further questions.
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims.
8
9
            MR. MIMS: Nothing, Your Honor.
            THE COURT: All right. Mr. Morgan, I'll ask you
10
11
   to follow my rules. You will get a call tonight about
12
   whether I'm going to ask you to be back here tomorrow to
13
   serve on the jury or not. If not, thank you again for your
14
   time and we appreciate your service.
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Thank you.
            THE COURT: Mr. Wierzbicki. One of you get
16
   Mr. Wierzbicki.
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: How are you?
19
            THE COURT: I think you had asked to speak to us
20
   outside the other panel members. Everybody in the
2.1
   courtroom now really does need to be in the courtroom but
22
   we'll be sensitive and respect anything you tell us.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Okay. Yeah, I don't know how
24
   serious it really is, but it was about being arrested and
25
   being convicted and things like that. I was arrested,
```

```
obstruction of justice, disorderly conduct and public
1
2
   intox.
3
            THE COURT: Okay. Was that all one incident?
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, all one.
 4
            THE COURT: When was this?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: About seven years ago.
 6
7
            THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me briefly what
   happened?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: I was at a beach in Savannah,
   Georgia, beach party, and then just one of my friends was
10
11
   getting arrested and I got into it and made a decision, a
12
   bad one.
13
            THE COURT: All right. What was the resolution of
14
   it?
            PANEL MEMBER: They dropped it to two counts of
15
   disorderly conduct and a year probation and I went back to
16
17
   court after probation and got off.
18
            THE COURT: And you got released from the
   probation? You had to do probation?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
21
            THE COURT: No problem since then?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
23
            THE COURT: Is there anything about that
24
   experience that would lead you to favor one side or the
25
   other in this case that you don't yet really know anything
```

```
1
   about?
2
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
3
            THE COURT: Okay. So you feel like you could be
4
   fair and impartial to both sides?
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: Mr. Kummerfeld, any questions?
 6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
8
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims, any questions?
9
            MR. MIMS: Yes, Your Honor.
            Coach, I've got your questionnaire here, when you
10
11
   filled that thing out. It says on 40 here, have you or a
12
   close friend or family member had COVID-19 and you said
13
   yes, five of our players tested positive two weeks ago.
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
15
            MR. MIMS: Was that two weeks from when you filled
16
   that out?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: I filled that out today.
18
            MR. MIMS: This morning?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: This morning.
20
            MR. MIMS: And then 44. Have you been in contact
21
   with anyone who has been diagnosed with COVID-19 in the
22
   last two weeks. You got yes. Is that the same --
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Same.
24
            MR. MIMS: What y'all do out there, y'all isolate
25
   the guys?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: We got a guarantine going on
1
2
   campus.
3
            MR. MIMS: Okay. And --
            PANEL MEMBER: And I'm not concerned here for me.
4
   I got tested as well and just on a daily basis that is a
5
   possibility for me to come in contact with a kid who may or
6
7
   may not care about COVID and if anyone has it. Their
   interaction with them, their engagement with us is
8
   different than what it on a normal basis would be.
                                                        It's
10
   unknown.
11
            THE COURT: When were you tested, Mr. Wierzbicki?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: About a week and a half ago and
13
   about two weeks since they tested positive.
14
            MR. MIMS: After these guys tested positive?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
            MR. MIMS: Okay. That's all I have.
16
17
            THE COURT: Mr. Kummerfeld, anything? Nothing?
18
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You don't have any symptoms
19
   currently?
20
            PANEL MEMBER: No, sir.
21
            THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wierzbicki, I'm going to
22
   ask that you follow my rules and you will get a call one
23
   way or the other this evening letting you know, we
24
   appreciate your patient and your service here today. Thank
25
   you.
```

```
1
            Would you all get Mr. Krumm.
2
            Mr. Krumm, speak real loud for me, the battery
   died. We're going to replace it but I don't want you to
3
4
   wait for that. Go ahead and speak real loud. Everybody in
   the courtroom now needs to be here but whatever it is you
   need to tell us, we're sensitive to that and we'll
6
7
   certainly respect it, okay?
            PANEL MEMBER: Currently my father has been an
8
9
   accused of sexual assault of a child. He's an 85-year-old
   Parkinson's patient. There's no charges filed yet but
10
11
   there's been a police report filed. This happened back in
12
   June -- he was accused of it back in June. When I found
13
   out about it I immediately contacted a lawyer. Matt
   Bingham is representing him. No charges have been filed
14
   but there is the accusation.
15
            THE COURT: Where does your father live?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          Tyler.
18
            THE COURT: Is he at home or in a facility or
19
   what?
20
            PANEL MEMBER: At home with his wife. Actually
21
   the accusation was made by my stepmother's grandson's wife.
22
            THE COURT: Stepmother's grandson's wife?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: His wife's grandson's wife.
24
            THE COURT: Okay.
25
            PANEL MEMBER: And she's made comments which she
```

```
Case 6:19-cr-00004-RWS-KNM Document 120 Filed 06/01/21 Page 246 of 281 PageID #:
                               1088
        -- the child is 4, 3. She did something and the mother
     1
     2
        asked where she learned that and she said Papa or whatever
        she calls him. And of course the mother went ballistic.
     3
        Didn't call me, I found out from my mother-in-law --
     4
        stepmother, excuse me. But comments were made in the
     5
        process of this that she wanted to make sure everyone in
     6
     7
        Tyler, Texas knew my father was a child molester.
                 Where he sits on his own, I don't think he could
     8
        have access to a child, and his wife is in the room with
     9
     10
        him all the time anyway.
    11
                 That being said, that does not affect my ability,
    12
        I don't think.
     13
                 THE COURT: Okay.
                 PANEL MEMBER: Obviously Mr. Orange would get the
     14
     15
        same trial that my father would get if he went to trial.
                 THE COURT: All right. And you feel like starting
     16
        now, not knowing anything about this case, that you
    17
    18
        wouldn't have any trouble setting aside any views --
    19
                 PANEL MEMBER:
                                No.
     20
                 THE COURT: -- you have about your father's matter
     21
        to be fair and impartial in this case to both sides?
     22
                 PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir, yes, sir, and I would
    23
```

like to see him get the same trial that my father, if he went to trial, that he would get as well.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kummerfeld?

24

```
1
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
 2
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims -- hold on a moment,
   Mr. Krumm. Hold on. I'm going to give Mr. Mims a chance
 3
 4
   to ask questions.
            MR. MIMS: Remember in my voir dire and we talked
 5
   about presumption and innocence and all that sort of stuff?
 6
7
   Is that especially pertinent to you right now with your
   father?
 8
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, because.
            MR. MIMS: He will do, Judge.
10
11
            THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Krumm. Mr. Krumm, I
12
   want you to follow my instructions about not talking about
13
   the case or doing any research. You will hear from us one
   way or the other this evening, okay?
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: And it does not swing my views one
16
   way or the other at this point.
17
            THE COURT: Understood. Thank you.
18
            Would one of you guys get Mr. Rice.
19
            Mr. Rice.
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
21
            THE COURT: Thank you for your patience.
22
   Everybody in the courtroom now really has a reason to be
23
   here and will respect whatever it is you need to tell us of
24
   a sensitive nature and so we'll -- we'll definitely honor
25
   that.
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: You asked me to be back because I
1
2
   told you my sister was sexually assaulted when she was a
   little girl.
3
4
            THE COURT: Yes, right, okay. Can you tell me
   when it was and what you knew about it?
5
6
            PANEL MEMBER: I was little. She was probably
7
   about 11 or 12 years old. She was out riding her bicycle
8
   and some guy abducted her and sexually assaulted her. She
   did have the whereabouts to get his license number and he
10
   was caught and sent to prison.
11
            THE COURT: Is that right? Tell me where this
12
   was.
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Here where we live.
14
            THE COURT: Okay. And you said she was 11 or
15
   12 years old. How long was this --
16
            PANEL MEMBER: Back in the '70.
17
            THE COURT: In the '70s?
18
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
            THE COURT: So it was just a one-time incident?
19
20
   She was out riding her bike and someone stopped her and
2.1
   assaulted her?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Correct. Somebody just abducted
23
   her from -- we lived in a rural area, picked her up.
24
            THE COURT: Okay. And she got over it?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: No. I mean, she's 61, 62 years old
```

```
now and she still has had counseling over the years for it
1
   at different times.
2
3
            THE COURT: She's struggled with it?
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: All right. And the man who did this
5
   was caught, you said, and he went to prison?
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Correct.
            THE COURT: Okay. What views would you have about
8
   that outcome? Is that -- were you satisfied with that
10
   outcome? Did you think what should have happened,
11
   happened?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, I'm not sure I follow you on
13
   that.
            THE COURT: Well, in terms of the justice
14
15
   system -- I guess what I'm trying to understand is in terms
16
   of the way it all got resolved, what the outcome of the
17
   case was --
18
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, I think the justice
   department did all it could. You can't give an 11-year-old
19
20
   girl back her virginity.
2.1
            THE COURT: Certainly.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: But he was caught and sent to
23
   prison.
24
            THE COURT: Let me ask you this. You used to word
25
   assaulted before. Was she raped?
```

```
1
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
2
            THE COURT: Okay. All right. And she was 11 or
3
   12?
4
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: Having had that happen in -- having
5
   had that happen in your life all those years ago, as a
6
7
   potential juror in this case, would you have any difficulty
   setting what happened to your sister so many years ago
8
   aside so that you could be fair in this case, a case you
   don't really know anything about yet, and be fair to the
10
11
   defendant and fair to the government?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, of course.
13
            THE COURT: All right.
            PANEL MEMBER: I mean, if I may speak freely.
14
15
   someone has done something of course, they need to be --
   but if it's something -- they didn't do it, then why would
16
17
   I hold that against them?
18
            THE COURT: Okay.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: I mean, that's as fair as you can
20
   be.
21
            THE COURT: I agree, I agree. Mr. Rice, let me
22
   ask Mr. Kummerfeld if he's got any questions for you.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, I also did.
24
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims?
25
            MR. MIMS: No, sir.
```

```
THE COURT: No questions?
1
2
            Mr. Rice, thank you for your questions. You will
   receive a call one way or the other from us tonight letting
3
4
   you know whether we will need you back here tomorrow.
   not, I appreciate your service here today and your
   patience. Thank you very much.
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Thank you.
8
            THE COURT: Ms. Urieta.
9
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: So everybody that's in the courtroom
10
11
   now really needs to be in the courtroom, but we'll be
12
   sensitive and respect whatever of a sensitive nature that
13
   you've got to tell us. Can you tell us what it is about?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Is this in regards to the personal
15
   question?
16
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Sexual thing?
18
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
19
            PANEL MEMBER: I just have a very vivid, very
20
   vivid thought, I guess you could say, as a young girl being
2.1
   molested by my own dad. Been several, several years. I
22
   haven't had -- I did have some contact with him a few years
23
         I never confronted him -- with him about it because I
24
   don't know if that memory is true. That's the word,
25
   memory. But I believe that me being separated from my dad
```

```
or God separating us was for that reason, possibly just
1
2
   keeping me away from that situation, you know.
            And then as a ten-year-old, and this is true, I
3
4
   never did anything but this is true, it's not just a
   memory, I have an uncle who went into my -- my bed and just
5
   decided to, you know, touch me in places that I felt
6
7
   uncomfortable. I never told anybody, but I knew that they
8
   knew and they never said anything. But you know, time has
   passed. I have never -- I haven't had contact with that
   uncle and, you know, that's it.
10
11
            THE COURT: Okay. So let's talk about your --
12
   your memory.
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Uh-huh.
            THE COURT: With respect to your father.
14
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Uh-huh.
            THE COURT: Do you have any memory about whether
16
   that might have occurred and how old you might have been?
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER: I think it may have been 1 or
   2 years old. I know that that's -- you know, who can
19
20
   remember things at 1 or 2 years old, but it's just such a
21
   vivid, vivid memory and it just comes to my mind from time
22
   to time. I can't forget it. Whenever I have mentions of
23
   my dad, that comes to my mind.
24
            After being able to travel and see him -- and even
25
   though I did go over there to see him, not necessarily
```

```
because, oh, you know, why were you not in my life or why
1
2
   did you separate from my mom, it wasn't like that, you
   know. I had all of my three daughters already. I wanted
3
   them to -- I don't know, I don't know what I was expecting
4
   to happen from that visit. I went to go visit him in
   Mexico, maybe some type of closure. I didn't get it, you
6
7
   know.
8
            So I wanted my girls to meet their grandfather,
   but at this point -- I'm not at the point where I'm like
9
10
   oh, yeah, let's go see him again or...
11
            THE COURT: Okay. So let's go back to what might
12
   have happened. Can you tell me -- so you were one or two
13
   years old. Where were you living when that happened?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: I want to say this was in Mexico, I
15
   can't tell you exactly which house. I know that it was not
   in Mexico City where we lived for a long time. I believe
16
   it was an apartment somewhere.
17
18
            THE COURT: Okay.
            PANEL MEMBER: And I remember my mom not being
19
20
   there.
           I remember him watching after me.
21
            THE COURT: Was that because she was just
22
   temporarily away.
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Maybe to run an errand.
24
            THE COURT: Okay. So I guess my question, at that
   time who would have been your caregiver?
25
```

```
1
            PANEL MEMBER: My dad.
2
            THE COURT: All right. But your mom was not
   living away? She was just --
3
4
            PANEL MEMBER: No, we were -- she was there living
   together, but she was away, maybe running an errand.
5
            THE COURT: Who else was living there?
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: That I remember, no one else, just
   my parents and me. My brother wasn't born yet, I was
8
   little.
            THE COURT: Okay. And just so I'm clear, you're
10
11
   not really sure that anything happened?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: No. I'm not -- I can't say
13
   100-percent sure, but it's just such a vivid, vivid memory.
14
            THE COURT: It's a memory.
15
            PANEL MEMBER: A vivid memory of that.
16
            THE COURT: Okay.
17
            PANEL MEMBER: And I did tell my mom about it many
18
   years later and she said why didn't you ever tell me.
19
   I said, well, because I don't even know that it happened,
20
   you know. But I just -- I -- that's the memory that I get
21
   any time my father is mentioned or his name comes up or
   that's the memory that I get, and so I don't like to think
22
23
   about that, of course, so...
24
            THE COURT: Okay. Now, with respect to your
25
   uncle, tell me where you were living, where that occurred.
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: This was in Tyler, here in Tyler.
1
2
   This was at his house, my aunt and my uncle's house.
   would go over there to baby-sit. I was the baby-sitter for
3
4
   one of their little kids, my little cousin. And I just
   remember spending the night over there. I would spend the
5
   night over there a few times because I would help my aunt
6
7
   watch after my little cousin. He was little, in diapers,
8
   so I would help out.
            And I remember me sleeping in one of the bedrooms
   and I just remember him coming in and just sneaking into
10
11
   the bed and then just slipping his hand through my shirt
12
   and just feel around my breast. And then I kind of felt
13
   his hand go down and that's when I kind of just like
14
   started moving and I was, like, getting uncomfortable. I'm
15
   like, please go away, please go away, and he did
16
   thankfully. So it didn't go into anything worse, I guess
   you could say. And that was it.
17
18
            THE COURT: And it just happened the one time?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: That I remember, just the one time.
20
   And I know that he did try other times but I want -- but
21
   something happened that kept him from going in again and I
22
   think it's because my aunt knew. I think that she knew and
23
   I think --
24
            THE COURT: Did you tell her?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: No, I never told her.
```

```
1
            THE COURT: Did you ever tell anyone?
2
            PANEL MEMBER: I told -- my husband knows, of
   course. He knows about my dad and he knows about my uncle.
3
4
            THE COURT: I guess what I'm asking is at the time
   the incident with your uncle occurred, did you tell --
5
            PANEL MEMBER:
                           No.
6
7
            THE COURT: -- anyone?
            PANEL MEMBER: I was little, maybe 9 or 10.
8
9
            THE COURT: All right. So those two incidents,
10
   the first memory and perhaps event and then the second
11
   event, did you believe -- as you stand here today -- that
   you would have some difficulty serving as a juror in this
12
13
   case which alleges child pornography? You don't know
   anything about the facts of the case yet but certainly the
14
15
   allegations, possession of child pornography, do you feel
   like you would have difficulty being fair and impartial to
16
   either side of the case?
17
18
                                I believe that, you know, like
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
   what we learned today, you know, present a final decision
19
20
   based on the -- on the evidence. I mean, I think everyone
21
   should -- of course there's two sides to the story or two
22
   whatever.
23
            THE COURT: And as you stand here right now, you
24
   would not -- you could tell me honestly that you're not
25
   leaning toward one side or the other before you have heard
```

```
any evidence?
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: No. I mean, I can't lean to one
3
   side since I don't know what the case is just yet.
            THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kummerfeld, any followup?
4
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
5
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims, any followup?
 6
7
            MR. MIMS: Yes, Your Honor. Two sides to the
   story, if you had to vote right now, what would your
8
   verdict be?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm sorry?
11
            MR. MIMS: If you had to vote right now, what
12
   would your verdict be in this case?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: I don't know.
14
            MR. MIMS: You understand that you have to presume
15
   any defendant that you're a juror on to be innocent right
16
   now?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Correct.
18
            MR. MIMS: Can you do that?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
20
            MR. MIMS: Okay. So your verdict would be what?
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: I can't say not guilty or guilty.
22
            MR. MIMS: Why not?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: Because I don't know. I can't
24
   decide just yet because I don't have proof or evidence or
25
   facts of what happened.
```

```
MR. MIMS: Okay. Thank you. You said there's two
1
2
   sides to every story. Are you going to require me to
   present a story to you?
3
4
            PANEL MEMBER: No. I don't mean a story. I just
   mean, you know, listen to both sides.
5
            MR. MIMS: What if there's only one side?
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, I would have to make my
8
   decision based on the proof.
9
            MR. MIMS: This memory that you have, at least
   from what I'm getting from you, it's with you a lot? You
10
11
   think about it a lot?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Only in -- like I was asked today,
13
   it comes up today. If something triggers it, when I think
   about my father, anything that -- any memory that brings
14
15
   him up, that's what I think of.
            MR. MIMS: And in this case you haven't seen the
16
   evidence yet.
17
18
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          No.
            MR. MIMS: But there's a pretty good chance
19
20
   there's going to be some pretty graphic photographs.
                                                          Ιs
21
   there any chance that that memory is going to come back to
22
   you during -- you're going to face this if you're on this
23
   jury, okay -- to come back and have that have some
24
   influence you on how you vote about that verdict? I mean,
25
   we have to know now, okay? If we find out later.
```

```
1
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
 2
            MR. MIMS: You see what I'm saying? We only get
   one chance to get a jury and we got plenty of folks, okay?
3
 4
   If you can't serve, your obligation is to tell us but you
   have to be able to put that memory aside and judge this
   case, their case, just on the evidence and beyond a
 6
7
   reasonable doubt.
 8
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
 9
            MR. MIMS: And you may not hear the other side of
10
   the story.
11
            PANEL MEMBER:
                          Riaht.
12
            MR. MIMS: You see what I'm getting at?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            MR. MIMS: Okay. Now, having known all that, are
14
15
   you -- can you be -- are you the right kind of person to
   sit on this jury, getting all that behind you?
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: I believe I can, but like you say,
18
   put it to the side not think about that and just focus on
19
   the case.
20
            MR. MIMS: Well, I'm going to ask you, you're
21
   going to be under oath -- you're under oath now but you're
22
   going to be under a different oath in that jury room. Will
23
   you follow your oath and only render a verdict based on the
24
   law and the evidence that the judge gives you and that the
25
   State presents?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: Yes, sir.
1
2
            MR. MIMS: Okay. Thank you.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Ms. Urieta, just a follow-up on
3
   that. Will you be able to listen to all of the evidence
4
   and follow all of the Court's instructions before you make
5
   a decision?
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
8
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Thank you.
9
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims, anything further?
            MR. MIMS: I'm sorry. I should have asked you
10
11
   this. You said the last two weeks -- going back to your
12
   questionnaire. Number 40. Have you a close friend or
13
   family member had COVID-19, who and when. It was yes, two
14
   weeks ago. Who was it?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Church -- church member. I had
   invited them over to my house for dinner and they weren't
16
17
   able to make it because they had recently left the clinic
18
   and he had resulted in a positive test.
19
            MR. MIMS: So he --
20
            PANEL MEMBER: I ended up canceling my plans and
21
   they haven't been to church in the last two weeks.
22
            MR. MIMS: 44, have you been in contact with
23
   anyone who has been diagnosed within the last two weeks,
24
   and I'll take that to be.
25
            PANEL MEMBER: Right.
```

```
MR. MIMS: You were not in contact?
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: No, no.
            THE COURT: Ms. Urieta, I'm going to dismiss you
3
   at this time. I'll ask you to follow all the instructions
4
   I previously gave you and you will hear from us one way or
5
   the other hopefully in the next hour or so whether you have
6
7
   been selected to be on the jury or not. If not, I
   appreciate your patience and your service here today.
8
   Thank you very much.
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Thank you.
11
            THE COURT: Mrs. Morris.
12
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
13
            THE COURT: Everybody in the courtroom now needs
   to be in the courtroom, but we're sensitive to whatever you
14
15
   need to tell us. Something that had come up before, I
   think, and we had asked you to stay behind.
16
17
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah.
18
            THE COURT: Can you tell me what it was?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: Was it about the child and the
20
   adult?
21
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
22
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah, it was my mother. She was a
23
   victim and so was my husband.
24
            THE COURT: Okay. Let's start with your mom.
25
   When would that have occurred?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: I'm not sure how old she was but
1
2
   her -- my grandfather molested her and got her pregnant.
3
            THE COURT: Okay. This was your mom when she was
   a child?
4
5
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, I think she was a teenager.
            THE COURT: She was younger than 18?
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: As far as I know, yeah.
            THE COURT: And was it her father?
8
9
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            THE COURT: And he assaulted her in some way?
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes, he got her pregnant.
12
            THE COURT: All right. And was it just that
13
   happened one time or was it repeated?
14
            PANEL MEMBER: Two or three times is what I
15
   understood.
16
            THE COURT: Where was this? Where she was?
17
            PANEL MEMBER: They were at her house. He was
18
   raising her and her brother and sisters by himself because
   the mother left.
19
20
            THE COURT: Okay.
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: And -- but I don't know.
22
            THE COURT: That's about all you know?
23
            PANEL MEMBER: She doesn't talk much about it.
24
            THE COURT: Okay. And no -- knowing that story
   about what had happened with your mom, would that lead you
25
```

```
to believe one side over the other or being partial to one
1
2
   side over the other in this particular case?
3
            PANEL MEMBER: No, I don't think so.
            THE COURT: Okay. Tell me about your husband.
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: My husband was molested by a cousin
5
   of his but he was older. His cousin was a lot older and I
6
7
   believe he was five.
            THE COURT: Your husband was five when it
8
9
   happened?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Uh-huh.
11
            THE COURT: How old was this older cousin?
12
            PANEL MEMBER: I'm not sure. He is -- I think he
13
   is about 20 years older.
14
            THE COURT: Was it a one-time event?
15
            PANEL MEMBER: Several times and my husband's
   mother wouldn't believe him.
16
            THE COURT: Your husband's mother did not believe
17
18
         Okay. Did anything ever come out about it?
   him?
19
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
20
            THE COURT: All right. And so obviously there was
21
   no prosecution?
22
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
23
            THE COURT: And I forgot to ask with respect to
24
   your own mother, was there any prosecution related to that?
25
            PANEL MEMBER: No, she never reported it. She was
```

```
scared to.
1
2
            THE COURT: Okay. All right. With respect to
   your husband, is there anything about that that would cause
3
   you to have trouble being fair and impartial in this case?
4
            PANEL MEMBER: No.
5
            THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kummerfeld?
 6
7
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Ms. Morris, that experience with
8
   your husband, is that something that you will bring with
   you to the courtroom when you -- if you're chosen to sit on
   the jury, you won't think about that?
10
11
            PANEL MEMBER: No, I wouldn't think about that.
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: You can set that aside?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims?
14
15
            MR. MIMS: No, Your Honor.
            THE COURT Ms. Morris, I'll ask you to follow all
16
   the rules and I have given to everybody, and you will
17
18
   receive a phone call later tonight letting you know whether
   to be back tomorrow or not. If not, thank you for --
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Okay. I have a question, I asked
21
   somebody out there and he told me I could tell you in here.
22
   The lady that I work for, she will be buried on Thursday
23
   and she was like a grandmother to me.
24
            THE COURT: Well, ma'am, I think you better be
25
   there for that. You did mention earlier that someone you
```

```
work for passed away last night.
1
2
            PANEL MEMBER: She had a heart attack two nights
3
   ago.
4
            THE COURT: I apologize. We haven't addressed
   that before now. I'm sorry we made you wait all afternoon.
5
            Mr. Kummerfeld, you would have no objection, would
6
7
   you, to releasing Mrs. Morris?
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
8
9
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims, any objection?
10
            MR. MIMS: No.
11
            THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Morris, for being
12
   here. Wish you the best.
13
            Mrs. Fuller.
            Ms. Fuller, I need to ask you about the experience
14
15
   you had as a child. You can tell us as much or as little
   about it as you're comfortable doing so. Everybody in the
16
17
   courtroom now needs to be in the courtroom but we certainly
18
   will respect and be sensitive to the, you know,
   confidential nature and personal nature of that.
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Okay. Well, I was 15, it was back
21
   in '94. I'm from Nacogdoches originally, we had a festival
22
   going on, and three of the guys I went to school with that
23
   I thought were my friends, kind of we were all out doing
24
   activities and everything and I'm like oh, we want to show
25
   you something and I'm like, okay, let's go see, and they
```

```
got me away from everybody.
1
2
            THE COURT:
                        They what?
            PANEL MEMBER: They got me away from everybody.
3
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
 4
            PANEL MEMBER: And basically overtook me.
 5
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
 6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: And --
            THE COURT: And so this was in the mid '90s?
8
9
            PANEL MEMBER: Yeah. So I was 15, freshman, '94.
10
            THE COURT: Okay. And there were three boys? Did
11
   they all --
12
            PANEL MEMBER: 15, 15, and 16. Only one assaulted
13
   me, the other two held me down.
14
            THE COURT: I'm very sorry about that.
15
            So, tell me what you did.
            PANEL MEMBER: There's nothing I could do.
16
17
            THE COURT: I mean, did you report it?
18
            PANEL MEMBER: Well, I got told -- they told me if
   I reported it they would do worse to me later. So they
19
20
   left me and when I knew they were gone, when I come out I
2.1
   went to find one of my friends and they basically took me
22
   home, got me cleaned up and tried to convince me to go to
23
   the police.
            THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
24
25
            PANEL MEMBER: But when I went down there and it
```

```
was my word against their word and so they were minors,
1
2
   too. So nothing happened.
3
            THE COURT: Did you file a complaint against them?
            PANEL MEMBER: Uh-huh, and they didn't do
4
5
   anything.
6
            THE COURT: And tell me again, was this in
7
   Nacoqdoches?
8
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes. I do tell my girls, explain
9
   the situation to my kids, the girls have cellphones,
   Snapchat, the fact that I try to get them -- you know, we
10
11
   watch court cases and stuff like that and I tell them, this
12
   stuff is real, this stuff does happen. So I'm very
13
   protective of them.
            THE COURT: I can understand why. So with regard
14
15
   to your possible service as a juror, I guess what I need
   for you to do is to tell us whether you feel like you could
16
17
   be comfortable being a juror in a case alleging possession
18
   of child pornography and whether you are satisfied that you
   could be impartial and fair to both sides?
19
20
            PANEL MEMBER: Right, and evidence presented in
21
   the case.
22
            THE COURT: All right. So you feel like you could
23
   very easily -- not easily but you can set aside what
24
   happened to you in the past and you said be fair and
25
   impartial to both sides?
```

```
PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
1
2
            THE COURT: Mr. Kummerfeld.
3
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Ms. Fuller you mentioned
   something about the evidence. You're open-minded, you
4
   don't know what the evidence is yet and you want to be fair
5
   to both sides, the defense and the Government?
6
7
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Are you willing to listen to all
8
9
   the evidence before you make a decision?
10
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
11
            MR. KUMMERFELD: And follow all the Court's
12
   instructions before you make that decision as well?
13
            PANEL MEMBER: Yes.
14
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Thank you.
15
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims?
            MR. MIMS: I have no questions for this person,
16
   Your Honor.
17
18
            THE COURT: Okay. Very well.
19
            Ms. Fuller, I'll ask you to follow all my
20
   instructions and shortly after you leave here you will get
21
   a call letting you know whether you have been selected to
22
   be on the jury or not. If not, I appreciate you being here
23
   this afternoon and for your service. I wish you the best.
24
            PANEL MEMBER: Thank you.
25
            THE COURT: Thank you very much.
```

```
I think it's only Mr. Sewell.
1
2
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Your Honor, we have agreed to
   excuse Mr. Sewell.
3
 4
            THE COURT: Okay. He knows you too well, Bobby?
            MR. MIMS:
5
                       Yes.
            THE COURT: All right. Fair enough.
 6
7
                       When he takes the Fifth, he knows me.
            MR. MIMS:
8
   I think he needs to get out of here.
9
            THE COURT: While you've got him under oath do you
   want to --
10
11
            MR. MIMS: I'm not sure he would tell the truth.
12
            THE COURT: Maybe he just doesn't want us to
13
   believe it.
            Mr. Sewell, thank you for coming by, but the
14
15
   attorneys have visited and they have agreed to release you
   in this matter. And we appreciate you're being here this
16
17
   afternoon, appreciate your patience with us. Thank you for
18
   your service here today and next time you get a jury
   summons you hope you come back with the same good attitude
19
20
   you had here this afternoon.
2.1
            PANEL MEMBER: Thank you very much.
22
            THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Sewell.
23
            Okay. Here is what I would what I've got.
24
   Mr. Mims and Mr. Kummerfeld. Here are the jurors who have
25
   been stricken or released: Juror number two, Ms. Morris --
```

```
Ms. Combs will hand out the final list.
1
 2
            Okay. So what you all have is the reshuffled list
   after the second qualification this afternoon. It does
 3
 4
   contain jurors who were released and that's just for
   purposes of recordkeeping.
            So let's go through it very briefly and confirm
 6
7
   with both sides that we have stricken the ones that we have
   stricken.
 8
 9
            Juror number 2, Ms. Morris, we released her.
            Juror number 13, Mr. Crossman, we released him.
10
11
            Juror number 19, Mr. Gray, we released him.
12
            Juror number 23, Mr. Sewell, we released him.
13
            Juror number 48, Ms. Watson, we released her.
14
            Now, have I missed anyone? Mr. Kummerfeld?
15
            MR. KUMMERFELD: That's all we have, Your Honor.
16
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims.
            MR. MIMS: According to the brainiacs over here,
17
18
   that's all we've got.
19
            THE COURT: Okay. Now, the jury of course will be
20
   compromised of 12 persons. We'll have two alternates.
21
   Government has seven peremptory strikes, the defendant has
22
   11 peremptory strikes that's a total of 32.
23
            So I'll count down 32. Jump in with the ones that
24
   we have released, just to see how far, and we'll confirm
25
   what the strike zone is, so to speak.
```

```
And you all do the same and make sure our math
1
2
   agrees. And I have through juror number 36. The
   Government agrees with that?
3
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
            THE COURT: Mr. Mims, you agree with that?
5
            MR. MIMS: Yes, sir.
 6
7
            THE COURT: Okay. So that's the strike zone.
8
   I'll give you all 15 minutes to exercise your peremptory
   strikes. I assume there were no other challenges for
10
   cause?
11
            MR. KUMMERFELD: None from the Government,
12
   Your Honor.
13
            MR. MIMS: No.
            THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that's the
14
15
   strike zone. I'll give you 15 minutes and then get your
16
   list to Ms. Comes and we'll have a jury.
17
            (Recess taken.)
18
            THE COURT: Okay. Let's go back on the record
   real quickly. We do have a jury. I'm going to go through
19
20
   the names real quickly for you and we'll give you the
21
   opportunity to look at the list if you want. We do have a
22
   couple of double strikes.
23
            Panel member number 4, Jamie Ingle, is on the
24
   jury.
25
           Panel member number 6, Amy Matlack, is on the
```

```
1
   jury.
 2
            Panel member number 9, Bobby Thompson, is on the
 3
   jury.
            Panel member number 11, Christine Tokoph, is on
 4
   the jury.
 5
 6
            Panel member number 12, Mr. Wierzbicki, is on the
7
   jury.
            Panel member number 16, Ms. Pomeroy, is the on
8
 9
   jury.
            Panel number 17, Mr. Fleming, is on the jury.
10
11
            Number 18, Mr. Horn, is on the jury.
12
            Number 20, Mr. Hester, is on the jury.
13
            Number 24, Ms. Dykes, is on the jury.
            Number 25, Mr. Morgan, is on the jury.
14
            Number 26, Mr. Mouch, on the jury.
15
            And the two alternates are Mr. Lawson, panel
16
   number 29, and Mr. Cline, panel member number 3. Does all
17
18
   that look right to you, both sides?
            MR. KUMMERFELD: I believe that's correct.
19
20
            MR. MIMS: No, Your Honor.
            THE COURT: It's all fine?
21
22
            MR. MIMS: Yeah, it's fine.
23
            THE COURT: The only thing we neglected to visit
24
   about was Mr. Wierzbicki's report of exposure. Were the
25
   parties satisfied that wasn't a problem?
```

```
MR. KUMMERFELD: Yes, Your Honor. After the
1
2
   questioning I was satisfied.
3
            MR. MIMS: I think sanitation, social distancing,
   is fine, so we're fine.
4
            THE COURT: Very well. Any issues before we
5
   adjourn?
6
7
            Let me suggest, we're going to -- we'll call all
   members of the panel now. I'm going to ask the jurors to
8
   be back ready to go by 9:00, hopefully be here by 8:45 so
10
   that we can start promptly.
11
            We'll gauge how the day goes. My plan is to get
12
   some time after 4:00, so we won't stop right at 4:00. So
13
   depending on where the witness is, we may go to 4:15. We
   may go to 4:30, you know. I'm less concerned about the
14
15
   real sharp start time or ending time, and we'll just do
16
   this day by day. My sense is maybe the shortened day isn't
17
   as important as I once thought it was.
18
            So definitely we'll start a little earlier with
19
   respect to tomorrow because of the witness involved. Does
20
   that create any problems for anybody's witnesses or
21
   anything like that?
22
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor. Thank you for
23
   accommodating that.
24
            MR. MIMS: Yes, and the only question I was got is
25
   for the Government, when would you expect to do video or
```

```
the Abu Dhabi or Dubai?
1
2
            MR. KUMMERFELD: He said it would be available
   starting at 10:00 a.m. our time.
3
 4
            MR. MIMS: Tomorrow?
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Yes, sir. So it'll be at
5
   10:00 a.m. or after. I would say the window of time would
6
7
   be between 10:00 a.m. and noon.
8
            MR. MIMS: Okay. So it would be like 11?
9
            MR. KUMMERFELD: 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. their
10
   time.
11
            THE COURT: And with respect to the technology,
12
   Mr. Kummerfeld, I know there was an issue about whether
13
   exhibits could be shown at the same time he was being
14
   examined. You're aware of the Court or maybe the embassy's
15
   technology limitations in that regard?
16
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Yes, Your Honor. Here is what I
   understand, and they will correct me if I'm wrong as they
17
18
   have talked about this more recently, is that the jury and
19
   the parties will be in able to see Mr. Peters and hear
20
   Mr. Peters, the witness, on video teleconferencing.
21
   Mr. Peters has been supplied with a copy of the Government
   Stipulated Exhibits 2 and 3, which will be featured during
22
23
   his testimony.
24
            There will be a second TV screen brought in by IT
25
   that will allow the jury and the witness and the parties --
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
The parties may have to kind of reconfigure in order to
accommodate all this -- but he'll be able to see what's on
the screen as the jury is seeing it.
        With respect to Exhibit 3, the photographs, that
should be seamless. There should be no lag issues or
anything like that. But respect to Exhibit 2 --
        THE COURT: What is 3?
        MR. KUMMERFELD: 3 are photographs of to search
warrant.
        THE COURT: Okay.
        MR. KUMMERFELD: So those should be fine. He will
be able to see those where he is and be able to see them on
the screen.
        With respect to Exhibit 2, which is a video, it's
a video prior to the execution -- at the very beginning of
the search warrant is basically a capture of the scene.
Mr. Jackson in IT here expressing concern when he thought
we were going to be playing audio, we're not. We're just
showing the video. And he believes that will be able to
work pretty well and he should be able to observe that.
        THE COURT: All right. Ms. McCullars, did he get
all that right?
        MS. MCCULLARS: For the most part, yes.
        THE COURT: All right. Fair enough.
        Mr. Mims, you got any concerns or questions about
```

```
any of that?
1
 2
            MR. MIMS: No.
            THE COURT: All right. So we'll -- you know,
 3
   we'll be here a little after eight in the morning.
 4
   something pops up overnight, please don't wait until the
 5
   last minute to let us know you've got an issue that we need
 6
7
   to address either way. So -- but we'll be here plenty
   early so y'all be here, you know, I would say certainly no
 8
   later than 8:30. And if something really does come up,
   give us a head's up and we'll be able to deal with it
10
11
   first.
12
            You know, we're going to be limited on our
13
   sidebars so we'll just have to do a lot of things out in
   the open. If something does come up and we've got a real
14
15
   problem, we can have the jury go to Judge Mitchell's
16
   courtroom and deal with it in that way. So let's be
   mindful of that.
17
18
            What else?
19
            MR. MIMS: This courtroom?
20
            THE COURT: I'm sorry?
2.1
            MR. MIMS: This courtroom?
22
            THE COURT: This courtroom?
23
            MR. MIMS: I thought we might be in the other
24
   courtroom.
25
            THE COURT: No, we'll be here. You come here in
```

```
1
   the morning, Mr. Mims.
 2
            MR. MIMS: I was the first one here. I got kicked
   out.
 3
 4
            THE COURT:
                        What else?
            MR. KUMMERFELD: That's correct, Your Honor.
 5
   have talked to Mr. Mims and we'll continue talking after
 6
7
   court and also talked to citizens about the three points in
 8
   the trial wherein the Court will give advisements to the
   jury. But -- (inaudible) -- with respect to those times.
            So Exhibit 7, which is the first child pornography
10
11
   issue and I know the Court will advise the jury that we're
12
   about to view child pornography and give us time to
13
   accommodate IT to turn the monitors in the hallway and the
   other courtrooms off so it's not displayed there.
14
15
            Similarly with Exhibits 19 and 26, that will be
   end of the day tomorrow or even early Wednesday before we
16
17
   get to it.
18
            The only other thing is before playing the audio
   interview of Mr. Orange, and I know that that's still in
19
20
   dispute, the admissibility of that recording, if that is
21
   admitted and the transcript is displayed -- and this will
22
   be late tomorrow or early Wednesday -- it's advisable to
23
   make a cautionary instruction, same as in the final jury
24
   instruction to the jury prior to playing that transcript.
25
   So we'll pause and make sure that's all accomplished.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. I assume you're going to invoke
1
2
   the rule -- somebody is going to invoke the rule, you won't
   have all your witnesses here tomorrow, of course, so we'll
3
   just swear them in as we go along. That's not a problem.
   Let's see. What else was I going to ask you all? Seems
   like there was one other thing.
6
7
            I will do my preliminary instructions in the
   morning before we get very far and that won't take very
8
   long. 10, maybe 15 minutes to do the preliminary
   instructions, and then I'll ask you to invoke the rule.
10
11
   I'll ask the indictment be read.
12
            Mr. Orange, I'll ask you to stand up and then
13
   Mr. Mims, you can either speak for Mr. Orange or he can
   speak for himself in terms of how he pleads to Count I of
14
15
   the indictment, and then we'll go straight into openings.
            And Mr. Mims, you don't have to tell us for sure
16
17
   but do you know by now whether you want to open tomorrow or
18
   not?
            MR. MIMS: I want to reserve that. I want to talk
19
20
   to Mr. Orange for a few minutes.
21
            THE COURT: That's fine. Very well. And the then
22
   the Government calls its first witness.
23
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Yes.
24
            THE COURT: And then we'll, like I said, we'll go
25
   somewhere until the noon hour, whatever. We won't have to
```

```
break, you know, for terribly long.
1
2
            MR. MIMS: Your Honor, we have a pending motion in
   limine number one for ours and it's been responded to. And
3
   also it goes to the reading of the indictment. The
4
   indictment alleges that Charles Orange, having previously
5
   been convicted, and it lays out all those predicate the
6
7
   convictions, and I have asked that portion not be read.
8
   Just the Charles Orange did knowingly and intentionally
   knowingly possess child pornography whatever it was.
9
10
            THE COURT: That's not the problem,
11
   Mr. Kummerfeld?
12
            MR. KUMMERFELD: It's not. In fact, the first
13
   exhibit, which is the operative document, does not contain
14
   that.
15
            MR. MIMS: Not in the superseding?
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No.
16
17
            THE COURT: Okay. So is there any witness who
18
   will come up tomorrow -- I think when we had the phone call
   we had on Friday afternoon, Mr. Kummerfeld told me there
19
20
   was no witness that would come up tomorrow that would
21
   implicate that motion in limine.
22
            MR. KUMMERFELD: Not unless we move a lot quicker
23
   than we anticipate. We anticipate Wednesday. He should
24
   testify on Wednesday.
25
            THE COURT: Okay. I have read Mr. Mims's response
```

```
that was filed overnight or yesterday at some point and
1
2
   I'll give the parties an opportunity to argue that more
3
   fully. I look forward to what you have to say about that
   and we'll rule on that.
 4
 5
            Anything else before we recess?
 6
            MR. KUMMERFELD: No, Your Honor.
7
            THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mims?
 8
            MR. MIMS: No, Your Honor.
 9
            THE COURT: We'll get the panel called. Thank you
10
   all for your cooperation. See you in the morning.
11
            (Time noted 6:58 p.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript from the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my ability. /s KATHRYN McALPINE/
KATHRYN McALPINE, RPR, CSR, CCR